

Retail Cannabis Regulations

How should Mountain View implement regulations on the local sale of cannabis?

All Responses sorted chronologically

As of March 1, 2018, 4:16 PM



Mountain View Open City Hall is not a certified voting system or ballot box. As with any public comment process, participation in Mountain View Open City Hall is voluntary. The responses in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

Retail Cannabis Regulations

How should Mountain View implement regulations on the local sale of cannabis?

Introduction

California voters approved Proposition 64 in 2016, which legalized adult-use cannabis in the State. The City of Mountain View is studying updates to the City Code to permit commercial cannabis activity. Specifically, City Council is interested in allowing and regulating retail uses. Currently, both medicinal and adult-use commercial cannabis activities are illegal in Mountain View.

The following questions will ask your opinion on possible regulations regarding commercial cannabis. The survey should take no more than 5 minutes to complete.

For background information on this topic, visit MountainView.gov/PotPlanning.

Retail Cannabis Regulations

How should Mountain View implement regulations on the local sale of cannabis?

As of March 1, 2018, 4:16 PM, this forum had:

Attendees: 2050

All Responses: 1595

Hours of Public Comment: 79.8

This topic started on January 31, 2018, 11:23 AM.








This topic ended on March 1, 2018, 3:55 PM.

Retail Cannabis Regulations







How should Mountain View implement regulations on the local sale of cannabis?

Responses

If storefront retail businesses are allowed, where should they be located in Mountain View? (Choose all that apply)

		%	Count
Near offices		30.3%	483
Near retail or neighborhood services		39.2%	625
In small neighborhood retail centers		37.9%	605
In large retail centers		43.9%	701
Near manufacturing, warehouse or auto-oriented services		37.0%	590
In downtown Mountain View		41.8%	666
Nowhere. Cannabis storefront retail should not be allowed in Mountain View		35.7%	569

If non-storefront retail businesses are allowed, where should they be located in Mountain View? (Choose all that apply)

		%	Count
Near offices		39.4%	629
Near retail or neighborhood services		30.4%	485
In small neighborhood retail centers		27.3%	435
In large retail centers		32.1%	512
Near manufacturing, warehouse or auto-oriented services		63.8%	1017
Nowhere. Cannabis non-storefront retail should not be allowed in Mountain View		31.8%	508






Retail Cannabis Regulations

How should Mountain View implement regulations on the local sale of cannabis?

Cities are able to adjust the buffer if desired. In your opinion, the City should:

		%	Count
Maintain the minimum 600-foot buffer.		41.1%	655
Decrease the buffer to less than 600 feet.		10.5%	168
Increase the buffer to be greater than 600 feet.		48.4%	772

Should Mountain View limit the number of initial retail businesses?

		%	Count
Yes, there should be 1-2 businesses permitted (up to 1 for every 38,000 residents).		16.7%	267
Yes, there should be 3-4 businesses permitted (up to 1 for every 19,000 residents).		13.0%	208
Yes, there should be 5-6 businesses permitted (up to 1 for every 13,000 residents).		8.7%	138
No limit. The City should not regulate the number of retail businesses in the City.		29.0%	462
Cannabis retail should not be allowed in Mountain View.		32.6%	520

5. Should cannabis retail businesses be concentrated near each other OR should consideration be given to separating their locations?

		%	Count
Concentrated near each other		18.2%	290
Consider separating the business locations		40.3%	642

Retail Cannabis Regulations

How should Mountain View implement regulations on the local sale of cannabis?

		%	Count
Do not study regulations regarding this aspect of potential cannabis business locations		41.6%	663

6. What, if any concerns, do you have regarding commercial cannabis in Mountain View? Click on an item in the following concern list to place in order of priority with MOST concerned at the TOP and LEAST concerned at the BOTTOM. If you have "No Concerns," place it at the top of the list.

Average Priorities

Safety.
Location of dispensaries.
Too many dispensaries.
Taxing cannabis.
No Concerns.
Other Concern.
Too few dispensaries.

Other concern:



Answered 464
Skipped 1,131

access business businesses **cannabis** children concerned **crime**
dispensaries do don driving drug from increase influence kids
like marijuana more mountain near other **people** pot public
retail **s** smell smoke **smoking** so t they those too under **use view**
want who

7. City Council is exploring a tax on cannabis for the November 2018 Ballot. Should the City tax cannabis sold in Mountain View?

Retail Cannabis Regulations

How should Mountain View implement regulations on the local sale of cannabis?

		%	Count
Yes, the City should tax cannabis sold in Mountain View.		79.4%	1267
No, the City should NOT tax cannabis sold in Mountain View.		20.6%	328

8. Please provide any additional comments, questions or concerns regarding the regulation of cannabis in Mountain View:

Answered 629

Skipped 966

alcohol all allow also any **cannabis dispensaries** do don
from just legal **like** m marijuana medical more **mountain**
need only other people public retail S **sales** smoke SO sold stores **t**
tax than they think use **view** want where who

CANNABIS COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS

Additional Comments

Respondents were given the opportunity to provide additional comments, questions, or concerns. Six-hundred-twenty-seven (627) people provided responses. Responses are listed in alphabetical order below. No edits were made to comments.

1. "600-foot buffer ... schools, child care centers and day care facilities" is impractical ... For schools, 600-feet is perhaps reasonable. But there are child-care facilities in many of the shopping clusters. Having a dispensary and a pre-school/ daycare in the same large shopping center should not be a problem ... maybe 300 feet is reasonable for that, especially if one can reasonably enforce a no-smoking ban within say 200 feet of the preschool.
2. #7 requires another option: the City should not allow the sale of Cannabis. I just lost a cousin at the age of 31 to drug use. It started out with a simple joint from time to time. I do NOT want my children walking down the street past 'Apple Store' style Cannabis distribution centers that try to make drug use look cool or the norm. I feel very strongly about this issue. We've happily lived in the area for the past 14 years. Through rising housing prices, school board squabbles and a lack of housing options. But if the City Council decides they want to profit off of this trade, I will look elsewhere for a community that looks out for the best interests of its youngest members.
3. #7. I do not want it sold in MV. EXCEPTION - Medicinal prescription at a pharmacy.
4. 1) City tax, reasonable but not prohibitive 2) 1000 foot minimum buffer from schools etc. - includes day cares and MV Adult Education Center, which please note - serves high school ages as well as adults.
5. 1) If you tax it, then you will be exposing City Hall, Performing Arts & Library employees and customers to potential robberies of cash payments delivered to the city. I visit City Hall regularly to access these places. 2) Remember the cannabis statewide vote was only 57% to 43%. Please consider the 43%. 3) Sobriety. Those of us who have fought hard for sobriety are the first to say we must live in the real world, but my concern is having this whole cannabis world from storefronts to deliveries and advertising in our face is just too much. The Mayor and speakers at the Council meetings are fond of saying "well, January 1st has come and gone and the world didn't end, ha ha". No, it just got a whole lot harder for 43% of us. No judgment on what others do, but please smoke it and grow it inside your own

home and leave me out of it please. 4) This is a land use issue. Those liquor, cigarette, and now cannabis stores give our community that urban ghetto feel. Do we really want that for our property values? Let Sunnyvale put cannabis stores next to the Brass Rail, the gun shop on El Camino, and the bars and lounges. 5) Our Police Department has more than enough to do now. Even if you fund more officers with pot taxes, any regulation you adopt will be lip-service and unenforceable. The Police don't have the time, period. That's not right to put the burden on them, or subject 43% of us to the danger of getting shot or harmed during a cannabis robbery at a store or at city hall when the cash truck rolls in. Just avoid the whole problem please. (6) Not having cannabis sold or delivered here will NOT affect those who want to get their high legally. They can go to other cities and pick it up legally. (7) Growing pot in backyards is only going to increase crime from people wanting to get to the plants, especially mischievous teenagers. You cannot compost it either I'm told. (8) It's a land use issue and affects my ability to enjoy my own property. Example, my sister lives in Roseville and told me that she couldn't open her windows for fresh air because the neighbor one block away grew cannabis and it had a terribly invasive odor. The whole neighborhood complained and Roseville was able to do something about it because they had an ordinance against it before the State law changed. Take your time Mountain View, consider this carefully, it has far-reaching repercussions. Don't be swayed by the tax money.

6.
 1. Cannabis must be used only for medical purpose not recreational. 2. Cannabis smokers must contain the pollution they cause in public. Let them do it in their backyard or inside their home, not in any public area. When the wind is still even cigarette smoke traverses many 100's of yards and 2nd hand smoking is choking. Unlike drinking where it's inside a person's body, smokers pollute air which is a bare necessity to live and common for all in public and so air must be kept unpolluted esp from narcotic effects. 3. Imagine a park or public event and cannabis smoke is all over air. Did people who do not smoke ask for it? Why ruin the event? There will be unpleasant clashes and issues between groups who like it vs not in the public place which is not what you want. Eventually people will stop going to such public open air events and that's loss for the event business and city as well.
7.
 1. Drunk driving already causes too many deaths and now Mt View plans to open more liquor (dope) stores! What idiocy! Furthermore, while DUI tests are admissible as evidence in court, there is no reliable test for "driving stoned" when you kill someone with your car. See...

<https://www.npr.org/sections/healthshots/2017/07/30/523004450/scientists->

[still-see-a-reliable-duit-test-for-marijuana](#) 2. We already have a drug problem, why does Mt View insist on exacerbating that problem.

8.
 1. I did not vote to legalize cannabis because I don't believe we need to foster substance abuse and more people functioning in their daily lives in an altered state. I believe traffic accidents will increase from legalization and it opens the door for kids/teenagers to have even greater access to cannabis.
 2. I do not want to smell my neighbors smoking in their backyards.
 3. I do not want an unregulated number of cannabis business in the City that may increase commercial/light industrial rents and drive out existing businesses. The number of businesses should be limited to a small number chosen by lottery.
 4. I believe residential areas should be included in the list of sensitive land uses.
 5. I believe the 600 ft. setback distance should be increase to 1,000.
 6. I believe the zoning ordinance should be set-up to permit each business through discretionary action, not ministerial approval. The City should require renewal of licenses on an annual basis to ensure only good operators keep operating in the City. The City needs very clear, precise controls developed as part of the zoning ordinance.
9.
 1. My concern is there should be a demand before allowing a lot of cannabis stores to open. Allow say 3 to 4 stores to open. If there is a strong demand, then please allow more stores to open. I really really prefer that triangle of Old Middlefield, Independence and Rengstorff. Also, on the buffer, if a day care center or whatever opens after a the cannabis store has opened, the cannabis store should be allowed to remain where it is. (But you probably knew that.)
10. A city cannabis tax could be used to offset the cost of extra law enforcement contingencies that may accompany the arrival of these dispensaries. However, it's also possible that additional taxation on top of already high prices of legal recreational marijuana will drive more consumers toward illegal channels. Also, my answers to the first two questions may appear contradictory, but I've checked the box that I prefer (no stores) and a box which I view as the least bad option should dispensaries in MV be approved.
11. A quick trip to Oregon will show how wrong things can go when recreational cannabis is legalized. Multiple storefronts on one street of a very small college town, billboards.... Please CAREFULLY consider limiting the number of storefront locations to one, or none in Mt. View and heavily tax the non-medical use of marijuana! As a nurse, I understand the benefit of medical cannabis to some, but as a citizen and parent of teens, I strongly oppose the opening of storefronts in our community. I appreciate the college advertisement seen recently on a bus - " There's a reason they call it 'wasted'!..... "

12. A small tax would be appropriate to pay for policing and other city government activity related to cannabis. Other cities should legalize cannabis so that Mountain View doesn't become a destination for recreational users from all over the peninsula. Otherwise, there's nothing wrong with a competitive, free market.
13. Action is required Mountain View can't continue to kick the can down the road. Voters have approved legalization and there is a revenue stream from taxes that could be put to good use in the city.
14. After the state law passed, I've encountered more usage in public than before. I'm worried this will be exacerbated by the presence of dispensaries in the city. I'm not against it, but would want a active police presence near dispensaries and near neighborhoods to make sure it is not used openly.
15. Again, my only real concern is around what messages we are sending to our youth. Studies show a connection between increased anxiety with MJ use during teen years. If we institute local dispensaries that are easy to manipulate, we are telling our youth that getting high is ok for all. Given what we know about brain development, the teenage brain cannot withstand significant exposure over long periods of time. Teens do not believe this; also part of their brain development - they are invincible. Let's keep them focused on education and garnering happiness in their lives.
16. age limits
17. Allow it and tax it!
18. Already have an ongoing problem with the taxing of the product if you add to the already high taxes. Consider keeping it low or people will go elsewhere for it. 38% is extremely high and is pushing medical patients out the door.
19. Amount of dispensaries should not be regulated. It should be controlled by demand.
20. Any business selling cannabis products should be required to do outreach on substance abuse, provide videos of persons who suffer from substance abuse, brochures, outreach to schools on the effects of substance abuse, MSDS.
21. Any new fees and taxes need to have an exemption for medical cannabis patients, as they are already getting reamed under the new scheme in many areas, and fair access is already an issue. Further, I would urge any newly levied taxes to be kept to a minimum, as the higher the final price, the more likely a black market is to survive the transition. I am also concerned that due to NIMBY-like concerns, potential cannabis businesses may be shunted into the industrial areas in the north of town. I am of the belief that that will only detract from ability of such businesses

to be upstanding members of the city business community. Meanwhile, allowing them in downtown could further improve downtown businesses' ability to draw in new patrons and revenue.

22. Any regulations, requirements, etc that you may implement will not be followed. People do not obey the laws and regulations of the DMV and these will be no different. More staff will be required to police it. There is no valid reason for this to be available in this community. The city should be advocating family values and wellness, not addiction.
23. Are there regulations regarding different forms of cannabis? For examples, edibles like cookies to gummy bears. Can people smoke cannabis in restaurant or in hotels or in hospitals?
24. Are there restrictions for residents growing their own cannabis? Will retail shops and dispensaries have monitoring equipment ?
25. As a resident of Mountain View I shouldn't have to travel over 45 minutes to find a dispensary. I would rather give money back to my local community.
26. As a resident, I am against retail outlets for selling cannabis in Mountain View. For medical use, they could sell it at the pharmacies like Walgreen's or CVS. If you must have these, put them far away from residential neighborhood, and regularly review the effect of these stores, such as changes in amount of crimes in the neighborhood, DUI/DWI incidents, drug violations, etc. etc. We don't really need these in Mountain View. There are probably places in San Jose or Santa Clara where it is available. We do not need more parking issues or customer traffic downtown.
27. As a teacher in an underprivileged school, I would really like to see tax money from cannabis go to support schools that do NOT already benefit from large parental donations.
28. As an already existing medical marijuana cardholder that is under the age of 21, it has recently become exceedingly difficult and overpriced for me to get marijuana after it's legalization. I use marijuana as a last resort treatment of chronic migraines (and the only treatment that has worked in the long run). Now due to it's inaccessibility and pricing I have suffered from more headaches and migraines in early 2018 than I have in almost a year. I believe that it is crucial that there is at least one (preferably more) dispensaries that are not solely focused on the recreational user and the 21+ age requirement (regardless of whether or not the customer has a medical recommendation). It's pertinent that the population of

under 21 medical users have access to dispensaries that will serve us and not charge a premium for our age.

29. As I stated my concern above. Right now law enforcement does not have the ability to field-test for MJ. Driving under the influence is my greatest concern. We already have enough impaired drivers on our streets, with alcohol, drugs, cell phones, texting, reading (yes) that we don't need another hazard. Sick people who would benefit from MJ use should be able to get it as an RX from their health providers and PHARMACIES.
30. As someone who is disabled and dependent on CBD cannabis for pain management, I'm grateful our city of Mountain View is taking this topic into consideration. I'd prefer to spend my money on Mtn View businesses and not have to make the 30 min drive to SJ for medicine that helps me function and sleep. Thank you.
31. As you can tell from my responses, we DO NOT WANT ANY CANNABIS sold in this city.
32. Be careful - it is clear that over-taxation will just result in continued use of black-market cannabis. Also, you are in competition with other municipalities. Not getting this right will have grave consequences - the logistical, safety, and property-value consequences without the increased revenue.
33. Believe that cannabis shops will attract low-lives, both as purchasers and thieves. I also believe its legalization signals to school kids that the drug is cool and acceptable. I think it is neither. If it is a medicine, sell it through pharmacies.
34. Better to let other cities have it so the attraction of that element - and it does exist - will be attracted there. This is not a required "service" that Mountain View needs to provide its residents. And, there is not enough tax revenue that would make this worth while. Whatever revenue is created, there will be more costs associated with controlling it.
35. By not having sales in Mountain View we eliminate some high school kids from making a Lunch Purchase. I believe that the Tax-income from sales is not enough to offset the additional crime it brings.
36. California citizens voted for legalizing marijuana over a year ago, but the Mtn. View City Council still has not prepared for the event. This foot dragging is yet another demonstration of the lack of representation the general population has on its government. If a company wanted to cut down 100 heritage trees to build a large new office complex, the city permit would have been rubber stamped immediately.

37. Can the city of Mountain View provide additional regulations? Our neighbor behind us has been smoking it all the time since this law passed. We live in a townhome complex with only a small patio of outdoor space, but every time we go out there now it smells like my neighbors weed. Even my kids recognize it and ask what the smell is. Is it even safe for us to be inhaling all the second hand smoke so often? How can this be allowed? Can the city of Mountain View require people smoke this stuff inside their house or at legal dispensaries only so neighbors are not affected? I should be allowed to enjoy my patio without smelling that stuff all the time.
38. Can we ban it? MV has tax sources from Google and other tech firms, there is not much marginal tax benefit from allowing cannabis shops, but many concerns with allowing it. Have we established rules about where can smoke pot and where can not? Have we thought about what type of people those cannabis shops might attract and what they might do afterwards? Does MV really need cannabis shops in our neighborhoods? For those who really want to buy pot, there are multiple counties allowing it already, it would not hurt to drive a few miles to buy for those who are addicted. Please, I don't want to live in an area where the air is polluted with the smell of pot and giving a sense of unsafeness.
39. Cannabis commercial activities should not be allowed in Mountain View. Anyone who supports it should have their own home be converted to a cannabis store. How would you feel if all kinds of people come here to consume cannabis? A few concerns: it will attract certain type of people. The smell is horrible. And that after they have cannabis their behavior can be out of control. That could increase the risk of DUI, violence and robbery.
40. Cannabis consumption has no lethal dose. It is a benign substance that has been unfairly vilified by politicians for far too long. Let the market decide the locations, distributions, and quantity of cannabis shops in Mountain View.
41. Cannabis dispensaries should only be located near City Hall or the Mountain View Police Station Headquarters or El Camino Hospital. They should not be in retail centers such as Costco or San Antonio Center or Miramonte shopping centers. There should not be any at city boundaries. Why wasn't the "San Jose allows a maximum of 16 businesses (approximately 1 for every 64,000 residents)." A choice? Only higher densities were allowed. What is the age limit? Will you be carded going into the store? Definitely do not allow it next to any liquor store.
42. Cannabis has detrimental effects that are not taken seriously, nor is the public educated: memory loss, increased incidence in schizophrenia in families without a history of it, and the usual incidents and accidents from being drug impaired that

impact the community. Keep this out of Mountain View, with the exception of closely monitored and doctor dictated "medically necessary."

43. Cannabis is a commonly used product among many Mountain View residents. We should not force people in our community to seek a legal product in neighboring cities instead of being able to purchase it nearby. Allow dispensaries gives business owners new opportunities, and can help encourage local development. To not allow this would be a step backwards for an otherwise progress city.
44. Cannabis is a federally regulated, schedule 1, controlled substance -- like heroin or LSD from a federal regulatory perspective. Until this conflict in regulation is resolved I can't support cannabis sale in my city (or in my state). Are we going to start picking and choosing which federal regulations the state and city will observe and those it won't? Also, most cannabis (on a mass proportion consumed basis) is consumed by smoking. While many suggest that pot smoke is much less hazardous than cigarette or similar smoke, it's still smoking -which is at least somewhat hazardous. Didn't we learn our lessons with cigarettes? If it's a medicine it should be prescribed by a medical professional considering a specific need (after regulation is resolved). If it's used recreationally as an intoxicant, then we need statutory definitions for intoxication for relative to operating machines, including vehicles. How does an officer conduct a field sobriety test for cannabis, which has less motor control impairment but significant judgement impairment issues?
45. Cannabis is a gateway drug, we are gambling with our children's lives here. Yes, the voters decided to make it legal, that does not mean that Mt. View should be promoting its consumption. The opposite is true, the City of Mountain View should be discouraging the use and availabiltiy of cannabis and other drugs to children and young adults (up to mid-20's).
46. Cannabis is illegal under Federal law, so the City and it's employees are a liability risk including being held personally liable.
47. Cannabis is illegal under federal law. 600 feet from a school or day Care is absolutely insane. I have lost a cousin to heroin addiction that was initially started through marijuana use as a 16 year old. I have also seen a five year old girl get killed by an out of control stoner in a collision. I absolutely do not support cannabis in mountain view - it is a federally banned substance.
48. Cannabis is legal just like alcohol. I believe that regulation and permitting should be similar to the way the city treats bars, liquor stores, etc.
49. Cannabis is less harmful than tobacco and potentially alcohol, it should be treated no more strictly than alcohol/tobacco (e.g. allow sale in supermarkets). Just as

with alcohol, we should strictly ban sale to minors and require ID checks. City could tax it (like tobacco) as a luxury item (but, potentially, don't tax if it is for medical purposes with a doctor's prescription). If we are researching restrictions on sale, I hope any restrictions are also explored for tobacco products (also or instead). I think all around (abuse by minors, potential for addiction, secondhand smoke concerns), I'm more concerned about tobacco than cannabis.

50. Cannabis is less problematic than alcohol and any regulation should be considered accordingly.
51. Cannabis is much safer than alcohol. Every major study has shown that it is less addictive, less harmful to physical and mental health, and -- unlike alcohol -- can actually have a medically positive effect. Please do not make it harder to buy a safe, natural, potentially helpful substance, than it is to buy one that is harmful and dangerous.
52. cannabis is now approved in CA, so mountain view should allow businesses to open. as long as they follow the law, they should be allowed. surrounding areas have cannabis dispensaries, so you're only losing tax money by not having them in mtv - you're not controlling "use" by not having dispensaries in mtv. people voted for dispensaries, so let them open here and be a benefit for the city. today's dispensaries want to do the right thing and be a positive for the city they're in. if you don't allow dispensaries because you don't like the product or think it will allow kids to get cannabis more easily, that's wrong. if you don't allow dispensaries, then you should close all liquor stores - and we know you won't do that.
53. Cannabis is taxed enough and its driving the prices through the roof. You will get enough revenue from general business taxes and there is no need for additional taxes.
54. Cannabis retail should be as regulated as hard alcohol retail, no more so.
55. Cannabis retail should NOT be allowed in Mountain View.
56. Cannabis retail should not be allowed in Mountain View. Mountain View has always been a safe, pleasant place to live in. Please don't let Cannabis retail ruin it.
57. Cannabis sales in Mountain View put existing retail at risk by decreasing the sense of public safety, cleanliness, and walkability. We can only loose by stooping to accommodate porn shops, tattoo parlors, liquor stores, and cannabis retail. Salem, Oregon is an example of how cannabis retail has become a magnet for a burgeoning homeless population and public health nightmare.

58. Cannabis sales should be prohibited. This is a professional, family-oriented city. Cannabis has no place in the cradle of creativity. Dangerous for families and children, harmful for business and productivity.
59. Cannabis sales should be treated as tobacco sales are treated.
60. Cannabis should basically be treated as cigarettes in terms of where it's sold, marketing, distribution, taxation.
61. Cannabis should be regulated as alcohol is regulated. This isn't prohibition anymore and cannabis offers a more resource for people suffering from chronic pain and cancer.
62. Cannabis should be regulated as Neuromedicine.
63. Cannabis should be regulated like alcohol and/or tobacco, that's it.
64. Cannabis should be treated as no more of a community concern than alcohol.
65. Cannabis should be treated the same as Alcohol. Legal to purchase with the same rules and laws such as no driving etc.
66. Cannabis should be treated the same as tobacco or alcohol. Neither more or less stringently.
67. Cannabis should be treated the same way alcohol is - minors should not have access, and it should be a concern when operating a vehicle.
68. Cannabis should be viewed similar to liquor; availability, quality, location and distribution should be permitted and regulated to enable personal freedom of action while ensuring public safety. I'd prefer that the retail locations not be lumped together to create a "pot zone" and instead be spread out within the city. There should be enough retail outlets to create competition.
69. Cannabis should definitely be legal for sale in Mountain View. Also, cannabis should definitely be taxed. This can be a win win for the city and residents of Mountain View. Use the tax money and feed it back into the public education of Mountain View. Who cares if people want to smoke cannabis? It shouldn't be up to others to tell people how to live their lives. This way if people want to buy, they have to pay a heavy tax on it. It improves the city of Mountain View by pumping more money into schools and potentially other public utilities. Apply most of the laws of smoking tobacco to smoking marijuana and there would be no major issues. As far as most medical research goes, marijuana does not have even close to the number of negative health effects that smoking tobacco has, yet tobacco is 100% legal (feel free to contact me and I will send along pdfs of the research articles that support this). That just doesn't make sense. Something that has

medicinal properties is illegal, but tobacco, that has zero medicinal properties and is the number one cause of lung cancer is totally fine? That just doesn't make sense...

70. Cannabis should have similar regulations are alcohol & tobacco
71. Cannabis should NEVER be allowed in Mountain View.
72. Cannabis should NOT be allowed in Mountain View. Why provide a substance that is linked to decreases in human motivation levels, when Silicon Valley success is broadly built on that very characteristic? Our city is small with schools in nearly all neighborhoods, including downtown. Young people, whose brains are still developing, will be exposed to marketing, attracted by it, and negatively impacted by the availability of this substance. " These policy changes could trigger a broad range of unintended consequences, with profound and lasting implications for the health and social systems in our country. Cannabis use is emerging as one among many interacting factors that can affect brain development and mental function." (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26842658>) See article: https://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/07/02/marijuana-motivation-longtime-usepot_n_3534031.html Notes regarding your survey: Required responses to all questions should have offered option to continue to reiterate viewpoint that cannabis should not be allowed, in lieu of requiring comment to questions that imply agreement to something which respondent fundamentally disagrees. Responses to such questions (#3,5,7) do not reflect agreement to Cannabis. Safety is a very broad category and could have been more delineated to help respondents further share their specific concerns that fall within.
73. Cannabis should not be sold in Mountain View. Mountain View should be the last place with revenue problems. Allowing the sale of a harmful substance to M7V residents is a bad idea. Cannabis consumption has the following effects: 1) it can make you sleepy and lethargic it can affect your memory 2) it makes some people feel confused, anxious or paranoid, and some experience panic attacks and hallucinations 3) it interferes with your ability to drive safely 4) if you use cannabis regularly, it can make you demotivated and uninterested in other things going on in your life, such as education or work 5) long-term use can affect your ability to learn and concentrate. Why would I want my children, other people's children, and the citizens of MV generally consuming this completely unnecessary substance? Other than possible medical uses, there are only negatives for its use.
74. Cannabis should not be sold in Mt. View. You will destroy the beautiful and culture that exists.

75. Cannabis smoking should be banned in Mountain View as there is no way to prevent 2nd hand smoke impacting others, especially children.
76. CANNABIS SOLD LEGALLY WILL CUT OUT SMALL DRUG DEALERS, SOME CRIME & INCREASE REVENUE FOR THE CITY.
77. Cannabis use should be allowed in 21+ bars (outside on patio if smoke)
78. Cannabis used in non-medical purpose makes humanity as IDIOTS! STOP IT! Seriously! Our society has enough those who addicted to other sheat (sorry), so do not add more problems to us by allowing to retail Cannabis! The history showed that Cannabis is the same as narcotics drugs! How you could give people to buy it??? Smoking cigarettes are prohibited now in public places, so, tobacco is going to be replaced by Cannabis??? This is STUPID!!!! Then better allow people to smoke tobacco! At least tobacco does not make brains get crazy, as Cannabis does!!!!
79. Cannabis will already be taxed at a high rate. If Mountain View were to tax cannabis the tax should be very small (like Measure B) and purely for the maintenance of cannabis services in Mountain View. That way the regulation of cannabis would support the community who uses cannabis. I am disabled and I receive medical cannabis. I don't have a car. The number and location of dispensaries is very important to me. As it is now, they are mainly located in industrial areas in San Jose and they are extremely difficult to get to, since mass transit doesn't go there.
80. Cannabis, in general, should be regulated in a way similar to other legal, over-the-counter medications, according to its medical risk to the user. Purity and truth in advertising should also be addressed.
81. Cannabis with THC should be regulated similar to other substances that require age limits (e.g., alcohol, tobacco, etc.). Otherwise, cannabis products without THC should be treated as mainstream products on offer without restrictions like other non-cannabis lotions, tinctures, whatever. The more standardized and integrated we make cannabis use/sales in terms of regulations, the less taboo and intrigue will be developed by youth and our community over time.
82. Cannabis should be treated just like alcohol and tobacco.
83. Cannot see any reason why cannabis should be treated any different from alcohol or tobacco products. As long as the dispensary is not a nuisance, the city should not be creating any additional obstacles...
84. City of Mountain View is a very small city, it should not consider to set up any retail store of cannabis in city. Usage of cannabis should be limited in private residence and not be allowed in any public area of city. Commercial business

should post sign of cannabis usage allowed, so the people can decide whether to go in or not. Research of second hand smoking have already showed many negative impact to human health. Second hand cannabis will have the same issues.

85. City of Mt View should not allow businesses to sell cannabis in any capacity to ensure the health and safety of children and teenagers .
86. City should absolutely NOT allow cannabis sold in Mountain View.
87. City should also consider regulating how these businesses market their products. Stiff fines should also be set and enforced for businesses that break any ordinances/laws.
88. City should not allow cannabis stores or any sort of sales or use in Mountain View
89. City should prohibit cannabis use in public areas due to smell.
90. City Tax should only be added if and only if the commercial storefronts use an extraordinary amount of city resources. And by that I don't mean police investigations to shut them down, but police protection to protect the stores the same as every other store.
91. Clean up criminal records of residents arrested of using marijuana
92. Close that stupid gun store and put a cannabis shop in it's place
93. Commercial cannabis are very bad to the health of youth and adults, make the community not safe. The commercial cannabis should not be allowed at the city of mountain view.
94. Comparing the number of permits in San Jose to Mountain View is not realistic. Mountain View doesn't even compare to San Jose regarding size. If using San Jose as a guide, there should be zero dispensaries in Mountain View. Additionally, 600 feet distance from schools is far too short. This is barely outside of a 25 mph school zone. Are liquor stores even allowed this close to schools? I just don't think cannabis should be allowed in Mountain View. If users want to have their own plants or drive to a larger city to buy, they can, but Mountain View is too small of a community to take this type of business and risk.
95. Concerned about the impact on police staffing and public safety. Very concerned about increased driving while under the influence of cannabis and the resulting public safety issue. Concerned about the fact that dispensaries can only deal in cash due to federal regulations and the potential for increased burglaries and its impact on public safety. Concerned about the increased safety issues described above and its increased demand on our local hospitals and other medical facilities.
96. Concerned about the packaging being attractive to kids

97. Curfew limits on dispensaries- they should be allowed to operate as long as they have employees willing to staff. Also there should be no limits to quantity per individual. Also vapor should be regulated differently than smoke.
98. Customers for cannabis will go to neighboring cities if not available in Mt. View. It's better that the city get the tax benefit and provide safe, secure infrastructure for residents and visitors.
99. Decidedly, I won't vote for anyone on this city council again. I'm certain I've never been so disappointed in my local government, as I have been the last couple of years. This is just the cherry on top of, what I consider to be, many failures in recent years.
100. Do not allow any public use of cannabis.
101. Do not allow cannabis or dispensaries into our city. We do not need the type of people it brings, its influence, or its revenue.
102. Do not allow home delivery services for cannabis.
103. DO NOT HAVE CANNABIS SALES AT ALL!!!
104. Do not put retail storefronts in the downtown.
105. Do not tax the medical cannabis because for the ill people who need it to survive pain etc. Tax the cannabis for commercial use, just as cigarettes are taxed.
106. Don't really agree with the underlying premise that cannabis should be allowed. That said, it should be taxed and it should be made hard to access by minors others who are faking the need for cannabis for "medical" reasons.
107. Don't want the beautiful Mountain View environment be deteriorated by cannabis.
108. Driving under the influence enforcement.
109. Drugs should not be allowed in Mountain View (anywhere!!!)
110. Easier on the environment and safer for everyone on the road if there are local dispensaries, so people do not have to drive so much.
111. Educate the people so those who can benefit from it learn more and aren't needlessly afraid. People are in rough spots these days and cannabis can do so much to help.
112. Educators and counselors in both high schools, Los Altos and Mountain View, should have students look at the latest research on heavy use of Cannabis. It messes with kids' brains especially when they start young. Everyone who has kids knows that there is a lot of use of Cannabis at all schools (private and public) and in college. It is super easy for any 18-year-old to get a medical card and buy weed at dispensaries. So kids should really be made aware of the consequences. This is

all we can do and we owe it to our young people. Kids smoke if they feel anxiety, but they ignore the fact that smoking weed can enforce panic attacks and greater anxiety. It is a double edged sword. They need to hear this from parents and educators.

113. Employees at dispensaries face the same risk as other businesses. Carrying large amounts of cash to the bank for deposit puts these employees at risk for robbery, something I don't want to see happen anywhere, much less in Mountain View.
114. Everywhere that cannabis is a taxable business it has been good for the community and good for the citizens of that community. I'd like mtn view to be at the forefront of the future. I think it would be an absolute mistake for Mountain View to allow retail cannabis sales in Mountain View. Would cartels from non-U.S. countries be the "goods suppliers" for such businesses (and the risks that come with that)? Would foot traffic in our streets be different because such retail establishments exist? Would curious or rebellious (and possibly unerage) kids purchase weed in such places? Not ashamed to say that that California's "turn" to allowing cannabis use is not a good thing, and to compound the mistake by allowing retail in our city would be even more grievous. There are other, more "societal-ly" wholesome ways for the city to solve its revenue problem/hunger for revenue, in my opinion. I hope this whole thing is put to a referendum vote. The LAST thing I want to see is my City Council voting to approve cannabis retail.
115. Federal law makes pot illegal and it needs to stay that way. If smoking cigarettes, that have filters, is bad for your health, what studies show that smoking dope is ok, and it is smoked without filters? The City is sanctioning this bad habit and creating a liability from future lawsuits for itself. The City needs to be a smoke free zone regardless of the substance.
116. Federal laws should prevail where cannabis is concerned--it is a gateway/problem drug and should NOT be accommodated in any way beyond proven medical need. This is a poor survey insofar as some questions presuppose cannabis is OK at some level--when in fact it is bad for society in countless ways--just like cigarettes--obnoxious. SADY, what once was a desirable community and a great place to live and work is on a steep and irreversible decline into becoming the "People's Republic of Mountain View"facilitating cannabis will only accelerate that decline. :<(
117. For a long time cannabis has had a very negative reputation. As a person who grew up with a negative view of the plant and drug, the idea that it was "bad" was dispelled after I had to use CBD to relieve my back pain. Whether or not it's used

medicinally or recreationally, the city's decision to move forward with allowing dispensaries in the city will help allay the public's fear of this useful plant.

118. For clarification on #7: I do not think any additional tax beyond the usual Mountain View sales tax should be placed on cannabis sales.
119. For goodness sakes...this is good tax money, folks. If they don't buy it in Mountain View, they will go somewhere else.
120. For me, "Safety" = protection of both dispensaries and customers from Federal overreach, as well as from possible criminal activity against dispensaries and/or customers. I'm all for taxing recreational cannabis, but not medical cannabis. Deliveries of medical and recreational cannabis should be allowed. Medical doctors should be allowed to write prescriptions for medical cannabis inside the City limits. Growing several plants for personal use, outdoors, on one's own property or, for renters, with the permission of the landlord, rather than in a room using more expensive artificial light, should be allowed. Thank you for requesting community input online!
121. For the most part, I think considering each store on a case-by-case basis is probably the best bet. I don't think the population is high enough to absorb more than 1-2 stores.
122. Fund education through cannabis taxation.
123. Generally, cannabis licenses should be regulated like liquor store licenses. Licenses and taxes shouldn't be cheap; however, they should be comparable to other nearby cities.
124. Get rid of the RVs that plague our streets
125. Going forward, if you allow alcohol sales (and you do) cannabis should be regulated in EXACTLY the same way.
126. Have a high tax if for recreational use
127. Having dispensaries in our city makes it that much easier for our youth to obtain marijuana. My high schoolers say that it is very easy to get a card. We have just made drugs easily available to our teens. Now that pot has become legalized we can now study the effects it has on our youth. It has terrible lasting effects. I am sad that our city has allowed this.
128. Hopefully Mountain View will discuss the options for delivery services. This will make it possible for businesses to thrive in a smaller location without a lot of parking, which fits the Mountain View area better.

129. hours of store operation. Rules of where cannabis can be consumed/smoked even with MD Rx's. Penalty for those who allow children to gain access to cannabis.
130. How about driving under influence of cannabis regulations.
131. How do we decrease violence if we are legalizing cannabis? How can we create good moral while legalizing cannabis?
132. How many liquor stores are in Mountain View, and where are they located? Shouldn't cannabis stores be treated the same??
133. I absolutely cannot believe we are wasting our time and \$ discussing this initiative however here we are. Just because the State has lost it's mind does not mean we need to, do NOT legalize the sale of pot in Mountain View!
134. I am a life long resident who moved from Palo Alto to MV 42 years ago, I have been in the Waverly Park neighborhood for the last 20 years. Voters overwhelmingly passed this initiative. I have been disappointed with how slowly city leaders are moving to get stores open in MV. Get on with it!
135. I am a medical marijuana user and know many people who uses marijuana to ease their pain. Without medical marijuana, I would have to resort to narcotics to ease my pain. Having local dispensaries in Mountain View would make it easier for me to get my dosage instead of hording or driving to San Jose in pain.
136. I am against allowing cannabis dispensaries in city of Mountain View.
137. I am against the sale of any cannabis in Mountain View.
138. I am all for the use of pot but strongly disagree with the current structure. The current model is based on making the most money for all in the marijuana business and they will look for how they can expand the business. Cannabis is already too large of a business before this past vote allowing recreational use. The amount of venture capital funds that has been spent is enormous and we are foolish to believe there are any altruistic intentions. Pain management was this way and now we have the Opioid crisis caused by greed from the pharmaceutical companies and complaisant silence from the medical industry and the regulators from state and local governments. Gummy candies, lollipops, brownies... the marketing etc is plain to see and with the sad orange fool we have in the white house only reinforces that we should stand up. This is what we will leave our kids. California I know we can do better.
139. I am appalled at the disgusting prospect of our kids being exposed to marijuana stores as they walk down the street to or from school. I am absolutely opposed to ANY commercial cannabis establishments in Mountain View. This will attract certain types of people to our town that I do not want to interact with and do not

want my children interacting with. The implementation of commercial cannabis establishments gives a clear message to our children and teenagers that DRUGS ARE ACCEPTABLE AND MAINSTREAM. The prohibition against selling marijuana to minors does not change this. VEHEMENTLY OPPOSED TO ANY KIND OF COMMERCIAL CANNABIS ESTABLISHMENTS IN MY CITY. It will drastically change the character of our town and render it much less family-friendly. As I have expressed above, the establishment of commercial cannabis in Mountain View imparts a dangerous message to our kids. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS!

140. I am completely dismayed at the way the Council has been embracing cannabis sales. Yes, its legal, but the city does not need to go running after operations to come to town or so enthusiastically endorse it as they did at Council. It's almost politically incorrect to be against cannabis sales. I can't imagine Council being enthusiastic about getting new liquor stores in Mtn. View, I see the both in the same light. I was recently in Colorado and I got sick of seeing all the dispensaries. What are we saying to our children??? I want NO storefront operations in Mtn. View. Other local cities are not doing and they'll all be coming to Mountain View.
141. I am concern with the consequences of people using cannabis and it's impact on others, such as 2nd-hand smoking, users operating vehicle or working interacting with people under the influence, etc. I believe people who does not use cannabis should have rights, too and have the right NOT to get expose to or smell the smoke.
142. I am concerned about impacts to the community and how they will be addressed. Concerns include Effective DUI enforcement, 2nd hand smoke enforcement, public intoxication enforcement, effective prevention of sale or distribution to minors.
143. I am concerned about the impact of this law on our community. I'm not informed about the thoughts of law enforcement on this topic but I would weight their opinion heavily above the considerations of tax revenue etc.
144. I am concerned about use in public and neighborhoods where children, pets, and people not wanting to be exposed to the smoke and smell of cannabis will be forced to deal with the negative effects. I am also concerned about use of cannabis when driving.
145. I am concerned Cannabis being sold in MV, will attract interested buyers and usage around the retails. I don't want to inherit the second hand smoking effect, there is research shown cannabis lingers in the air longer than cigarettes smoke.

146. I am extremely concerned with the sale, distribution and locations of the sale of cannabis in the city. Please do not sell cannabis in Downtown MV. Please keep downtown free from dispensaries.
147. I am extremely worried about dispensaries near residential areas. As a highly allergic person, I don't want to smell cannabis on or near any property where I spend time.
148. I am happy and excited to see that Mountain View is taking actions to regulate activities related to cannabis in a comprehensive and democratic way. I strongly believe that a well thought regulation will provide extra revenue to the city of Mountain View while enabling residents to safely exercise their freedom in their pursuit of happiness.
149. I am more concerned about cannabis use than about businesses which dispense it. There should be no public use of cannabis except in specific businesses at which it is allowed similar to alcohol. . Driving under the influence should be strictly enforced against, similar to alcohol. education in schools should be provided to help educate our children about the health effects and life effects of cannabis.
150. I am not a cannabis user, but I believe it is has a much lower social cost than alcohol. There should be some rules to prevent sale to minors, but I don't think the location of the sale matters. Kids are going to be able to get cannabis on the black market regardless of where it is sold. It would be better to put city emphasis on programs that seek to limit demand from kids -- give them alternatives in education, sports programs, and jobs.
151. I am not a cannabis user, but strongly believe legalization provides many benefits to society - safety, health, and economic.
152. I am not familiar enough with the regulatory process to know that my patients receive a product that is unadulterated. The tax on cannabis seems reasonable, but the price is already pretty high and I'm concerned for the patients using it medicinally on a limited budget.
153. I am not in favor of it. I think it will increase youth usage and "drunk" driving and behavior in the city. I think it may cause increase in unfavorable behavior in the vicinity of the shops.
154. I am not in favor of people around me smoking pot (or tobacco) but I also don't think they should be arrested for it. Pot is already being sold in Mountain View by crooks and I'd like to put those people out of business. If there aren't enough stores or if the stores are hidden in out of the way locations then people will just continue buying from their normal sources. A 600 foot buffer around schools is a good idea

but I'm concerned that will get abused if its also applied to day care centers. If this applies to home day care then that could ban pot businesses from normal business districts where people actually go. I want to keep large companies out of the cannabis business or they'll do the same thing they've done with tobacco and oxycotin. I'm not sure what Mountain View can do about that, but maybe you could limit the value of companies (and their owners) to 'mom and pop' sized businesses and limit their suppliers to similar.

155. I am opposed to having this in downtown Mountain View on Castro Street or adjacent streets because I think there is a real risk of how clientele will act around a retail location. From what I've seen of medical marijuana dispensaries in SF, you get customers smoking and loitering in public and it's just not pleasant for the rest of us. The smell alone of marijuana smoke is pungent and could become just as much of a problem as cigarette smoke used to be.
156. I am strongly against any commercial cannabis sale in Mountain View. Proposition 64 provided freedom against legal action, but we do not need to encourage its use within our community and near our children.
157. I am very pro medical cannabis. I think now with it legal recreationally in the state there should be access in the city - retail, delivery, non-volatile manufacturing, storage and/or testing facilities. It is all very closely monitored by state licensing annually. It will bring some taxes in, but also more business overall.
158. I am worried that retail shops will make cannabis look attractive to children and teens. I am also worried that selling it in a normal shop will make it seem like it's not dangerous to our health. I also don't want people smoking pot all over town.
159. I believe cannabis should be treated the same as alcohol.
160. I believe easily recreational cannabis is beneficial to public health, the economy, and the criminal justice system.
161. I believe if cannabis is allowed to be sold in Mountain View, I think it will attract certain crime element I.e. assaults, robberies, fraud etc.... just to name a few. If people are allowed to purchase cannabis from MV I doubt they will wait until they go home to smoke it.
162. I believe that with the blended service the dispensaries will bring, of medical help for those who need it, and recreational use for those who want it, that like other services and products such as pharmacies and liquor stores, they should have freedom to work the same. They will charge regular taxes. They should not be charged more tax unless the city will have an extra cost at servicing them, more so than another business. They should be free to be where they want just as any other

business and the market will show if they can survive or not the same as any other business. I do not believe special regulations should be created. They are a business just as any other, and the regulations and taxes out there now would just apply to them too.

163. I believe that you should tax the marijuana sold in mountain view but not to an extreme extent. New businesses do need time to adjust and grow. On the other hand the city Mountain View should see some revenue to improve local services as well as provide information about addiction. Mountain View is a great city and I believe this will help it become an even greater city.
164. I believe we should treat the regulation and taxation of cannabis the same as we do alcohol. If we're currently taxing alcohol sales in Mountain View, then we should do the same for cannabis. If we have regulations that prohibit the sale/distribution of alcohol, we should "grandfather" those regulations over to cannabis unless the city feels current alcohol regulations are inadequate or need adjustment.
165. I didn't vote to legalize it and I don't want it accessible in my city. I already have a teen with a drug and alcohol addiction.
166. I dislike the smell of smoked cannabis, but that's no reason to ban it entirely.
167. I do not agree with Lenny Siegal's idea that cannabis should be available on Castro, etc. and easily to get to after a meal in downtown. I hope this survey reflects my view as I will find the visibility and promotion of cannabis disappointing. I've seen it in Seattle where I grew up and it is not a positive image -- it actually lowers the appeal of towns and cities. I am mainly concerned about the serious repercussions on children and teenagers. I know people addicted to marijuana and it is a serious problem for them. People will get it regardless but why make it look appealing, visible and so available. If Mtn. View ends up having a dispensary, it should be away from public spaces and near warehouses, industrial areas.
168. I do not believe Cannabis should be sold in MV. I believe it is telling the youth that it is 'okay' to smoke pot. Also believe this will add to our issues with drug users and homeless.
169. I do not support cannabis in Mountain View. We have so little space, the last thing we need is a flood of dispensaries. If it will be let in, it needs to be taxed and can't be allowed to concentrate in areas.
170. I do not want to see cannabis being sold in Mt. View at all. However, to be clear, if cannabis is used for medicinal purposes it should NOT be taxed but if it is for recreational purposes it should be taxed like everything else in this world.

171. I don't intend to become a client but I am absolutely in favor of storefront and non-storefront retail businesses selling cannabis. I find it very interesting how an Art and Wine festival is accepted and seen as a family friendly event and that a cannabis store can be demonized. Not that I condemn wine. If there are liquor stores why shouldn't there be dispensaries? It is a kind of business that can attract trouble, that is possible. My concern is, being a mother, for children of all ages. But at the same time, the more isolated and pushed in the outskirts the dispensaries are going to be, the more they can become a negative place. From an individual point of view, as always, common sense and morals should be the only regulations to prevent issues. There is not much that a city council or a police department can do there, apart maybe from social campaigns to educate and bring the attention to what are considered the main problems. But then again, these precautions should include alcohol and drug abuse too (opioid epidemic just to mention the most recent issue). I just hope fear won't team up with hypocrisy: gun and ammo stores and liquor stores are already everywhere. Why shouldn't dispensaries be allowed?
172. I don't think dispensaries should be on Castro street or El Camino
173. I don't want this in MV. I've seen first-hand the antisocial abuses that take place just outside dispensaries in San Francisco. If MV residents want Cannabis, then let's get it elsewhere.
174. I don't want this in our town.
175. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT SALES WILL BE PROPERLY REGULATED, SUCH AS SALES TO MINORS.
176. I don't know where all the dispensaries are in San Jose, but I accidentally passed by the two big ones, Harborside (out of Oakland, which I believe is also a grower?) and Buddy's (from San Francisco?) They're about 1/_ mi. from each other in auto mecha area on 10th, near 101. If Mtn. View has the large dispensary storefronts like these, larger buildings would be more feasible. It would be nice, though to have a small storefront downtown_maybe a branch of a large "chain" .
177. I don't mind it being sold for medical purposes, but I would like to keep a smoke free downtown. 2nd hand smoke of any kind sucks.
178. I don't understand why we would want to introduce this problem into our city. At a minimum let's take a wait and see approach like Los altos.
179. I dont want any drugs like this peddled to the population of our city. If we are the only city around that allows this, then people will come from all over to buy it here. Furthermore, if local residents get their marijuana delivered by robot, then it will clog up our sidewalks. The answer to your questions above are simple: NO,

NO, NO, NO, NO, NO, NO etc. I hope you find that this message meets your civility standards. Also we absolutely do not need hashish or hash oil in Mountain View as I understand that they are a LOT more potent than marijuana.

180. I don't want cannabis sold in Mountain View, but if it is sold, it should be taxed and carefully regulated.
181. I don't want it sold in Mountain View. I don't want kids thinking it is OK to kill their brain cells. I don't want more impaired drivers. How will the cops be able to determine if drivers are under the influence of cannabis? What literature and marketing will go out to the public to discuss the harm of its use?
182. I don't want Mtn. View to become a target of theft from vendors and warehouses. I'm not worried about cannabis users or kids getting access, I'm worried about crime and violence directed at sellers, delivery services, and storage facilities. Also, I hope that if we sell cannabis here we get some taxes from the sales!
183. I don't want to create a "vice alley," where 1-2 blocks become dedicated to alcohol, smoke shops, and dispensaries. I would worry that other businesses would leave the area and it would become an unpleasant place. My preference is to spread out dispensaries and smoke shops so that they are mixed with other types of businesses instead of concentrated in one area that turns into a slum.
184. I experienced first hand with my brother what the use of cannabis did to him, his life was awasted. I can't think of having stores all over Mountain View selling it, this is not right.
185. I feel like the same laws that apply to alcohol purchase should apply to cannabis purchase.
186. I feel taxing cannabis could be a good revenue stream for the city. However, I feel the tax shouldn't be overly much. There are other, more appropriate, "sin taxes" if needed.
187. I feel that cannabis is going to happen with or without oversight and regulation. With that in mind, I would like to see the taxes put to good uses such as school programs and drug/alcohol abuse education or recovery.
188. I feel that the City of Mountain View has worked hard to make downtown Mountain View a fun and safe place where families, young adults, and our older population in our community feel welcome and can enjoy friends, go to the theater, visit the library, and have a wonderful dinner. We take our family of 5 children to dinner there often and always feel comfortable. Our high school children like to go to lunch and hang out after school in downtown. I hope Mountain View can stay safe, be a place where adults can set a good example to

the children and teenagers, and be a good place to raise a family. My opinion is to not allow cannabis to be sold in Mountain View.

189. I fly out of town this Friday to attend the funeral of my cousin, who started experimenting with pot in college and just died at the age of 30 from heroin overdose. There are enough problems facing young adults these days without adding easy access drugs to the list. If you make it easier to get drugs, more people WILL use drugs, and not just people over the legal age limit. It's a drain on productivity, and it's especially hazardous during a young adult's formative years. Please Mountain View Council, take a stand for what is best for society. If this becomes legal in MV, city council members should consider attending the funeral of every life cut short in our town as a result of catering to the marijuana industry.
190. I have been a resident of Mountain View for over 33 years.
191. I have fewer concerns about the availability and distribution of cannabis than I do about alcohol.
192. I have many concerns about being subjected to second hand smoke, especially on my own property, as well as having impaired drivers on the road
193. I have many medical problems. I would eat something in a brownie. I just want to try it. Never have in 77 years. Maybe it does have benefits if so many people are willing to take it when illegal.
194. I have no interest in using cannabis. I never have and never plan to. However, I am not concerned about these businesses operating in Mountain View. For far too long violent organized criminals have reaped the profits from black market cannabis. It is good to see Mountain View leading the way in establishing a legal, safe, and peaceful way for cannabis growers, sellers, and buyers to transact their business.
195. I have no real qualms with warehouse and industrial business in Mountain View, but I'd prefer it not be sold here. Especially when neighboring cities have chosen to permit sale.
196. I have no specific concerns/knowledge relating to this law's implementation. Off hand, I believe regulations should be modeled similarly to any restriction on liquor stores, if any. Assume staff will be collecting information about the experiences of other communities, such in Colorado, and any concerns should be addressed in MV regulations. Also, perhaps there is a way to tax recreational use of marijuana but not prescribed medical use.
197. I have nothing against the availability of cannabis in Mountain View. However, my biggest concern is how its sale and use impact those who do not use cannabis. For example, I personally do not like the smell or the smoke from its use; it

actually makes me kind of sick and feel like to vomit. My other main concern would be around the safety of making it available -- especially only to those who can legally purchase and use it.

198. I have recently moved to Mountain View from Colorado, where there have been dispensaries for a few years now. Although I do not (and do not plan to) go to any dispensaries, I have not been bothered by them. In many cases, the dispensaries brought additional economic activity to shopping areas. I would encourage Mountain View to use this opportunity to welcome new businesses.
199. I have regularly bought medical cannabis from businesses in San Jose, and have seen no adverse effect on the surroundings (they have been well maintained, with at least one security guard always present outside the door or right by the door and opening it for customers; they scan IDs at the door to check ages; there is no smoking permitted near the dispensaries). So far it has all seemed completely safe (and I say this after almost a decade of experience). I have also gone to one in West San Jose before it relocated, and it was not isolated but was in a mini-mall. There were also no issues there.
200. I have taken a friend who is suffering from Parkinson's disease to a new storefront dispensary in Bernal Heights/San Francisco. The store had a guard out front checking all IDs, inside they double checked again and had an extremely nice set-up with a waiting area and chairs. My friend and I were able to wait until a skilled staff employee was able to personally assist in her needs, asking questions, explaining dosages, usage, etc. I was able to witness her original anxiety subside immediately under their professional care. While our visit was tied to a medical concern, I saw plenty of other folks shopping for recreational use. All were treated by individual staff professionals. This dispensary showed me that cannabis storefronts can be designed and run with the utmost of professionalism, and could be a contributing tax source to the community, and an asset to the local neighborhood and not a blight (as many fear.). I would love Mountain View to be selective in finding such professional dispensaries, as a model of who we are as a city and community.
201. I hope that cannabis dispensaries will be treated the same as liquor stores. The more ordinary they are the less enticing they will be to minors. Going to BevMo or Total Liquor isn't an illicit act, and neither should shopping at a dispensary.
202. I hope that public input helps shape the recreational marijuana sales tax. However, I am very concerned for medical cannabis patients. Medical marijuana should be sold as any other prescription medicine, with no tax. Many who rely on cannabis for pain relief, relief from nausea, etc., are disabled and on very fixed incomes. I

worry that people will no longer be able to afford their medication if medical cannabis is included in a likely higher marijuana taxation decision. I hope issues of access are discussed and that those that rely on medical cannabis to help control a variety of physical and psychological symptoms, often the most disabled and vulnerable in our community, will have their medical needs considered. Thank you.

203. I hope the sale of tobacco undergoes at least this much scrutiny.
204. I hope the tax is not too much or maybe can only be in place for those using recreationally rather than medically. It is important to me that those who need cannabis for medical reasons have good, clean, safe access to it. I would also like it to be well monitored and required to pass safety inspections to make sure it is safe for all who participate.
205. I hope we will have legal marijuana dispensaries or storefronts in Mountain View now that it's legal. I also hope we can take advantage of how taxing it can help our city budget.
206. I hope you take into consideration that many people with seizures depend on CBD oil. Think before make their life harder.
207. I knew a wonderful 22 year old who started on pot and died of fentanyl. Some drivers here are really bad already; what is to stop a driver from taking a bite of a pot brownie while driving even if illegal?
208. I know parents will not like this but it will be great tax revenue for the city. Perhaps some of the tax revenue can go to schools even.
209. I most support the sale/ dispensing of medical marijuana to those with medical needs. I am concerned about the ability of the city to monitor sales of recreational marijuana and keep it safe, not disruptive to the community/businesses.
210. I only say Yes the City should tax cannabis because you can use those funds to add more police to the streets to monitor. I am incredibly disappointed in the City of Mtn View for allowing cannabis to be legal. We have enough issues of distracted driving, etc. Yes, I'm concerned about people getting high and then getting in their cars and then texting. I can't believe this is happening. Better see more Mtn View Police presence
211. I personally do not care if of-age adults want to use cannabis products. However I have a huge problem with not being able to keep it away from myself and my kids. I already have problems with people in my apartment complex and near my office who smoke at all times of day. This smoke smells very bad to me- like skunk or

poop- and I would like it to be as easy for me to choose to be away from cannabis products as it apparently is becoming for people to obtain cannabis.

212. I picked NO on Question 7 for taxes but I'm definitely open to the discussion about taxing cannabis what those
213. I prefer no dispensaries in Mountain View. If there are, I would want the highest taxes and rules to be very prohibitive with high controls.
214. I purchase cannabis for a legitimate medical condition - terminal cancer. Those medical Expenses themselves are already high on top of the cost of living. Give us a break and don't tax it so high. We're already bleeding money to live here & stay alive.
215. I really do not think is a good idea to invite dispensaries to set up shop here in Mountain View. My brother was addicted to marijuana and tore our family apart. His use drove him to use other drugs.
216. I really don't like it when I am in public areas of mountain view that smell of cannabis. I am on the Steven's Creek trail a lot, and it is already a common occurrence.
217. I really wish it would not be sold in Mountain View.
218. I said "no" on a tax on cannabis. I don't think it should never be taxed, but we should understand what the market looks like before doing so.
219. I smoked pot regularly for a decade and a half, so I have a certain perspective that lends itself to the discussion. My "other" concerns cover those I selected: 1) safety -- I'm concerned about potential robberies, of dispensaries and their customers; 2) location -- there aren't any good locations to dispense recreational pot; 3) taxes -- MV's tax sources are solid enough to where the city doesn't need to risk safety. And then there's another factor: Pot just fries the brain, at least temporarily, and by allowing dispensaries the city is essentially condoning its abuse.
220. I strongly hate the idea of allowing Cannabis store in Mountain View. It will definitely destroy the peace and happiness of this community.
221. I strongly oppose the commercial sale and use of cannabis
222. I strongly oppose the sale of cannabis in Mountain View. It sends a message to our children that drug use is OK and makes it much harder for parents to teach their children to avoid drugs. When parents/teachers say "drugs are bad" but children see stores selling them in their community it will cause confusion and limit the authority of the adults who are trying to raise their children to be free from addictions/impairments. It also will reduce the safety of our community by

attracting buyers from other cities, increasing the number of impaired drivers on our roads, and increasing the number of addicts. I don't like the idea of taxing these sales because the city should not profit from promoting addictions and making our community less safe. I feel that our city should comply with Federal Law and NOT sell cannabis.

223. I support Mountain View allowing responsible, non-medical adult-use retail storefront sales and storage of cannabis. I am not a zoning professional but in my opinion cannabis and alcohol merit similar treatment as to the location of retail storefronts. I also support a public way to search for a licensed dispensary to allow consumers to be confident in the legality of the business they support, as San Jose has implemented.
224. I support regulated sale of cannabis in Mountain View. It should be treated the same as other products; taxed and available from retail outlets in downtown. Mountain View benefits from the sale of a more harmful substance: Alcohol. Cannabis should not be treated differently due to misguided or uninformed views of the substance. Increased use of cannabis and decreased use of alcohol would be a net benefit to the city and community.
225. I support that dispensaries should be able to have bank accounts so that staff are safer and robberies are discouraged. MV should promote safe use of products - no driving, machine operation, working, etc., under the influence of product.
226. I think 1-2 cannabis businesses is enough - even too many - for mountain view. If we have to have one, let it be a delivery service so it's not staring us in the face.
227. I think a portion of the tax should go toward education and public services in Mountain View as well as to places that help the homeless and underprivileged.
228. I think a portion of the tax should go towards building affordable housing.
229. I think allowing Cannabis dispensaries in Mountain View is a great idea! Currently, the closest cities with Dispensaries are in San Jose or San Francisco. So people that want it for either Medical or recreational have to travel to get some or have it delivered. I currently have a medical card for medical use of marijuana and I have it delivered because there are no dispensaries close. However, the MAIN benefit of allowing dispensaries in MV would be that, the city can tax it MORE for the recreational users vs tax for the medical card holders. This is how it is done with most delivery services and other dispensaries currently. This would mean more money to put to good use for the city of Mountain View! Be it, fixing roads, money for schools, or new projects that need help with funding in the city. I think this could be a pretty big deal for the city of MV and I think it is for the same

reasons that other cities and states in the US are now advocating for a movement like this because they all feel that it can be VERY beneficial to the city for both the short and long terms. I really hope this bill passes and I will be one of the people voting for it!!! Thank you for your time on this issue!

230. I think cannabis products should not be sold in Mountain View. If my opinion is in the minority, I hope the city at least taxes it enough to cover increased staff in all areas of government (safety, planning, legal, etc.). If cannabis products are allowed to be sold, I'd also like for these products to not be smoking products. Edibles can provide the high for medical or recreational purposes, but do not pollute the air with the smell of dead skunk or expose neighbors to substances that have negative health consequences.
231. I think cannabis retail stores should be treated essentially the same as liquor and tobacco stores are currently treated.
232. I think Cannabis sales in Mountain View should be given the same treatment as any other retail business, or at least be given the same latitude afforded to liquor stores
233. I think following the systems developed by San Jose are a good idea.
234. I think having dispensaries spread throughout Mountain View (similar to liquor/convenience stores) would provide the most coverage for residents, and help reduce any lingering stigma around legal cannabis use. I'm also in favor of a city tax on cannabis sales to generate revenue for the city.
235. I think it is appropriate for retail cannabis businesses to have a similar presence in Mountain View as liquor stores - enough locations that they're accessible if you choose to partake, but few enough/dispersed enough that it doesn't heavily impact the culture/feel of the city. I also think that it's important that they be dispersed throughout the city, not concentrated in one neighborhood. My main concern would be that wealthier neighborhoods would put up a fuss about having a dispensary in their local strip mall, which would push the dispensaries to be concentrated in lower income neighborhoods. If this happens, I believe it would not only impact the culture of those neighborhoods and further stigmatize marijuana use, but would make it easier for high income residents to look down on lower income folks and increase the lack of empathy and awareness around the income disparity.
236. I think it's important that we accept commercial use is now legalized and stop thinking of commercial cannabis as a bad-news, thing to be avoided situation in our community. I think many residents will be relieved to have access to it locally

rather than having to drive to San Jose or San Francisco. It should be taxed but at a manageable amount for individual consumers and it's important that the taxes collected go towards commercial cannabis infrastructure, regulation, etc. help growers fund organic pesticides, etc. people already use cannabis around the state so let's accept that and look at how we make the industry as low (negative) impact as possible.

237. I think it's important that clubs are located in well-lighted areas (safety) and with easy traffic access (reduce congestion). Dispensaries should not be confused with pharmacies. Dispensaries are too frequently run by organized crime syndicates who will bring their other crime opportunities into Mountain View such as prostitution, sex trafficking, illegal drug dealing and assaults on rival criminals. Do not be blinded by the tax earnings potential of dispensaries. Those earnings will be greatly diminished by the need for more law enforcement officers to handle the additional criminal activities so closely tied to these dispensaries and their clientele. Mountain View would be wise to take a 'wait and see' approach by allowing other peninsula cities to allow dispensaries first and observe their results. Mountain View already has it's hands full with the mobile homeless population and crime related to it being a multi-agency transit hub.
238. I think it's smart to start small and focused while we learn about the societal implications of retail cannabis. That being said, I agree with removing prohibition and ultimately see it regulated like alcohol and tobacco. An option to get it delivered to one's home is probably a good idea. Better stoned and at home rather than driving around.
239. I think market forces should determine how many dispensaries. But it is always possible that if MV has laxer laws re this than other cities, that MV would be the pot retail capital for the valley, dunno if that is bad or good. With taxation, it might be good, since there are plenty of good ways to spend the money (eg., education, public transit, bike and ped paths/blvds, parks, affordable housing).
240. I think safety should be a concern only if a dispensary is more likely to be the target of theft. However banks are also a high profile target and they're everywhere. I recommend looking at actual statistics from WA on that. However I am excited to see cannabis sales begin in Mountain View. This is a great tax opportunity for our city.
241. I think the city should take advantage of the tax revenue that could be generated here. My biggest concern would be safety by far. Just as I would be concerned with respect to safety when it comes to alcohol.

242. I think the tax on cannabis should be within reason. I categorize it within the same category as alcohol, so I think it should be taxed in the same way.
243. I think there ought to be community spaces available for people to enjoy cannabis in a community setting. An example would be a cannabis yoga studio, or maker space. Weed shouldn't necessarily be the focus of these spaces, but can be new sources of community engagement
244. I voted against this and still do not support the new law.
245. I voted for legalization of Cannabis, because I think it's a mistake to criminalize cannabis users. If people want to smoke it, let them do it. What I didn't expect is the lack of respect of too many cannabis users for my choice not to smoke cannabis, my right to fresh air, on my property, on our streets, in our parks and in public spaces. We need to enforce existing smoking restrictions against cannabis users, and consider new restrictions where necessary.
246. I want to ensure all responsible Mountain View consumers of cannabis have access to safe, tested, reasonably priced products, rather than having to rely on the black market. Over taxation and too few dispensaries mean California will be plagued by the black market and unsafe products for quite a while longer. Some municipalities impose taxes as high as 15% of gross revenue - this is not viable from a business perspective, and incentivizes businesses to misrepresent earnings. Zonings can be so restrictive that properties aren't even available, acting as a de facto ban, and supporting the many existing illegal operators. Thousands of Mountain View residents and workers consume cannabis and will continue to consume it regardless of how it is available. Please create an environment where they have access to legal products that are already heavily regulated at the State level.
247. I want to see cannabis offered in a clean, safe environment where consumers can understand the products available.
248. I will hold the City responsible for any accidents, whatever stripe, and pursue any legal means available to pursue remedies for their actions or lack of actions.
249. I will move my buying/ dining dollars to other cities if I have to walk by pot shops.
250. I wish that Mountain View would NOT allow dispensaries. I think that marijuana use is harmful to families and to society in general. I worry that dispensaries in Mountain View will cause marijuana use in Mountain View to increase, along with its negative consequences to families (especially the children of users), and a rise in crime and auto accidents.

251. I would actually prefer no cannabis sales in Mountain View but at the very least they should be located away from family areas, including most popular retail centers, and should be very limited in number.
252. I would be open to a revenue neutral cannabis tax to pay for the implementation of regulations. However, I request that the regulations be as light as possible.
253. I would like no more than one dispensary.
254. I would like to highlight that the state has explicitly said they will not license any cannabis business without a local municipality permit in place. And because of this, regardless if MV has a moratorium or not, no business within MV would be able to operate because MV will always be in control of issuing those permits
255. I would like to see as little of this business as possible in my neighborhood.
256. I would like to see regulation around when cannabis can be sold - like alcohol, there should be a 'last call' for sales. In addition, some regulation of store size - no mega stores, please! - would be reasonable.
257. I would like to see strict regulations on where cannabis can be used (similar to smoking regulations). I'm concerned about second-hand smoke.
258. I would not like cannabis in M V. The smell is horrible.
259. I would not like to see cannabis sold in Mountain View. I think it brings an unhealthy element to our city. I don't like to see young people exposed to this.
260. I would really like a coffeeshop like place where you can smoke or ingest edibles
261. I would strongly encourage the city of Mountain View to maintain its status on prohibiting cannabis dispensaries within the city limits.
262. I would strongly oppose any dispensaries in downtown Mountain View.
263. I would suggest to apply exactly the same regulations as we have for tobacco sales.
264. I would summarize my responses not as "build dispensaries everywhere", but "interfere minimally, and let the market figure out what works." We should make sure that it's easy for potential new dispensaries to see where their competitors will be located, to avoid misallocation of resources as things get started.
265. I would support and would love to apply for a job at a dispensary.
266. I'm already overwhelmed by the presence of cannabis smoke in Mountain View, on the streets and in parks with my child. Even after a mile long hike at Rancho San Antonio! If there was a way to ensure that people smoking cannabis didn't subject others to their secondhand smoke, I would be fine with it. But at this point I smell it more than tobacco smoke and it's really unpleasant for me and my child. So I don't want to see any more cannabis available anywhere at this point.

267. I'd be deeply concerned if there are storefronts selling cannabis in Mountain View: How to enforce no consumption of cannabis in public? Do we have enough data or research supporting the introduction of cannabis will benefit the majority of residents?
268. I'm a liberal and used Cannabis many years ago. I know the distortion it causes as well as hazards to children's developing brains. I don't support legal Cannabis for these reasons.
269. I'm concerned about the traffic accidents and higher crime rates this will instigate.
270. I'm not a user, but happy to have regulated sales and use in town. Given our variety of retail, industrial and office spaces, it seems like we are a good city for locating some retail.
271. I've been in many places where cannabis is legal. Don't over regulate or tax it. Its use is less harmful than alcohol.
272. I'd like patients to be able to access this drug for symptom management but don't feel the city needs to offer it for recreation. It seems difficult to keep it away from teenagers and that is with out having these businesses in the city.
273. I'd like to see the city move fairly quickly on this. Spend no more than nine months debating and fussing, and preferably less. Mtn View has shown itself incapable of effectively controlling the RV situation. It should spend all of its efforts to resolve that before opening up another Pandora's box with cannabis dispensaries and distribution centers. Mtn View could always open up these possibilities at a later time (i.e, save this idea for when we have budget shortfalls in the next recession).
274. If allowed, tax the sale of Cannabis at a level consistent with the sale of cigarettes. Use the tax money toward the funding of pension and health care costs for city employees.
275. If allowing cannabis sales is an absolute must, it should be HEAVILY taxed and the proceeds redirected for greater good, such as schools, parks, and other infrastructure.
276. If CA already taxes cannabis then I don't think Mt. View should add additional taxes. However if Mt. View does decide to tax cannabis they should put aside money to create a safe and sanitary space for people living in RVs to park. Get them registered and their environmental hazards off our streets! Enforce the 3 day parking rules. I'm surprised after the meth and firearm busts they haven't done anything about that! What's going on with all those broken down campers is far more destructive than having cannabis stores in the city.

277. If Cannabis is allowed it sends a signal that it is safe, but no one talks about the longterm damage on brain cells - stupification of adolescents that take it because they think it relieves stress. Oregon saw an increase in children hospitalized with overdose because gummi bears an other stuff with cannabis showed up. It's just not safe.
278. If cannabis is sold in Mtn View, in addition to the school buffer, there should be a large residential buffer.
279. If cannabis is taxed, all the proceeds should go toward the community (schools, parks, roads, etc)
280. If how it was implemented in Oregon is a good paradigm, a lot of concerns are people's fears. If people are going to use, it should be regulated and taxed. People have fears that are unfounded and cannabis will provide a nice tax base.
281. If it is allowed to be sold in MV there should be a tax to all consumers EXCEPT those who have a LEGITIMATE prescription for medical purposes.
282. If it is allowed, the tax should be at least as high as on cigarettes, and cannabis should be forbidden in public parks
283. If it is going to be sold, it should be regulated, limit amount sold, heavily tax and give proceeds to schools. Have minimal number of dispensaries to minimize resources to monitor it.
284. If it is sold in Mountain View, a benefit is supposed to be tax revenue, however this may be difficult and expensive to manage since funds can't be handled by federally insured banks or credit unions. The businesses may also be difficult and expensive to regulate because they will necessarily need to involve large amounts of cash. This increases safe and crime potential issues, will require additional police enforcement budget to avoid theft. Because of the cash element, accounting would be a problem and corruption could be a big problem. I would be important to regulate the smoking of cannabis as well, if not better than the smoking laws in force for cigarette smoking, or else this be become a big health hazard and nuisance for residents who will be forced to breath the smoke without consent. Also, though regulations are supposed to keep these products from children and minors, their increased presence in the community, especially now that the whole thing is still in experimental stages, means that the products will fall into the hands of minors more than before. This will have health and other negative effects that Mountain View will be required to spend time and money handling. Mountain View has bigger regulatory issues to spend time on, without adding these.
285. If it is taxed too much it will keep the "black market" going.

286. If it were to pass that cannabis is sold in MV, it must generate enough revenue to help offset all the other fees that residents are being charged with.
287. If it's going to be legal, tax the daylight out of it and use the taxes to fund anti-drug campaigns and also fund resources to help people who want to stop using drugs.
288. If Mountain View feels that it just can't overlook getting on the pot bus - please consider setting the highest tax rate to make this as expensive or more than cigarettes - or if this really is a health drug - make it as profitable as the prescription drugs seem to be for drug companies and pharmacies. Generally I don't support encouraging pot sales in Mountain View.
289. If Mountain View permits cannabis dispensaries, the number should be limited (1 or 2 at most) and no storefront dispensaries should be permitted. Cannabis sales remain illegal under federal law, however, and should not be permitted until that law changes.
290. If MV chooses to brand itself as a forward-moving town, it needs to allow marijuana to enter the market and profit off of its sale.
291. If one looks on a map for current dispensaries, there is a very large gap between San Francisco and San Jose. Allowing dispensaries in Mountain View will bring a steady stream of tax revenue, tourists and visitors that will spend money in our local businesses, and provide job opportunities.
292. If other cities in the area are taxing cannabis, then it makes sense to do so. If they are not taxing it, unless you are sure that you have a guaranteed customer base who are unwilling to drive to a taxable location, why do so?
293. If sale of cannabis is allowed in our city (my responses indicate that I hope this will not happen), significant taxing should be imposed and distributed between law enforcement and drug education in our schools.
294. If store fronts need this, put this in another city (San Jose? already has this). No store fronts in MTV PLEASE.
295. If the city elects to limit the number of retail business licenses, it should prioritize applications from individuals with prior cannabis related offenses.
296. IF the City has a relevant purpose for the tax (and I'm not even sure that drug rehab is relevant) THEN taxation would be okay. I do NOT agree with any plan to tax cannabis (or hotel rooms, etc) as a way to raise funds for unrelated purposes. Tobacco is lethal so it makes sense to tax it and use that money on prevention, stop smoking programs, medical care, etc. Add more gas tax for road repair. Cut back on these generous pensions for city employees.

297. If the city is going to allow the sale and use of cannabis, the city needs to be prepared with a method to test people they pull over for driving recklessly (and prohibited-while-under-influence activities) to see if they have cannabis in their system. The city should also ensure there is legislation prohibiting smoking of cannabis in establishments where smoking of cigarettes is prohibited. Think carefully about the regulations that apply to drinking and tobacco smoking and ensure the bases are covered with cannabis regulation and enforcement. My biggest concern is non-medical users compromising the safety of my family through driving while high, and spreading the fumes in places where we go. I avoid places known to have a lot of tobacco smoking, and if Mountain View got to smelling like cannabis everywhere, I would leave Mountain View.
298. If the city is going to tax it, make it a reasonable tax. Cannabis is scientifically proven to be helpful for a wide variety of diseases & conditions in a way that something like alcohol is not. Do not regulate this more than alcohol which is far healthier and much more addictive. The demonization of cannabis has unnecessarily contributed to mass incarceration for people of color, so to give people of color the first stab at business permits would be a good measure (mostly white middle class folks benefit from / profit off of the legalization of marijuana which is upsetting). Weed does not make people act violently like alcohol or die an early death like cigarettes, both of which are freely sold all over the place in Mountain View. I am a public high school teacher in the area and I 100% support the legalization of marijuana. It is not a gateway drug and anyone who tries to use that argument doesn't know what they are talking about and hasn't read any of the research. The residents of CA did not legalize marijuana to just benefit those who own homes. It is imperative that laws change surrounding the use of cannabis in apartment buildings to protect tenants who are, at minimum, medical marijuana users. It is extremely unequal to make it so that only those who are wealthy and privileged enough to buy a home in this area (mostly tech workers) are able to freely use marijuana and to send the message it is acceptable for them to use it, but not for the rest of us. There is literally nowhere for people who don't own homes to legally smoke, and that is something that should be addressed. The will of Californians was to legalize weed (FOR EVERYONE) and the city needs to take that mandate seriously and not impose any of their own misguided morality to affect the implementation of it any further. The city should have been prepared for cannabis sales by January 1st and it wasn't. Get your act together.
299. If the tax rate is too high people will find a tax free way to get

300. If there are any regulations about consumption of cannabis inside a private residence they should be rescinded. However public consumption of cannabis should not be permitted by law.
301. If this does pass, which I'm praying it will not, there should be very few dispensaries, very high supervision, high taxes, and a consolidated location where children and teens have no reason to go.
302. If this tax provides for necessary &/or much needed services, i.e., homeless issues, motor homes, renter' rights
303. If we are doing this, definitely tax it!! (And allocate the taxes for something productive, like helping the people living in RVs).
304. If we are okay with selling alcohol here, we should feel the same about cannabis. We are a young, futurelooking city, and should lead the way in safe consumption.
305. If we are to allow cannabis in our city, it absolutely needs to be taxed. There's no point in allowing something that brings so many negative consequences to not also bring in tax revenue for the city to at least have the funds to do something positive. I understand that there are legitimate medical purposes for cannabis. Is there not a way to only allow medicinal dispensaries (requiring some sort of doctor's prescription) in our city to allow those who legitimately benefit from cannabis to still have access and yet still deter the harmful consequences of those only wanting to use it recreationally? Recreational users would still have access to dispensaries in other cities. Let them go (and hopefully use all their supply) there and keep our city clean and second-hand smoke free. I have no issue with people wanting to harm their own bodies. It's when their decisions are forced upon me and my children's nose, lungs, and brain development in the form of unavoidable secondhand smoke in my own backyard that I get angry. Please consider the unintended consequences of this decision carefully. We need to protect those with no voice in this matter, namely our city's youth.
306. If we consider as a city to bringing it in as a ordinance. City of Mt. View should allow and give any resident of Mt View the opportunity to apply before and have priority over any non residents or big outside names come in to buy up permits. I've been watching and following different counties and cities who are passing ordinances.
307. If we're going to allow cannabis retail stores in Mt. View, the City should absolutely tax cannabis so that some benefit comes from allowing this type of drug to be sold in our city. People who smoke weed often are usually not the most productive members of society. I would hate to see precious retail space in popular

locations be turned into a dispensary. If I was a local retail business owner, I also would not want to have a dispensary opened next to me. Seems like the dispensary would bring down the value and perception of the area.

308. If you have cannabis available here, please limit to 1 max and put it next to the police station near Castro street. It should be taxed at a very high rate.
309. If you look at other surrounding cities, like San Jose, you can see where they have placed dispensaries and follow their lead. They have the out in industrial areas and not clustered together - so they as business have a chance to thrive without their next door neighbor being competition. Mountain View should plan on collecting a city tax like most other cities do. SF and SJ both collect city taxes.
310. If you're going to allow dispensaries in Mountain View then I would hope that you would tax them to the max and create spaces that look nice to fit the area and are heavily regulated by the city so they don't break any laws, such as, selling to minors.
311. If you're going to have higher prices or inconvenient locations, people such as myself simply will not do their shopping here.
312. I'm a bit confused by the tax question (#7). I'm inclined to assume cannabis would be taxed as alcohol is taxed (guess I need to look this up again!). However, what would that tax fund? How does it benefit the city and who in the city benefits? Nonprofits? Schools? I would need more information before I agree to a city tax on cannabis. Regarding location: If a new dispensary opening is anything like what was experienced in Denver, there will be a lot of traffic in the beginning. The location should be able to handle the additional demand for traffic and parking. The area should be well lighted. Consider locations near transit... Other good locations not mentioned are near medical centers (PAMF, Kaiser). With regard to safety, it's creepy to go out to the where house areas. Keep storefronts in the populated shopping centers.
313. I'm actually neutral on taxing cannabis, while I want more revenues for Mountain View, I don't want to do anything that would put cannabis back off-the-grid or unduly Regarding zoning, I think the state regulations are fine (600ft buffer schools/day care/childcare) and there is no need for the City to be more prescriptive (noting that we are not with gun stores or liquor stores). The City should avoid clusters of dispensaries just like the City should avoid clusters of coffee shops or nail salons, we need retail diversity.
314. I'm afraid of to try it, even if fully legal, because employers can still fire you if it ever shows up in drug testing (even office jobs). That is unfair to leave people

guessing with their career. Mountain view should add reasonable job protections. Make THC not enough to prove 'cause' to fire someone except in safety-critical jobs that also test for alcohol. Also, generally, treat marijuana products like alcohol. And, try to encourage high-end businesses (no pun intended). I'd rather have this in a tasteful store at the mall, or even at liquor stores like BevMo (especially extracts or tinctures), than at a sketchy store with a blinking neon light in a van down by the river. It's ok to require ID to prove age, but don't write down the data about the customers. Such a database could haunt people. The only way to keep it private is to not collect the info. Overall, job protections are the biggest concern. Combined with anonymity for consumers.

315. I'm concerned about regulating where people can buy it and where people are allowed to smoke it. I don't want to have to smell the secondhand smoke, especially anywhere near where children are present. If smoking it in public is at all allowed, I would like the city to provide clear guidelines for where this would be legal.
316. I'm concerned about robberies of the centers, especially since, as I understand it, it is a cash only business. Also, lets not allow too many in town. Mountain View does NOT need to be the marijuana selling capital of the Peninsula.
317. I'm not sure the city should tax cannabis sales. I wouldn't want it to be out of reach for poor people who need it for pain management. If it's legal, the city should allow it to be sold. Making it hard for people to get by selling only in remote locations seems like missing the spirit of why it should be legal.
318. I'm opposed to any retail sale or distribution of cannabis within Mountain View. I'm fine with cannabis tax revenue accruing to other municipalities within the state, and with Mountain View cannabis users having to drive to buy the product.
319. I'm particularly concerned about traffic safety resulting from another opportunity for drivers to drive under the influence. Mountain View should not be making it easier for drivers in the city to drive irresponsibly.
320. In addition to being robbery targets, the smell from smoking marijuana is disgusting and as a community we should NOT encourage or support cannabis retail. However, if the city decides to do this, they should make it non-storefront retail with at least 1000 foot buffer from schools, parks, and hospitals.
321. In general, control methods should be similar to control used for alcohol
322. In general, I see no reason to treat commercial sale of cannabis any different than alcohol within the city. Actually, I'm unsure about taxing cannabis - I don't know enough about the logic behind what the city chooses to tax or not. The survey

required an answer, so I picked Yes, only to indicate that I'm okay with taxing if city decides to.

323. In number seven my only choice was a yes or no answer, so I said no. In reality, my answer is that I think Mountain View should tax recreational sales, but should NOT tax medical marijuana sales. And for number four, I think the number of storefronts should be limited, but that the highest number you gave is pretty low. The number of storefronts limit would seem more reasonable that a number like 10. Also, I don't think there should be any limit on the number of people or companies that are allowed to delivered directly to the homes of medical cannabis users. I am a medical cannabis user And I only use CBD which is the part of cannabis that does not make you high. It is a tremendous help with my chronic pain (Caused by a rare neurological disorder which is not curable), and allows me to avoid using opiates. Prior to the start of this year I was able to get it delivered to my home and now I'm having trouble getting it delivered to my home because of your new regulations. I wish there were A large number of organizations that could deliver medical cannabis to the homes of disabled people. Maybe that would bring the price down. As it is, I pay more for the CBD portion of medical cannabis every year that I do for all of my other medical expenses, which are quite high. I'm hoping that if there is competition the price will come down. It is very difficult for me to get someone to drive me to go pick it up at a store front. (From my other medical expenses I pay for Medicare, a supplement, and Medicare part D for prescriptions but medical cannabis is not included because it's not federally legal. Even though I'm hospitalized for a week to to three times per year and I'm on seven different prescriptions to deal with my chronic illness, since the co-pays on the prescriptions are so low and I have no co-pay on the hospitalization as a result of my supplemental insurance, these expenses are dwarfed by the cost of the medical cannabis. This just does not seem right to me and adding A city tax to medical cannabis would make it even more expensive. In both Colorado and Oregon (where I visit children and grandchildren), they tack extra charges on for recreational but not for medical, which seems much more fair.
324. In San Francisco the downtown area now constantly smells like marijuana, residents seem to smoke and blow it out right in front of children, and used joints seem to make their way onto the ground instead of in the garbage. That is a major concern. There are is a need for very clear restrictions for driving under the influence of Cannabis.

325. In the long term, cannabis rules should eventually be no different than alcohol related rules. It is logical though to start slow and try to measure the effects and gain confidence over time and experience.
326. In the next 10 years or so, we will all--residents of California and of Mtn. View--learn about the pros and cons of cannabis usage. Decriminalization is an easy choice. Implementation details of legalization will be more challenging. Having two or three retail cannabis stores in Mtn. View will neither degrade nor (necessarily) enhance our civic environment. Having 2-3 stores at this time is a judicious move.
327. In the strongest possible terms, I urge the City of Mountain View to use its discretion as a locality to maintain its ban on cannabis. I am completely drug free, and I strongly believe that legalizing cannabis in Mountain View will irreparably damage the quality of life in this city. Despite the extremely high cost of living, I choose to live here because it is a safe and clean environment. Legalizing cannabis in city limits will completely ruin that. Please, please do not cave to pressure by people who only care about tax revenue and the letter of California state law.
328. It can only lead to fatalities, injuries, and domestic violence when cannabis is used.
329. it is a sad statement that the city is even considering allowing retail sales. In my opinion, drug abuse will only be endorsed and increased by this action..Let cities that need the tax revenue more than Mountain View bare the liability and moral burden
330. It is important to keep in mind throughout these discussions that allowing for commercial cannabis will allow for greater control, regulation, and safety for the consumer and residents versus barring the activities which could promote the continuation of unregulated and illegal markets for cannabis. As with liquor and beer shops and sales, cannabis should be handled responsibly, and perhaps we could look at the alcohol industry for some precedence when it comes to identifying locations of shops compared to locations of bars, BevMo, etc. I am proud to live in Mountain View and am confident we can establish guidelines that other cities can learn from as well. Thank you!
331. It is important to tax cannabis sales and products and monitor sales to be sure all dispensaries pay their sales tax, next the money from the tax should be used to hire additional police patrol officers 1, 2 or 3 extra evening and night shift officers to patrol the highest crime neighborhoods. or where current crime is occurring example car break ins. house robberies, etc. assaults, etc.

332. It seems like we wouldn't want to make cannabis shop attract too many homeless citizens. The safest thing would be to only allow consumption in private spaces.
333. It should be allowed wherever dedicated beer stores are allowed. They're similar in effect, though cannabis is less dangerous than beer.
334. It should be regulated, taxed, etc. similarly to alcohol.
335. It should be taxed but not to the point of making it unaffordable and sending people to black market
336. It should not be allowed near any mutli-family unit housing as to many children live nearby. Also it should not be allowed near any daycare not just schools. It should not be allowed near any parks or public areas where children could be. It should be important to assess what retail locations the cannabis store would replace. If it is replacing a gun store, the community may support it. If it is replacing a coffee shop, the community may not support it. I would like to request discussion of regulations for gun stores in Mountain View as there are a number of gun stores which allow for unnecessary congregation of people around the store.
337. It shouldn't be any different then buying alcohol. It's about time!!
338. It will be a craze at first and then die down like any other fad. We just need to get over the hype.
339. It will be difficult to regulate. Do we really want pot smokers hanging out in Mountain View?
340. Its a great sign that the city is open minded enough to consider this and I hope they look at the honest facts regarding the type of professional users, the medical benefits, and the reduction in opioid and other hard drug use legalization has caused. Put those facts next to tobacco and alcohol use - especially alcohol with regard to Police and public safety.
341. it's been voted in. Keep it away from schools and get tax revenue and get going
342. I've been a cannabis patient for multiple conditions for about four years. I'm professionally closeted but, privately, a fierce advocate for medical cannabis. It has allowed me to continue to contribute professionally as a white-collar consultant. Without cannabinoids, my pain prevents sleep (and professional function). I have asthma and am prone to nasal/bronchial infection. I'd like to see it destigmatized. A dispensary and coffee shop (with consumption) would be lovely to have. I'm also a dad with school-aged child. My wife is very supportive of my medical use...and we have no issue recreational use. My only concerns are increased traffic (from nearby cities) and personal safety/parking, given the cash-transactional nature of cannabis sales. Please consider allowing dispensaries in retail areas,

processing/storage for delivery in more light industrial-zoned areas, and retail consumption, if State Law allows it. My concern with regard to additional taxation is that with the passage of recreational cannabis, it has already become prohibitively expensive for patients...I would like to see a tiered system of taxation where people with medical need are not subject to the new 35%-plus effective rate. If Mountain View added a tax for sales in-town, then I'll keep going to San Jose when convenient. I think the best lens for you to consider this isn't through direct revenue but for retail and entertainment economic development and public health. Recreationally, this is a FAR more healthful drug (assuming one is chasing a buzz) than alcohol (my alcohol consumption has dropped considerably). I think there will be less petty crime, bar fights, DUI, and domestic abuse with in-town retail cannabis. Police can focus on other issues more.

343. I've been a resident of Mountain View for less than a year. I love living here. Before MV, I lived in Los Angeles for 10 years in an area where pot dispensaries were prolific. Personally - I'm for legal marijuana and wasn't opposed to dispensaries when I lived in LA. I had nothing against it. However, since moving here I need to say that living in Mountain View is much much nicer for many reasons and when I saw this topic I can safely say that the lack of pot dispensaries is one reason. Communities without pot dispensaries are just nicer. Pot dispensaries (as I know them) have blacked out windows/store fronts, security sitting outside the steel door. They don't contribute to a walkable, open, neighborhood. Yes, I have been in some and yes the waterfalls inside and pretty jars are lovely. But it's just not a good fit with Mountain View and the family-oriented walkable bike-friendly community this city has created.
344. I've seen the type of people that frequent these places. I am ok with delivery but don't want to encourage congregation of people around the retailers.
345. Just legalize proposition 64. Any additional regulation would just create a opportunity to illegal activities.
346. Just tax cannabis dispensaries at the normal business rate. They will make Mountain View a more desirable place to live for 20-something tech workers - which is badly needed. For example, I am moving out of Mountain View after 1 year of living here because it is SO BORING. We need more nightlife - and this is an alternative way to contribute to that (while causing fewer fights and problems than clubs/bars). On the other hand you don't have to worry about dispensaries attracting bad crowds, because those bad crowds can't pay the rent here anyway.
347. Just worried that these dispensaries will have people hanging around.

348. Keep cannabis dispensaries out of Mountain View.
349. Keep it out of Mountain View
350. Keep tax reasonable considering state taxes as well. San Jose tax is too high
351. Legal Cannabis sales have been linked to an increase in burglaries and other crimes at marijuana outlets themselves. "There are definitely negative public health consequences, including increased crime," "There may be economic benefits in terms of more tax revenue and money spent in neighborhoods. Citizens have to decide how they want to measure the benefits and costs." We have enough issues in this high density city, homelessness, illegal RV's, let's not add another problem to the mix; dealing with crime that comes with pot stores.
<https://phys.org/news/2017-04-legal-marijuana-property-crime.html>
352. Legal consumption maybe for adults, but easy access and easy access to \$ would create more usage by under age kids. I consider Mountain View environment is safer cleaner and well balanced for residents (adults and young kids) introducing cannabis selling to a society will create a different dynamic. Study the outcome to the society and security from other counties after their introduction to cannabis selling after few years. There are simple Traffic due to non-resident visit to purchase cannabis to other concerns around the ripple effects. There is no need for Mountain View to jump in. We are not doing a favor to Mountain View residents by having stores in Mountain View. People who can afford or like to get them, are capable of purchasing them elsewhere. Let the other counties jump into the Cannabis selling and earning fast easy money. Our city can survive without the Cannabis tax money for few years if Cannabis is the next "gold rush". Let's wait for few years without getting into the band wagon. We can always introduce and late if it turns out to be the best lottery for all cities.
353. Legalization has proven to increase the number of children who use it. Legalization means tax revenue and adult needs for stress relief are more important than children's health. Children who use regularly are 50% more likely to develop addiction illness. We can stand as a community for health and well-being and against chemical escape as a means of coping with stress and fear by rejecting the sale of cannabis in our community
354. LEGALIZE THE 'JANE!
355. Let the market place determine the density of dispensaries and delivery options! Treat them like Liquor Stores -
356. Let's do this!
357. let's get this rolled out. The voters spoke.

358. Let's not let the fearmongers rule the day here. The state voted for this, let's figure out how to implement sensibly and safely.
359. Let's talk dollars and cents. The sale of cannabis has the potential for large tax revenue for the City of Mountain View, if handled correctly by the council. Be wary of the NIMBY perspective - the competition between us and our neighboring cities for hosting cannabis dispensaries/retail is real. The longer you wait to implement your regulations - and the stricter they are - the smaller your share of the brownie will be.
360. Limit the smoking of anything in public, including tobacco, so we don't have to breathe second hand smoke when walking around outside.
361. Location ... trying to 'hide' all dispensaries in industrial areas is a bad idea ... retail storefronts should be with other retail storefronts. It would be better to have one on Castro Street and one in San Antonio shopping center, well-trafficked places where people are already doing shopping, and where there is already noticeable law enforcement presence because they are already crowded places. (I live just three blocks from Castro Street, and have no issues with having a dispensary nearby, even though I'm very unlikely to ever enter it) The permits to dispensaries should VERY clearly state that they are provisional for only 18 months, so that businesspersons investing in these businesses understand that there is great uncertainty in how these need to be regulated. They should be made very aware that they may have to move (or even close) their businesses depending on outcomes which are not entirely within their control. Then the city should revisit the permits after 18 months, and make regulatory changes based on the actual results. This uncertainty will be a huge headache for potential business owners ... maybe it will be a showstopper, but maybe not. The city must be free to completely rewrite the regulations, from a clean slate, after 18 months, once we have some actual data on how these businesses fit within our community.
362. Look at their lack of concern drivers have now for the value of life, and having cannabis is going to increase that lack of value onto the non user. With people who are sue crazy, why not sue the city who allowed cannabis to be sold in the city,. What they are finding out in emergency rooms is that stomach problems from the use of cannabis has skyrocketed. Do we as a city want to promote the need to kill off our citizens at a faster rate. Do we really need more cell space for people driving under the influence! Sure the in the short term it is big business for the city treasury, but in the long run, it could spell disaster for our fair city.
363. Lower property taxes and tax cannabis

364. M.V. should not promote use of cannabis. Teenagers will find a way to access it, and their brains are not developed enough to handle it. It WILL stunt their mental/emotional growth when they are adults. M.V. should not encourage this.
365. Make certain that all the regulations for the sale/dispensing/ usage of a controlled substance (and penalties for breaking them) are in place. For example, Children inhaling 2nd hand cannabis is not something I want to have to worry about at the playground...
366. Make it organically grown without dangerous chemicals for human consumption
367. Make the tax a small percentage. Just enough to cover the added cost for police/safety. People who need the treatment for medical purposes are being overtaxed. Imagine being on disability for medical reasons and not being able to afford the only treatment that helps because it's being overtaxed.
368. making a statement to youth that pot smoking is a city endorsed activity
369. Making cannabis morally acceptable by giving licensing and taxing it we giving wrong message to young generation and will pay with more crime and more heavy drug use.
370. Marijuana is a drug. If we allow it, crime and other drugs will follow. So far, only San Jose - a dysfunctional city and crime hotbed - was enthusiastic about dispensaries. If Mountain View follows it will become the same dump as San Jose. Our community will be destroyed
371. Marijuana is a medicinal herb that now is propagated/cultivated at high potencies than its original state. People need to be educated on its place and use. It had and still has a ceremonial/sacred use.
372. Marijuana is an illegal drug and should remain so. Making it widely available is completely degenerate.
373. Medical cannabis could be obtained from a doctor if needed, for pain management, need for increased appetite etc and small amounts found should be decriminalized.
374. Medical cannabis should never be taxed! Legalizing marijuana will lead to LESS opioid addictions--opioids are often used where marijuana is illegal.
375. Medical cannabis should not be taxed, however all other cannabis should be and the tax should not exceed the regular sales tax amount.
376. Medical marijuana is needed by many residents for chronic pain, cancer, epilepsy, etc. It should be readily available and should not be taxed. A city tax on recreational marijuana ONLY should be considered.

377. Medical use OK. We do not need another recreational drug. Don't we have enough problems with alcohol and opium abuse? Many individuals think that if cannabis is legal that it is OK to use, which is far from accurate. As a child of the 1960s I saw far too many friends have their lives go down the tubes because of cannabis abuse which also led to using other drugs. I would hate to have that happen to our young people.
378. Most cannabis growers have evaded paying taxes because of the legality issues, and some have done very well, keeping all their profits. If cannabis is to come out of the closet, it needs to be legal in every sense, including their just proportion of taxes.
379. Mountain View and Santa Clara County should follow SF's lead and dismiss all misdemeanor marijuana convictions from 1975 onward, as well as review all marijuana felony convictions for the same time period. The war on drugs was an abject failure that disproportionately affected communities of color as well as the poor. Tax revenue from legal sales of recreational marijuana should be directed toward social services that help the very communities the failed war on drugs had affected.
380. Mountain View does not need the criminals that cannabis dispensaries attract. Cannabis dispensaries should be banned, despite California state law.
381. Mountain View has an opportunity to provide for safe and legal sales of cannabis to adults. A city tax on cannabis will benefit the city. Clean and safe retail establishments will enhance the city, and have an added bonus of potentially reducing criminal activity. San Jose has successfully established safe, clean, and taxed medical cannabis dispensaries which are now converted to non-medical dispensaries. Mountain View should take advantage of local sales and local sales tax for its residents, neighboring communities and workforce.
382. Mountain View is a populated, but geographically small, city. Pot is a gateway drug and it will filter down to more children as more people have access to it and try it as a result of its legalization. I don't want to worry about that with my kids getting exposed to it more frequently. And where are you going to put the retail or distribution centers? They will drive property values down and have skeezy people hanging around.
383. Mountain View is a small city filled with families. While it is important to provide access to those who need cannabis for medical reasons and for the City to profit from any commercial sales, utmost concern must be placed on curbing access, making it appealing to youngsters. Access to commercial dispensaries will also

bring in more traffic and, potentially, attract unsavory characters to our city. Protecting youngsters from this vice is more important than any revenue the City might get. It's taken so long (and it is still an uphill battle!!!) to reduce tobacco consumption. Why open another Pandora's box?

384. Mountain View is known as the birthplace of Silicon Valley, why diminish what it's known for? Seems like it would be a downgrade to the City's image.
385. Mountain View needs to decide if they are for or against cannabis and make policies supporting this decision. If they choose to abide by federal law cannabis sales should be banned 100% without exception. If the city chooses to embrace the will of the voters then cannabis should be treated no different than alcohol or tobacco sales. Location is irrelevant. Proximity to schools is irrelevant. Number of businesses should only be regulated by the forces of the free economy market. The city has far more things to work on than creating more bureaucracy. Say yes or no to weed and then go full tilt in one direction or the other.
386. Mountain View should not allow this.
387. Mountain View should not permit the sale of cannabis in its city. The harmful consequences far outweigh the potential benefits. No amount of tax revenue is worth the damaging impact to the health, well-being, and safety of Mountain View citizens.
388. Mountain View should not put additional taxes on medical marijuana.
389. Mountain View will benefit from having a safe place to use recreational marijuana, a "bar" for marijuana.
390. Much safer to stay in Mountain View, driving to San Jose could come with real peril.
391. My concerns are about people driving under the influence. Ideally, dispensaries would be located near public transit to help avoid this. Also we should consider using the taxes generated to pay for appropriate policing of related traffic.
392. My concerns primarily focus around two aspects: visibility / exposure to minors; the prevalence of smoking in public. I support accessibility to it by adults, which is why I strongly feel that dispensaries should be located where it is more challenging for minors to be exposed to it. My expectations for the tax would be to help fund enforcement the smoking in public spaces - I hate walking down a sidewalk downtown with my young kids and suddenly amid a cloud of someone's marijuana smoke / exhale. As of late, it's been worse than tobacco.
393. My daughter and her husband live in Denver (where cannabis sales are legal). The sales centers are plentiful, and it is easy to see the benefits of the retail tax on the

infrastructure: roads are safe and maintained, and the sales centers do not seem to have any effect on safety or security. I fully support opening retail businesses and hope our city benefits similarly.

394. My family has woken up hot boxed by our neighbors smoking too close to the air intake. Munchies aside, what kids percieve as normal they percieve as safe. Just as Vapes have prominent cardio vascular effects that few are aware of misconception breeds misconception and we have to ensure that buyers of different forms are aware of how to use it safely for themselves and others. Distribution should be a married to education and taxation is an incredible opportunity to raise funds for public health impacts, enforcement of DUI laws, and education.
395. My main concerns are having to smell the neighbor smoking pot (I did several times over a few months last year), people driving stoned, illegal sales and proliferation of pot to teenagers who think smoking is "cool" plus a considerable waste of police energy/time/resources to keep pot under control which is also a total waste of public spending for those who don't care at all about pot.
396. My overwhelming view is that cannabis dispensaries and the retail sale of cannabis within the city limits should be highly regulated, limited extremely if not banned entirely.
397. My primary safety concern is the safety of the customers. I'd like to see regulations requiring trained personnel at cannabis retailers who are clearly there for the customers. I hope to feel welcomed and safe in a retail storefront, not anxious, sketchy or unsafe.
398. My. View should not be afraid to take on legal sale of canabis. Canabis exists in MtView. It is sold in Mt View. It is distributed in MtView. My. view may as well benefit from the sale- tax revenue, and take control with regulation.
399. Need storefronts, delivery systems are failing due to high volume of sales.
400. New laws for multifamily housing due to odors. With vaporizers non smoking laws may not be enough in close quarters housing or public places any more.
401. No cannabies should be sold in Mountain View.
402. no cannabis in Mountain View
403. No cannabis in Mountain View please.
404. No cannabis in Mountain View, period. The dangers, like driving safety, health, influence on young kids, brought by the cannabis will be far more than the tax income The city will be ruined with it.

405. No cannabis in Mountain View, please. It will increase crimes and Mountain View will be ghetto. Who want that for our children?
406. No cannabis sales in Mountain View
407. No cannabis should be sold in Mountain view_0-tolerance_
408. No concerns
409. No reason to fear marijuana
410. No reason why cannabis should have any more stigma than alcohol. So whatever constraints apply to alcohol sales, apply those to cannabis, but nothing more. However, smoking of cannabis, in any form, should be just as restricted as is smoking tobacco.
411. No sales should be permitted in Mountain View.
412. No smoking in public places(streets, parks, restaurants, cafes or concert venues) smoking mariguana and tabaco should stay at home
413. no worse then booze, probably better. as long as they dont' sell to minors its no big deal
414. No!
415. None
416. Not a fan at all. Wish California had voted against making it legal.
417. Not much here regarding the study of cannabis. I am pro ALL studies since this is so new. Eventually I think cannabis regulation should match alcohol, or even tip the other way. I believe alcohol is more harmful than cannabis, and the only way that would be realized to the masses, is to have cannabis more available so people wake up and realize that fact.
418. Obviously get tax revenue. I don't care what people do in their own homes, but I don't want to walk through clouds of smoke while walking with my kids. Had that happen the other day while walking on the sidewalk on the way home.
419. Once it became legal in the state, I expected there to be at least one retail outlet in my own city. I shouldn't have to go all the way to south San Jose to buy something that's legal. Stop stalling and allow some stores to open.
420. One of my biggest concerns about establishing cannabis dispensaries or distribution businesses in Mountain Views is an increase in people driving under the influence of cannabis. I'm especially concerned about the effect on vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and bicyclists. Our roads are already hazardous in many locations, and we need to be careful not to add to the situation.

421. One of the benefits of a cannabis business is the tax income to the city; however, please do not get overzealous. Many people benefit from cannabis. Over-taxation can drive grey markets and states like Colorado and Washington already have ballot measures to reduce the tax rate, given their collections have exceeded initial estimates.
422. Only a small number of residents will make the final decisions. Crime will increase.
423. Other cities have successfully provided permits for retail space and have gained tax benefits from legal sales. Because P.A. Will not permit dispensaries, MV May be able to benefit from serving that population (among other nearby cities as well) Also, has the topic of allowing delivery been raised and discussed? And finally will there be a two tier tax program whereby people buying products for medicinal purposes be taxed at a lower rate than those purchasing product for recreational purposes?
424. Other than protecting children from pot I don't think we need zoning rules. Also, I would've liked to see some mention of DUI in the survey as driving under the influence of pot seems like the main problem we're going to see.
425. Our household is completely against marijuana but if the city decides to allow it, we feel it should be limited and taxed to the maximum potential.
426. Overall, I don't think Cannabis should be sold in Mountain View. This is a family focused community and selling Cannabis here does not fit this city's focus.
427. People are smoking it anyway, and I think it might be an alternative to drugs. I have seen alcohol kill people, but not pot. I don't like it but they might have a cream that takes pain away? The dealing pot is everywhere. I think it would help with the selling because it would be regulated. I have mixed feelings about it but I have heard it is a natural cure for many ailments.
428. People smoking cannabis in public areas produce smoke and the smell is sickening.
429. Please allow commercial cannabis activities in Mountain View.
430. Please allow this, in a thoughtful way. Tax income from legitimate businesses is worth temporary discomfort as people adjust to the new normal.
431. Please continue to study and educate regarding effects of cannabis. I'm concerned about addiction and overdose especially through edible forms where people (particularly children) may see it as harmless and not as a drug. Dosage matters and it's easy for someone to overeat without realizing consequences. Important for people to understand things like how quickly (or slowly) the effects kick in,

variations that can happen with different bodies, research on effects particularly with adolescents who are still growing and people driving. Important for everyone to know also that use in public spaces is still illegal, and consideration should be made for others when it comes to smoke from backyards. Please be vigilant to prevent it from being more broadly consumed among the underage.

432. Please do not allow any cannabis being sold in Mountain View. This is dangerous for our children, Schools, High Schools, it will only cause higher criminality in our area. Why should I breath smoke from cannabis and become an "passive" addict against my will and endanger my health?
433. Please do not allow marijuana to be sold in our city. This is a bad idea.
434. Please do not allow sale of cannabis in MTV and keep the city family friendly
435. Please do not allow the sale of cannabis in Mountain View. I have lived here for 20 years and I can not see any positive outcomes for selling cannabis in Mountain View. Please let other cities sell it, but not here. I don't want people driving "high" in our streets, endangering our children.
436. Please do not bring this to mountain view. I don't want it around my children.
437. Please do not dump everything unseemly onto El Camino and do not establish businesses open to the public until surrounding cities do the same.
438. Please do not flood our downtown with these dispensaries if you insist on having them. We need to keep the integrity of a family friendly downtown - one that makes people want to live here.
439. Please do not push out small producers of medicine. Especially those who make CBD medicine, which many patients need so very much.
440. Please don't allow a cannabis store in Mountain View. I like the air we breath
441. Please don't over think this. Treat cannabis like alcohol. It is a good source of revenue for the city. Residents who want to take advantage of the dispensaries will, and the market will determine how many we should have. We already have access to home delivery cannabis, so why not ensure that the tax revenue is coming in to Mountain View? For those that are concerned about safety, put something in the codes about video surveillance/ alarm systems that go to PD dispatch if this is such a concern.
442. Please don't shift demand nor taxes to neighboring cities due to a few NIMBIES recycling false arguments about 'reffer madness '
443. Please find a way to help this industry with banking and deospising its money. These locations are a safety concern because they have a lot of cash- as the federal

government is a problem when it comes to banking for those in the cannabis market. Help them keep the business safe by providing a way for them to deposit cash from local sales.

444. Please have them located far away from our schools, particularly the middle schools where kids are more likely to be or want to try to be cannabis users.
445. Please keep dispensaries out of our city. If we allow them, we will end up bearing the costs of increased drug usage amongst youth and working-age men and women and (inevitably, in private spaces) in close proximity to children. Increased usage will likely lead to lowered intellectual capacities, reduced productivity and capability in our youth and work force, and possible to negative health outcomes (particularly in children exposed to marijuana) and increased crime. Los Altos has prohibited dispensaries for good reason, and so should Mountain View. Marijuana, while perhaps beneficial to a very small number of medical patients, is certainly not a positive good for the wider community and should not be treated as such.
446. please keep it away. We do not need to encourage - through retail sales - the use of recreational Cannabis. Medicinal Cannabis can be purchased in other cities nearby.
447. Please make it safe and convenient to get medicine. Lots of locations, lots of parking for same, and convenience and openness environment (not sold in a back alleys, hidden away, and please do not make patients feel ashamed to buy medical marijuana).
448. Please note that we must have all the rules that we have for smoking and drinking. Cannabis is no less safe than tobacco and alcohol. we must ensure that people won't smoke in public (just like ban on public smoking of tobacco). Similarly all cannabis edibles must have the same rules that alcohol consumption has. (no opened packages in car, no consumption on the streets, can eat inside pubs .. need a way to detect DUI etc). Same rules that we apply for alcohol and tobacco. Kids must not be given these substances. Until there comes a time where we have enough rules nationwide in terms of packaging and labeling of various strains of cannabis, I highly recommend only to sell them in pubs and bars NOT retail stores. Everyone needs some form of education of what is good/bad for them, bartenders are the cheapest and effective way to educate the public. Pubs and bars would like to be careful not to get bad rating from customers, so they will be extra careful in recommending a strain. Only after it becomes legal nationally (so that good labelling and packaging standards are set), should we allow retail selling of cannabis.

449. Please outlaw smoking this in homes, apartments, and within 30 feet (?) of another person's property. Outlaw smoking it in cars when children are present. Make it a parent crime when it lands in a student's backpack and is found by the school. Has second-hand cannabis smoke even been studied?
450. Please put it on the Shoreline Ampitheater side of the 101, if we must have cannabis in Mountain View. I'm concerned about underage use and Mountain View becoming the only mid-peninsula place selling cannabis. We have the only Costco and everyone comes here for it. I'm very concerned that we will have an increase in traffic accidents from all the people driving in from Los Altos, Palo Alto, Sunnyvale, and Stanford.
451. Please tax cannabis fairly and allow business owners to choose where they want to open. There is a lot of vacant retail space in the city right now.
452. Please think about the affect this will have on our children.
453. Please work quickly on zoning. Thank you very much!
454. Please, please do not allow Cannabis retails in Mountain View!
455. Please, think very good before encouraging marijuana consumption. All the proceeds of such business should be invested in education the most vulnerable about the negative effects of marijuana.
456. pot is for losers and a gateway drug
457. Pot is less harmful than alcohol; it should be treated similarly to alcohol. I don't want to have to smell pot smoke, so let's treat it like cigarette smoke. Legalize it, tax it, don't worry about it.
458. Pot should not be sold ANYWHERE near schools, restaurants, shops, and other businesses that non drug users frequent.
459. Pot will become more available to children. There will be more incidents of drivers being under the influence. There will be more pot-associated crime. Taxing pot will only make illegally sold pot more desirable.
460. Prefer cannabis sales to continue to be illegal in Mountain View. If for some reason it does become legal, prefer non-store front/non-retail businesses that are regulated and taxed.
461. Prefer exclusive dispensaries for medical marijuana at different locations for recreational use.
462. public/outdoor consumption of cannabis should be illegal. Consumption in private homes and designated businesses should be allowed, and businesses should have special ventilation systems to prevent smoke release into the air.

463. Push the stores out of Mountain View. People who need it can still easily buy from near by cities. A better neighborhood earns us way more than the direct income from the taxes.
464. Quality; How to use; Cognitive Effects; Physical effects
465. Re Q7 - Does the City tax alcohol and cigarettes? If so, tax cannabis. If not, don't.
466. Recreation cannabis should be regulated like any other drug (cigarettes, alcohol). CA has made great strides in eliminating cigarettes from the everyday world and to lower the tolerance for alcohol abuse, just to turn around and have this issue spring forth.
467. Recreational cannabis is legal. Pushing retail out of Mountain View only inconveniences residents who want to partake and passes up the opportunity for new businesses and tax revenues. Forcing residents to travel to nearby cities who have chosen to adopt to these new laws will only add to the increase amount of car traffic in the area, and give tax money to those other cities that we could use here. It's also worth mentioning that a considerable number of people who work in Mountain View travel in from out of town (i.e. Googlers). A strategically placed retail establishment could be an excellent way to bring in tax revenue from those who constantly use our public services without helping pay for them.
468. Recreational use of cannabis should not be encouraged.
469. Regarding taxation: we need an open forum on what precisely tax proceeds might be used for. I could certainly see an argument for imposing a tax, but let's make sure that its purpose is related to the item being taxed.
470. Regarding taxing of cannabis sold in Mountain View: if the City permits selling, and agrees to add city sales tax to cannabis, I strongly urge the City: 1. Apply said city tax only to recreational cannabis, not medical or prescription cannabis, 2. Be reasonable with said tax rate. There are already examples of California cities having exorbitant taxes on cannabis (ex. Oakland at 10 percent, bringing the total tax rate (state sales tax + county sales tax + cannabis state tax + city tax) to a whopping 34.25 percent). I am fine with recreational cannabis being taxed, but the rate needs to be affordable for all citizens. This city already has massive financial disparity between tech and nontech sectors; increasing that disparity through further city taxes will make Mountain View less appealing to nontech residents (and will force existing residents to buy elsewhere; i.e. MV shoots itself in the foot). Please be wise about this.
471. Regulate and ration quantity per Driver's license.
472. Regulate and tax cannabis!

473. Regulate it like you do liquor. No more, no less.
474. Regulations (location, number of allowed businesses and local taxation) should be similar to tobacco, alcohol and over-the-counter/prescription drug sales in Mountain View. Why should cannabis be treated any differently than those products?
475. Regulations, if any, should be kept to an absolute minimum.
476. Residents should be able to grow as well as buy.
477. Retail Cannabis is not dissimilar to liquor stores and should have similar restrictions on their location choices. Why can't cannabis products be sold at existing liquor stores, like tobacco & spirits?
478. Retail Cannabis will hurt the quality of life in Mountain View.
479. Right now, our city is losing out on many thousands of dollars because residents have to drive to San Jose to purchase our medicine (cannabis). Downtown Mountain View is one of the largest stops for local delivery services. Cannabis is everywhere. Let's allow it to be sold to residents and put a nominal tax on it and start making money.
480. Risk of increased use of cannabis by pre-teens and teens when it's allowed and dispensed in above listed manners. Research shows many negative side effects and consequences to pre-teens to young 20s and ER physicians are seeing increased use and abuse. As my husband and I are both healthcare workers we are very concerned about increased usage by pre-teens through 20+ year olds when it is easier to access and 'normalized'. We have concerns about cannabis usage and driving as well as psychological addiction and potential increase in depression and anxiety which is already a large problem in the teenage population.
481. Safety is the biggest concern. If commercial cannabis is allowed in Mountain View, we cannot stop cannabis users from nearby cities buy and use it in Mountain View. Crimes like aggressive driving, disorderly conduct, theft could increase.
482. Safety issue is also people driving under the influence of cannabis
483. Safety issues. I don't want Amtrak engineers using it for example
484. Sales only at stores exclusively for that purpose; not at general retail stores.
485. Selling cannabis in our city will not help our population it will hurt our city.
486. Should be a well-lighted space, and kept clean and well-lighted, windows and kept secure.
487. Since it violates Federal law, this should not even be considered, allowed, etc.

488. Since it's a cash only business, there may be more problems such as robberies. I'm concerned about safety of citizens because of DUI of people using marijuana. Children could have more access to marijuana since it'll be more readily available.
489. Since January, I've already experienced walking into a cloud of pot smoke in front of the post office on Hope street as well as on the Trail behind Landels School. I'm concerned that the quality of life in Mtn View will be negative impacted with pot being readily available on a larger scale.
490. Since legalization, 2nd hand smoke has become an issue in residential areas as well as in public parks. Also, we've noticed just when walking down the street 2nd hand smoke has been a problem. This is especially troubling because we often walk around our neighborhood and the surrounding city with our children. The smoke tends to linger and be thick, especially when the air is stagnant. We would like to see regulations addressed regarding this issue. We do not use marijuana and feel burdened by those who do because of 2nd hand smoke. At times, we have to shut all of our windows because neighbors are smoking and the smoke knows no boundaries. We have noticed when the weather is nice this becomes more of a problem because more people seem to go outside to smoke. We have small children and feel sad they have to be subjected to 2nd hand smoke just by walking outside when we go for a walk or just when getting in or out of our car. We've worked so hard to prevent 2nd hand smoke overall in the community. We feel it is of the utmost importance to keep this a priority, especially for our children and for public health overall. This is a problem that needs a solution and fast.
491. Since many of our neighbor cities have opted to not allow dispensaries, this is a great economic opportunity for Mountain View.
492. Since one of the potential benefits of legalized cannabis is to eliminate the need for illegal distribution and sales of cannabis, I encourage the City to implement policies that experts think can eliminate the demand for illegal distribution/sales of cannabis in the Mountain View area.
493. Smelling pot everywhere (I did for a few months last year already), stoned people driving causing accidents, illegal sales, waste of police resources/time/effort, more junkies around town, teenagers smoking because they think it's "cool", rising cost of health care because who doesn't smoke will end up paying for who does and is consequently less healthy (we are already doing this with fatty foods and HCFS).
494. Smells neighborhood poor

495. So far all of our neighboring cities, Palo Alto, Los Altos, Sunnyvale and Cupertino have banned commercial cannabis sales in their cities. There isn't a city from San Francisco to San Jose that allows commercial sales (according to <http://www.thecannifornian.com/>). If people would like to purchase it, they can go to San Francisco or San Jose. This is not the type of business that I want Mountain View to be in or to make money off of. I would not like recreation cannabis to be sold in Mountain View. I realize it is legal, but I don't like the idea of having it more easily accessible to teens. If it is going to occur, I would like it to be regulated (up to 1 for every 38,000 residents) and away from residential areas. I have no problem having it available for medical reasons.
496. Some cities in CA have become "tax happy" with cannabis regulations. Charging high amounts of taxes on legal marijuana will eventually cause buyers to go back to the black market and drug dealers. This would defeat the whole purpose of decriminalizing or legalizing marijuana to help prevent the black market business. Marijuana needs to be treated like alcohol where taxes are concerned. If MV doesn't have a local sales tax for alcohol in stores, bars and restaurants -- then why should there be a local tax on marijuana in MV? We see local tax as a method to prohibit sales & distribution of cannabis products in some cities. Local tax has already failed in Berkeley and was reduced by 50% recently.
497. Storefronts hopefully will get the drugs off the street so kids can't get them.
498. Strongly against cannabis sold in Mountain View.
499. Tax away, but let it be here. It is important for certain health issues. Taxes in this city are getting out of hand. You are exploring other issues to tax,
500. Tax for recreational only. Should not exceed the alcohol sales tax.
501. Tax should be 100% of price with a minimum tax per ounce sold. Mandatory drug testing should be enacted for all City and public positions with ZERO tolerance for drugs and cannabis use. Business operating in Mountain View should be recommended to start drug testing. All beneficiaries of any public service monetary or otherwise should be subjected to drug testing.
502. Tax should be reasonable - like no more than the standard sales tax.
503. Tax should be reasonable and inline with taxes on other retailed items
504. Tax should not be levied on medical cannabis. Tax should be levied for recreational use.
505. Tax the corporations per employee, don't put an additional tax on marijuana unless you impose the same tax on alcohol

506. Tax would be OK if the CA tax were not so high. If Mt. View could keep the tax at 1-2% it would be fine, but that seems never to happen. The concern over outrageously high taxes is that it will drive people to street or unsafe, unregulated sources.
507. Tax, but not very much. Just enough to deal with the basic overhead of any management, regulation, and externalities.
508. Taxation of cannabis sold for adult (recreation) use should be subject to the same sales tax as any other item sold in a store (other than food). Cannabis prescribed by a doctor should be taxed just like any other medication sold in a pharmacy. Extreme taxation is not needed in either case.
509. Taxes from marijuana should exclusively go to education programs, city works projects, and rehabilitation programs. The Mountain View police department already spends too much effort busting high schoolers for smoking pot. How about giving teens access to after school programs and not cutting electives for a change?
510. Taxes should be minimized. Cover city permitting and reasonable amounts for general public benefit.
511. Taxes should be placed on the purchases to cover cost of extra police patrols in the area of town where dispensaries are located as well as increased public education about the potential dangers of driving while high. The safety of medical marijuana customers in particular should be of public concern, and attention should be placed on preventing disabled individuals from being robbed while coming and going from dispensaries. Hours of dispensary operation should also be limited, perhaps requiring closure at midnight.
512. Taxes should not be unreasonably high. Other municipalities are already considering lowering their taxes.
513. taxes would go towards. I'm just not comfortable saying yes to an unknown blanket tax.
514. Taxing cannabis further at a local level will only cause Mountain View to lose business to neighboring cities and black market sales.
515. Taxing cannabis gives the city a perverse incentive to allow widespread sale. We should not allow sale of cannabis in the city in the first place, let alone tax it.
516. Taxing cannabis in Mountain View would be the sole reason for allowing it in Mountain View. However, I don't believe medical use should be taxed by Mountain View. Although I don't take marijuana, I understand many patients

receive their medication/product from delivery services, not needing to go to a store/dispensary. Therefore, the non-storefront distribution might be the solution.

517. Taxing cannabis is fine, but not overly so. Would like to see taxes generated to go to schools, parks, and beautifying the city. Dispensaries should be in well-lighted and larger shopping areas to ensure safety of patrons.
518. Taxing cannabis needs to be limited to an affordable rate. This way the people who need their medicine most can obtain it easily, without emptying their wallets.
519. Taxing cannabis usage in the city is a waste of resources
520. Taxing cannabis would help our schools and help low income families with resources if we use it right!
521. Taxing is ok but not too much. If the cost gets too great, the sale of cannabis will go back to the black market.
522. Thank you for considering this subject. I benefit from therapeutic effects of Cannabis and look forward to supporting local businesses.
523. Thank you for taking input from the community.
524. The Apartment community I live In has a “no smoking” rule targeted at cigarettes and tobacco, if legalized recreationally, am I allowed to smoke marijuana outside the building or in my apartment? Or with the engine off and keys not in the ignition, can I smoke In my parked vehicle as long as I’m not operating the vehicle after smoking I.e getting parked on the street after getting home for the day.
525. The cannabis is still illegal at the federal level. It will give bad influences to the young generations. People overdose cannabis may also lose their life.
526. The cannabis tax dollars should be used to support Mountain View's historic preservation program!
527. The citizens of Santa Clara had one of the highest rates of approval in the state for medicinal cannabis in 1996, and then again for recreational cannabis in 2016. The city of Mountain View has been extremely derelict in neglecting to honor the will of the majority of their constituents. The presence of legal cannabis is associated with REDUCED: violence, suicide, traffic accidents and opioid use. We should not tax this important botanical medicine any more than the obscenely high taxes already put in place by the state.
528. The city doesn't need the money, so don't bring cannabis to Mt View for tax purposes. In an era where we're trying to get more and more people to learn more, explore new careers, get more education, making Mountain View a destination for

looking to purchase recreational drugs is exactly the opposite direction I would like to see this city go.

529. The city government should not allow this even if there is an option that they can tax this.
530. The city of Mountain View has been punishing residence for too long. Rent caps, for homeowners because of city halls greediness. You are collecting enough tax on corporations like Google and LinkedIn, so much so, that you approve more space for jobs, but none for residential space, and then punish house owners. Keep your hands off of the Marijuana industry. We voted to legalize it, this questionnaire should not even be requested. Respect the democratic process.
531. The City of Mountain View should continue outreach and efforts to scope the sale of cannabis in the city. I like the idea of a slow roll out like San Jose, and I am encouraged by special taxes that will be used to support our city. People already purchase marijuana, it is much safer if there are regulated stores.
532. The City of Mountain View should not allow cannabis sales.
533. The city of MV seems to be very slow in taking actions to regulate.
534. The city should not expend any particular effort on this topic. Let the businesses develop and limit the pearl clutching about vice.
535. The city should not impose an additional cannabis specific tax assuming that a regular city sales tax would apply to any transactions of cannabis purchase.
536. The city should only tax cannabis if they also tax things like medicine, alcohol, etc.
537. The city should tax recreational cannabis but not medical cannabis
538. The city should use the taxes collected to help the issues around transients living in their RV's. The city should also take notes from the city Burlingame and Hillsborough where oversized vehicles "RV's" are not allowed to be parked in the street.
539. The city shouldn't tax cannabis sold in Mountain View because the city shouldn't allow cannabis to be sold in Mountain View.
540. The city will already receive taxes from Cannabis sales like it does for any other business in Mountain View. It's time to make Cannabis easily accessible and stop treating it like an illegal improperly classified drug. If people want to consume Cannabis for recreational purposes, Mountain View should not stand in the way.
541. The current inconsistent patchwork of regulations across various nearby cities and counties is frustrating and confusing. Aligning with cities that have successfully established revenue-generating regulations such as San Jose would be ideal.

542. The dispensaries in San Jose are cleaner and safer than most of the liquor stores in Mountain View. This is an industry being “grown” by mature people who want this to succeed and are being way more mindful of safety issues than most bars. If we don’t do this we are leaving a lot of money on the table and ignoring the fact that this is actually way safer than most places where booze is sold.
543. The glacial pace of the government concerns me. Whichever decision is taken, how can I trust the city is proactively seeking the best solutions when we're 1 year into the vote to legalize and I can't obtain cannabis near home. I want to support my city and it bring in more tax revenue to help it's residents with more important things!
544. The laws forbidding sales of cannabis should remain and, more importantly be ENFORCED. I used to live in San Fransicso - the cannabis distribution points were a blight on their neighborhoods, attracting illegal activity.
545. The more dispensaries there are the more competition between the dispensaries and lower cost for customers
546. The Mountain View public square has babies, small children, asthmatics, non-smokers, and people who do not wish to be subjected to cannabis smoke inhalation and intoxication. The Mountain View position on cannabis should be for users to smoke inside their homes. Business retail and commercial is no place for cannabis sales and loitering smokers. Please use common courtesy and think of those who would be medically affected by these types of businesses. Thank you for your consideration.
547. The number of cannabis retail establishments should only be regulated if we additionally regulate the number of liquor stores and liquor licenses. Do we? If not then neither should cannabis retail.
548. The original ban on cannabis sales in Mountain View was absurd, not to mention heavily influenced by false statements made by our esteemed chief of police. It cost us dearly. This is about *money*, and the millions the city will collect as part of our cut of sales tax and any other taxes and business license fees the city decides to collect. Think about dispensaries the same way we think about liquor stores, with one exception. Cannabis dispensaries are an all cash business because of our ridiculous federal government. That means the dispensaries must have some pretty beefy security against burglaries. So, for instance, we could require dispensaries to pay the City for direct police protection. For example, a dispensary could be required to pay for the hiring of an additional police officer and the purchase of an additional police cruiser, which would make a security check of the

dispensary at least every 30 minutes. That would be good for both the city and the dispensary. For the record: I am not a cannabis user, but the *money* could do lots of great things for the city.

549. The people of California decided to legalize recreational cannabis primarily to bring it out of the shadows to eliminate incarceration by decriminalizing it. The model for how cannabis is regulated should be alcohol regulation, for we which we have over 80 years of successful regulation.
550. The population that frequents cannabis dispensaries is law-abiding and safe. There should be no downside to allowing regulated distribution of cannabis in Mountain View. It should have happened years ago when Buddy's Cannabis attempted to locate here and was shut down out of fear and class-based arguments stating they did not want to attract a "bad element". Mountain View could have been a leader in something other than pandering to Google's interests. If you were so worried about maintaining your image, you should have dealt with the indigent population living in the RVs. Do you realize there is illegal drug dealing going on out of those RVs right now, as I look out the window of the apartment I PAY to live in? Bring on the legal weed and Mountain View will be a better place for it.
551. The proposition was passed almost 18 months ago. I'm surprised the city is just now circulating a survey to the residents. This is a sensitive subject and some caution should be applied during the city's decision making, however, it seems to me that the city is moving too slowly and is losing tax revenue firvevery day the decision is delayed.
552. The required responses re: buffer and taxation are irrelevant as I do not support the sale of cannabis in Mountain View. Approval of cannabis sales in Mountain View because it is a source of revenue is a false and misguided justification on goods that can lead to serious addiction problems.
553. the reselling of legally purchased pot to children in our city & the city looking on this issue as a new cash cow.
554. The residents of Mountain View deserve easy access to legal recreational cannabis. While many peerreviewed studies show that alcohol is significantly more damaging to individuals and society than cannabis, safe, taxable access to cannabis does not exist in our city. Residents want this product, and will go to neighboring cities to purchase it if safe options do not exist. California voted, now it's time for our city to integrate into the cannabis future.
555. The sale and regulation of cannibis should be treated the same as alcohol and tobacco.

556. The smell of cannabis is too strong and already influence the street and environment, which place a big potential safety and healthy problem to Mountain view.
557. The state's cannabis tax at 15% is already exorbitant. City sales tax is itself a little high at 9% and if Mountain View wishes to use the funds for many of the things for which it now relies on the likes of Google, LinkedIn, (etc), they should make the city tax no more than 3%. Delivery services already operating out of Mountain View should be allowed, immediately, to deliver within Mountain View itself, as well as deliveries into MV from out-of-city be allowed with no corresponding discouraging tariffs. Businesses dealing with cannabis as many do in Amsterdam (Netherlands) ala coffee shops should be encouraged to take up space on Castro St. People within Mountain View should have the option and opportunity to consume cannabis publicly inside such places, as persons already do alcohol in Mountain View's numerous bars and restaurants.
558. The tax on Cannabis should be equivalent to other retail taxes.
559. The tax question is too over-simplified. There probably should be some local tax, but the amount of it should be small enough that it doesn't encourage the black market to persist. Other than this, I see no need for additional specialized measures with regard to this now-legal product. The idea that putting stores a little further away from schools will change children's interest or awareness is naive and will serve only to cause unnecessary difficulties for vendors and customers.
560. The tax that city puts on the cannabis should probably be replacing some of the state tax as otherwise the price will be too high
561. There are already plenty of places for people to get this stuff. We don't need it in every city or town. Places like Oregon and other states have so many dispensaries that it's what stands out most when visiting the state. It's like having a liquor store on every corner, and it's really unfortunate. Doesn't make for a place I want to live.
562. There are daily auto accidents and allowing one more thing to distract drivers in this traffic-choked area is foolish. We don't have enough room to house people who work in Mountain View so we clearly don't have room for cannabis retail shops.
563. There is an opportunity to bring in tax money and infrastructure to the city. Though we are doing pretty well in those areas at the moment, I love Mountain View because of our proactive and forward thinking policies. Marijuana is not the enemy; the IMAGE of marijuana is.

564. There must be no access to underage dope customers especially edibles - this is the last thing we need in our city is a bunch of doped up kids driving in cars.
565. There should be a 'reasonable' factor in the cost of cannabis. Every restaurant and grocery store sells liquor; cannabis is a very similar product. In fact, many strains of cannabis can be more beneficial and less dangerous than alcohol. I'm glad there's finally some movement in making it legal. A reasonable, comparable tax like the existing liquor tax seems fair. I'm concerned about the ridiculous 35% tax some cities are imposing.
566. There should be clear distinction between medical cannabis, and recreational. Its important not to tax medicine that people need a the same rate as one might something used as recreation. That would be unfair to patients.
567. There's nothing wrong having a cannabis stores in mountain view CA. If you are not ok with cannabis ext then PERANTS are responsible for educating there child in reg to sex, drugs and alcohol.. I'm in favor for medical cannabis..
568. This does not need to be a big deal. Where ever they can pay rent for a store- let them. Mountain View needs to move along with the times, create a tax, and go. This does not need to be such a big deal.
569. This is a business and if we treat it like we treat tobacco it should be OK. I have concerns over the large amounts of cash that may be on premises. This makes them an easy target.
570. This is a challenging adjustment for a community to make and should be undertaken gradually.
571. This is a statewide (and even national) issue, but I am concerned about people driving under the influence of cannabis. How will cannabis DUI be established, given that there is no specific amount of marijuana in the bloodstream that can be used to establish impairment, and blood tests for "blood marijuana content" are not considered reliable. Also, what will the City do to help educate young people and adults about the dangers of using marijuana and driving?
(<https://www.denverpost.com/2017/08/25/colorado-marijuana-traffic-fatalities/>)
572. This is a strong revenue stream our city needs.
573. This is America. Let the market dictate the marijuana business, not over-regulation and tax revenue.
574. This is not a good idea for our children.

575. This is ridiculous- Cannabis is not safe for anyone on a recreational basis. Grow up and realize the danger this puts everyone in - think driving.
576. This isn't the most family friendly and public health centered initiative I have seen.
577. This questionnaire is not appropriate. When I am totally against the sale of marijuana, and let's call it what it is, not cannabis to try to trick people, then you shouldn't REQUIRE that I HAVE to fill out # 3 or # 7. I don't want it sold at all, so none of those options are right.
578. This shouldn't be such a big deal. Need some dispensaries. Not too many, not too few. Put them in high traffic areas so less chance of theft. Don't make it seem like a ugly thing. Some people will want, some won't. City/state should receive "some" tax on it in same respect as gas or cigarettes.
579. This survey felt very biased, the questions seemed designed to to elicit very anti-cannabis replies.
580. This will be a valuable resource for the many Mountain View residents who rely on cannabis products for medical reasons, such as providing an alternative to the prescribed opiates which have been such an issue throughout California and the nation. Yes, it'll provide recreational use as well, but as a 40-something father and Mountain View resident coping with both shingles and arthritis, CBD products (with a complete absence of any euphoric effects, I should mention) have become an important part of my ability to manage pain without relying on ultimately much more dangerous drugs.
581. To many people are abusing the card. Don't think it should be legal at all.
582. To me, it makes no sense to make legal a product that, when consumed, alters one's ability to perform at maximum, other than for medical reasons.
583. Too many communities in the area seem concerned with overriding the stated opinion of California voters and regulating against cannabis. Mountain View should take advantage of this by making cannabis dispensaries relatively easy to open, and by placing a local tax on cannabis sales. Let's take advantage of cannabis sales to raise money for schools and improved services.
584. Total taxes will need to need to be below the San Jose rate of 34.25% to be competitive. 5%+ of collected city taxes should be used for Return to Work program to employ homeless in cannabis economy. Palo Alto passed on roof top open air patios, Mountain View should capitalize it.
585. Transparency for the city in all workings of the dispensaries. Very detailed vetting of owners and suggested supply chain contractors for potential dispensaries during tender process. Police involved in planning from day 1 for security

expertise advise. Min. 2 or 3 FTE at city hall dedicated to commercial cannabis control going forward, to keep great personal relationships with dispensaries owners and employees and keep detailed documentation on learning from this the business. Also required to report to city/people on a bi-monthly basis in the first year and quarterly thereafter.

586. Treat cannabis like alcohol and tobacco. Use the same taxes for all three.
587. Treat cannabis like any other product. Do not regulate, you'll cause more harm than good.
588. Treat pot sales the same as alcohol sales are already regulated and licensed.
589. Try to mirror alcohol regulations to the greatest extent practicable.
590. Ultimately I don't see this as different than alcohol. Taxing to provide revenue seems reasonable, otherwise excess regulation does not seem necessary.
591. Use in public should be prohibited.
592. Use the tax Money to subsidize teacher housing
593. Very concerned about having cannabis in the city and the impact it might have on neighborhoods, young people. Even controlled, people will disregard the rules and use cannabis openly. It will change the family, the community we work so hard to build.
594. Voted for it to be legalized as shouldn't be criminalized & helps some people however don't want it available everywhere - and smell is terrible. Better if people have it delivered to home where it won't smell and they are not having to drive where can be risks of accidents. Also being stoned for young people is a bad habit. (have childhood friends who said it helped their anxiety but they wasted a lot of time with pot). Don't want it to be criminalized but don't want people to think it is a wonderful thing that they have to do.
595. We didn't learn anything from the long term nicotine health problems apparently
596. We do not need any cannabis dispensaries in Mountain View. This is too dense of an area with a lot of traffic so we do not need the additional safety concerns or traffic.
597. We have been a city that has changed the world with other companies, yet are still behind in marijuana and this is sad too see. This could possibly offer hundreds of people better lives and could decrease the amount of illegal sales in our area. I would also highly recommend to NOT add additional tax to the goods because after you add you tax there will be to much tax and people will go back to illegal sales.

598. We need to regard cannabis dispensaries in the same way we regard liquor stores. Cannabis sales would be a major boon to Mountain View tax revenue! Castro Street is too mainstream. But people should be allowed to open dispensaries in downtown mountain view on other, less populous streets. Easy access to regulated legal cannabis will bring down the crime rate for the area. We should not necessarily regulate the number of dispensaries. But we SHOULD regulate the quality of the service. The requirements to open a dispensary in mv should be extremely stringent when it comes to privacy, safety, cleanliness, etc... Cannabis dispensaries, liquor stores, and tobacco stores should be kept away from schools.
599. We passed a cannabis dispensary when going to the Santa Clara County Fairgrounds. The heavily fenced perimeter made it feel like it was not a safe thing to have in the neighborhood.
600. We pay enough & beyond taxes already. This is medicine no matter how it's used. If Mtn. View wants to create new taxes they Should open up their own store & earn it, I'm Sure they'll make more than Expected
601. We recommend NO cannabis sold in Mountain View
602. We should allow it as it will help the economy.
603. We should allow public consumption as well.
604. We should just get this done, already. Proposition 64 was passed in November 2016. I'm worried that the outcome of this process will be "let's have a ballot initiative!" We already did that.
605. We should treat cannabis no differently than we do alcohol.
606. What about personal culture/growing of plants on personal property?
607. What community members do in the privacy of their own homes is their business, but I don't want to be subjected to the cannabis culture when it wasn't part of my community to start with. I don't want Castro St to become a Haight Ashbury or a Telegraph Avenue. That's not the culture that drew me to Mountain View to begin with. Just like the pharmaceutical industry is regulated from making gross claims that it cannot back up, the cannabis industry should be regulated for responsible marketing of its products, including retail outlet sanitation/health/safety, promotional language, and socially responsible marketing claims. At the city level, this means having rules about how the retail storefront is to be kept clean, safe and a respectful neighbor to the rest of the community. There should also be rules around the promotional language and imagery used for advertising cannabis businesses. Additionally, there should be oversight to the individuals who run the dispensaries, just like pharmacists at CVS are required to maintain professional

certifications and attend trainings in order to be allowed to advise patients on their medication. I am staunchly against cannabis usage for myself, but I believe in the freedom of choice and believe that we can still live peacefully with each other despite a diversity of beliefs. I hope that my cannabis-loving neighbors can reciprocate in being open-minded and respect that not everyone would like to partake in the use of cannabis.

608. What is regulation on protecting minors from this?
609. What is the legal standard for cannabis intoxication?
610. What will be the regulation regarding use of cannabis in public places? In downtown, the parks, side streets, etc.
611. What's wrong with the City Council? You've had over a year to figure this out and are missing out on tax revenue for the city. Let there be cannabis dispensaries on every street corner!
612. When it gets approved--because I fear the City Council members do NOT share my beliefs and will cater to the Big Business that is legal marijuana and approve the measure--it should be heavily taxed if sold in MV.
613. When the council talked about making Mountain View a sanctuary city, it was taking moral stand. Approving the sale of cannabis is about money with a disregard for the harm that will probably be done by individuals legally and illegally obtaining cannabis within the city limits. Laws don't make people responsible and Mountain View has a responsibility to think about that before approving the sale in the city.
614. When will bars be allowed to sell cannabis?
615. While I do not believe anyone should go to jail for using cannabis and hence I support legalization of recreational use, as an MD, I do believe there are risks with recreational use, especially in teens and for chronic adult users, that are perhaps not emphasized enough. I don't use cannabis for these reasons, and really don't enjoy being around people who are smoking cannabis (or tobacco). I currently frequently smell cannabis when walking down Castro. Will the prohibition against public use be enforced?
616. While I'd like to eventually treat cannabis just like cigarettes (which seems like the logical comparison), as something being newly introduced it seems important to phase it in.
617. While MV should tax cannabis sales, it should not be so exorbitant that it becomes punitive or drives people from legal avenues of purchase. If the tax is too high people will continue to purchase marijuana from dealers and unsafe channels. The

city loses out on revenue and citizens do not get to exercise their right as was voted on in the state election. Many cities are going to shut their door to cannabis and MV has the chance to take an opportunity. Besides, how many liquor stores and retailers are selling alcohol in this town and in stores where families with teens and children shop.

618. While popular vote means cannabis is legal now, I do not think it is a wise habit for the population. Very careful effort should be made to prohibit sales to minors, by limiting access to dispensaries. The developing brains of our youth should be protected. Thank you for polling residents.
619. While the ship has already sailed on personal cannabis use, cannabis retail in Mountain View should not be allowed. Permitting retail would only encourage and further personal cannabis use, which experience has shown is often conducted in public spaces (in apathetic defiance of current state law). Anyone who has been to SF (or Shoreline Amphitheater, for that matter) can attest to how annoying it is to be constantly dodging wafting clouds of weed smoke. Do we want to subject our city blocks and neighborhoods to the same? Why would we want to lower ourselves to that level? In addition to the above, there are obvious safety concerns that come with an increasingly-buzzed citizenry, especially when it comes to driving on our already-too-crowded streets.
620. Why do people care about this? Just let the businesses operate and implement a small tax on their sales. Other than the normal business regulations, don't waste our tax dollars by spending time nitpicking these businesses to death. Let them succeed or fail of their own accord.
621. Wish it was for only medical purposes only. There's enough trouble with health and mental issues with alcohol. How will legalizing Cannabis help improve our lives?
622. With regard to taxes, cannabis should be subject to the sales tax, but I think it would be wise for Mountain View to impose an excise tax, as well.
623. With the projected increase in population over the next decade or so we must not invite more addicts to live in Mountain View by providing for legal consumption or sale.
624. With the recent legalization of marijuana I hope the stigma ends with it. Yes criminals use marijuana but I have been an avid user for most of my adult life. Im a stay at home mom who has big responsibilities with 2 daughters & when Kaiser denied me medication for my depression and Bi-Polar, marijuana is the only thing that helps me. Please consider the population who needs it for mental health along

with physical health. Many people rely on marijuana for pain relief, muscle pain, etc. It's something we need to be taking more seriously to also end our opioid epidemic.

625. Worried about smell of cannabis around the area and our children
626. Years ago, the City Council decided there were too many Chinese restaurants on Castro Street. This racist decision also cut out family friendly restaurants. Our main street is now lined with bars. This used to be a town in which it was pleasant to walk in the downtown to a restaurant or for window shopping. It is no longer pleasant to walk through downtown due to unpredictable drivers and greatly increased traffic. Adding drivers who are high to the drivers who are distracted by their devices is a destructive choice for the life style of Mtn. View.
627. you should allocate and designate some public places that encourage use.