

**From:**

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 8:43 AM

**To:**

**Subject:** Re: Question re: documents for Wednesday's meeting

**CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.**

Hello,

I've only been to a few City Council meetings since they've been online (only ever been to one in person), so I am not sure how sharing a comment works exactly. I realize from the instructions that I have three minutes, but I have questions more than one extended comment. I thought it best to share it here and then if I am afforded the opportunity to receive answers and then comment that might be the best of the time allotted to me.

My questions Re: 5.1 Environmental Planning Commission Staff Report  
Specifically **Minor Zoning Map Amendment at 300–320 Moorpark Way**

When the report states "The proposed amendment is exempt from environmental review" Does that mean this rezoning can be enacted without taking into consideration the environmental impact on the surrounding neighbors and neighborhoods? If/when a developer decides to use this new rezoning as an opportunity to build what could this exemption mean for surrounding neighbors and neighborhoods?

Yes, I see what the *recommendation* says, that this is "to Be Consistent with the General Plan Land Use Map...", but I still find it curious so I'm asking. Other than for consistency with updating zoning sections of the map to match their general area what is the motivation at this time? Though there may be "No physical development is proposed as part of the project, and the project would not result in the potential for substantially increased allowed density..." How much density is there a potential for? And will the *exemption from environmental review* silence those with future concerns if/when a development is proposed?

With rezoning to R2 is there a designated height restriction on anything that could or would be built?

In what ways will this rezoning impact the neighbors, i.e. the Church, Daycare, and Mobilehome Parks?

I did also take some time to listen to the first hour of the Dec 2, 2020 meeting and was struck by Commissioner Capriles' concerns about the *precise plans* that have been worked on and the language in the report as it currently is and how that can open up to looser interpretations in the future.

All of this to say that I am a concerned citizen and hope that throughout the process words of caution are taken into consideration. Thanks for taking the time to read these comments and for including them during the public comment portion of Wednesday, March 3, 2021 meeting. I will be in attendance and more than willing to address them to the commission myself.

Sincerely,  
Mountain View resident