

SCOPE OF WORK FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A MULTIMODAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN AND NEXUS STUDY FOR A CITY-WIDE DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW

Our detailed Scope of Work for the Development of a Multimodal Improvement Plan and Nexus Study for a City-wide Development Fee in the City of Mountain View is detailed below. The Multimodal Improvement Plan developed for the City of Mountain View will be consistent with VTA's guidelines and requirements.

SCOPE OF WORK

Task 1: Kick-Off Meeting and Project Management

Within five days of Notice-to-Proceed on the project, we will schedule a Kick-Off Meeting to meet with City of Mountain View and VTA. The main purpose of the meeting will be to clearly set respective roles and responsibilities of the project participants including the proposed timeline for the technical tasks. At this meeting we will also establish communication channels and protocols, discuss goals of the project, scope of work and schedule. At this meeting we would also collect existing data, reports and plan sets from the City staff. List of data to be collected from the City will be provided along with the Agenda for the meeting. The TJKM Team will prepare the meeting agenda and minutes summarizing the action items.

Project Coordination and Management

TJKM will conduct monthly meetings (or conference calls) with the City to monitor the progress of the Project and to ensure the goals of the Project are met. In addition, TJKM will also provide monthly, written status reports to City of Mountain View in electronic format which would include work completed, and a Project Issues Page or action list to highlight any issues that may prevent the timely completion of the Project. TJKM will document in the Project log formal communications between City of Mountain View's staff and the TJKM Team throughout the duration of the project.

Deliverables:

- ✓ Monthly Status Reports
- ✓ Project Communication Log

Task 2: Review Documentation Associated with Transportation Needs

Under this task, TJKM will collect and review relevant planning and transportation studies and plans, including but not limited to the following:

- General Plan Update and General Plan EIR
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction Program
- El Camino Real and San Antonio Precise Plan
- Bicycle Transportation Plan Update

- Pedestrian Master Plan
- Shoreline Regional Park Community Transportation Study
- Shoreline Boulevard Corridor Transportation Study

www.TJKM.com

- Mountain View Transportation Management Association
- Rengstorff Grade Separation Design Concepts
- Stevens Creek Trail Four Cities Study
- Mayfield Tunnel Feasibility Study
- Transit Center Improvements
- North Bayshore Bonus FAR Projects
- VTA's Deficiency Plan Guidelines

- North Bayshore Precise Plan
- Nexus Study and Fee Program for North Bayshore Precise Plan
- California Street/Escuela/Shoreline Boulevard Improvements Feasibility Study
- Potential Future Transit Concepts, such as PRT
- 5 Year CIP

In addition to the above TJKM Team will also collect and review some of the major development projects within the City of Mountain View, including but not limited to the following:

- 700 East Middlefield TIA Study
- South Whisman TIA Study
- 801 Castro Street TIA Study

- 100 Moffett TIA Study
- 1975 El Camino Real TIA Study

TJKM Team will also identify, collect and review additional documents from regional agencies and surrounding cities. The review will be summarize to highlight relevant policies, programs and actions and also identify any gaps that needs to be addressed for the Multimodal Improvement Plan.

Deliverables:

✓ Technical Memorandum summarizing the policies, programs, actions from the review of the relevant documents and identification of gaps to address Multimodal Improvements Plan requirements.

Task 3: Community Outreach and Meetings

Under this task, TJKM Team will support City of Mountain View's community outreach efforts and attend coordination and other meetings as needed. TJKM Team will prepare study materials as directed by the City of Mountain View Project Manager, establish a project website and surveys/mailings as needed. At a minimum, TJKM Team will attend the following meetings:

- Up to one meeting with the Council Transportation Committee
- Up to two meetings with the City Council
- Up to 3 meetings with VTA (2 meetings with VTA advisory/standing committees and 1 meeting with the VTA Board)
- A developer meeting to discuss the Fee
- · One other small stakeholder meeting
- A web presence (format to be determined)
- Some phone conversations/meetings with Caltrans, VTA, Palo Alto, Los Altos, Sunnyvale, Caltrain, County, etc.

TJKM will take a lead role in coordination and discussion of the Deficiency Plan with VTA staff. TJKM will also prepare/develop all relevant materials for the VTA Board meeting and make presentation to the Board dependent on approval for City of Mountain View staff.

Deliverables:

- ✓ Attendance at Meetings
- ✓ Presentation Material for Outreach

Task 4: Analysis of Intersections and Roadways

TJKM Team will compile traffic data and projects as developed through the several Precise Plans and other recent traffic projections (base year and future year). Compiled traffic data will be reviewed and LOS impacts will be summarized for study intersections (not to exceed 60 study intersections) and roadway segments (not to exceed 60 study segments). Intersections will include CMP intersections and freeway ramps/intersections as agreed upon by the City and VTA (including facilities located outside the City), and locally-controlled intersections as directed by the City. Segments will include CMP roadways other than freeways as agreed upon by the City and VTA (including facilities located outside the City), and locally-controlled roadways as directed by the City. Deficient locations based on the future conditions based on City's General Plan, Precise Plans and development projects will be identified. It should be noted that roadway segments would include local roadways owned, operated and maintained by City of Mountain View. Based on the analysis, TJKM Team will identify potential improvement, if any, that would maintain the LOS thresholds. Potential improvement measures will include but not limited to physical infrastructure changes, signal timing, signal phasing, traffic control, and TDM, etc. Potential improvement measures will be documented in the report with description of the measures, pros and cons of the measures, projected improvements to the measure of effectiveness, graphic and/or preliminary concept plans as appropriate. TJKM Team will evaluate the feasibility of the potential improvements and discuss the feasibility of their implementation.

Deliverables:

✓ Technical Memorandum summarizing the analysis of intersections, roadways and potential improvements.

Task 5: Develop Multimodal Improvement Plan Action List

This task will involve assembling a preliminary list of improvement programs and actions as part of a Multimodal Improvement Plan. Key elements that will be covered in the plan include, but not limited to:

- Land use and urban design
- Traffic circulation
- Transit oriented streetscape design
- Transit Improvements

- Pedestrian and bicycle networks and amenity
- Parking and travel demand management (TDM)

The first step in completing this task will be a citywide assessment of the coverage and content of various efforts including, but not limited to:

• Draft and Final complete streets and network recommendations of the Shoreline Boulevard Corridor study, and California/Escuela/Shoreline study



- Draft recommendations and networks from the Bike Transportation Plan and Pedestrian Master Plan
- Draft or Final land use, urban design and streetscape improvements recommended under the El Camino Real Precise Plan, San Antonio Precise Plan, and North Bayshore Precise Plan
- Other planned improvements such as the Rengstorff grade separation
- Street design and planning standards applicable to all areas of the City

We will assess the list of improvement programs and actions in relation to confirm or evaluate the list holistically in relation to the following criteria:

- Comprehensive Are all elements of a Multimodal Improvement Plan included? Where are the gaps in the system? Are additional improvements needed?
- Alignment Do the actions align across planning area boundaries?
- Efficiency Are there any actions that are double counted under different efforts? Could actions be implemented more efficiently if they are undertaken together?
- Cohesion Do the actions tie together portions of the City in a cohesive manner? In particular, how
 do multimodal transportation facilities knit together the City across facilities such as El Camino Real,
 Central Expressway, US 101, State Route 237 and 85?
- Design How can elements of the plans be designed in a more unified manner?
- Standards Are there elements that need to be incorporated into design standards?
- Cooperation How does the City need to collaborate with other agencies such as Caltrans, VTA, Santa Clara County Roads & Airports, Caltrain, California PUC, and neighboring jurisdictions to enhance multimodal outcomes?

It should be noted that the above referenced criteria are subject to approval by City staff. TJKM team will work with City staff to reach consensus on the list of criteria to be used for evaluation. Detailed list with quantitative measures for the criteria will be developed and submitted to the City staff for review. Based on the comments/input received the list will be revised and submitted to the City staff for approval.

This work will be used to produce a unified set of action items along with their respective costs and feasibility/implementation considerations such as preliminary concept plans, right-of-way requirements, sequencing, phasing, and funding that has been associated with the actions.

The Action List will include a description of each action items as well as implementation mechanisms, costs and benefits in terms of improving multimodal transportation performance and improving air quality. This work will leverage work that has been done as part of previous planning effort, with updates to address emerging policy changes, potential phasing issues, and inflation.

Deliverables:

✓ Technical Memorandum summarizing the list of improvements, feasibility/implementation considerations such as preliminary concept plans, programs, actions and cost estimates.

Task 6: Develop Preliminary Action Plan with Available Funding

For each of the items listed in the above Action List, the TJKM Team will develop a preliminary action plan that identifies:

- Lead agency and responsibility;
- Costs associated with the action item (cost estimates will be developed to the CIP level cost estimate);
- Currently available funding as well as likely sources such as grant sources and developer fees;
- Phasing of projects (short, medium and long term items) and
- Scheduling in relation to other events and grant schedules.

This work will consider the timeframe of development within Mountain View and related impacts on transportation need in order to provide a comprehensive action plan of all items and available funding. The Multimodal Improvement Plan will identify funding for all the improvements in the Action Plan. The action plan will identify a range of potential sources to close the "funding shortfall". The action plan will be prioritize based on the discussion with the City staff.

Deliverables:

✓ Technical Memorandum summarizing action plan based on available funding. The technical memorandum will also summarize funding shortfall.

Task 7: Draft and Final Multimodal Improvement Plan

Based on the work completed under earlier tasks, TJKM Team will prepare a draft and final Multimodal Improvement Plan. Draft Multimodal Improvement Plan will be developed and submitted for City review and coordination with VTA and other jurisdictions. Under this task, TJKM will assist the City in determining the CEQA compliance and the effect of this document on future CEQA analysis. The draft plan will incorporate at a minimum the following elements:

- Final Action Plan
- Monitoring and reporting plan, including indicators and VTA reporting requirements
- Mapping concurrent improvements
- Procedural requirements related to impacts in other jurisdictions

Multimodal Improvement Plan will be developed taking into account innovative formatting, mapping and other user-friendly interfaces so that the plan is easy to use. Our plan will be developed identifying improvements, operational programs and strategies by mode. Draft Plan will be revised based on the comments and inputs received from the City and other stakeholders. The Final Plan will be submitted to the City for approval and adoption.

Deliverables:

✓ Draft and Final Multimodal Improvement Plan that incorporates the Final Action Plan and other Plan requirements.

The preliminary outline of the Draft and Final Multimodal Improvement Plan is as follows:

- Executive Summary
- Introduction

- Deficiency Analysis
- Deficiency Plan Action List
- Multi-Jurisdictional Coordination
- Action Plan
- Deficiency Plan Monitoring
- Environmental Review/Documentation

OPTIONAL TASK – NEXUS STUDY

The Multimodal Improvement Plan (MIP) prepared in Tasks described earlier will identify an action plan, priorities within the plan and costs to implement the plan. It will also identify any funding shortfalls that could be funded by an improvement fee and nexus study authorized by Government Code Section 66000 and subsequent sections. The proposed scope for conducting the Nexus Study is as follows:

Task A: Overview and Relationship

In a traditional traffic impact fee study, the most important determinations are the number of trips generated by land use development projects within the study area along with the costs of the transportation mitigation measures associated with the development. In this instance, the multimodal nature of the projects results in a need to identify the person trips (not just vehicle trips normally considered) developed by the land use projects being considered. The costs of the improvements could include new programs and facilities for bicycles, pedestrians, and transit, and non-single occupant vehicles. The ultimate product is the cost per person trip, which would lead to a fee program associated with various types of land use.

Task A1: Community Outreach

The TJKM Team will lead outreach meetings with the development community and City officials to explain the program and provide justification for using development fees to generate need fees for funding implementation of the MIP.

Task A2: Develop Baseline Data

In this task the land use quantities to be included in a potential fee would be identified. This information should be readily available through analysis of general and specific plans and development applications. The projected costs developed in the draft MIP to implement the projects would be assembled. All available funding sources, other than a potential fee, would be identified in order to determine fee needs.

Task A3: Preliminary Fee Calculation

The "cost per person trip" analysis described in Task A would be developed in this task. This analysis would be applied to standard Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) land use categories (such as retail, residential (single family, etc.), office, etc.). The target year for the Nexus Study would be likely 2035 (20 years in the future). The fees will match current land use definitions. Final fee schedule with include fees both for with and without significant TDM measures, using the fee schedule as an incentive for substantial TDM measures.

Task A4: Prepare Nexus Report

The TJKM will prepare a draft nexus report that describes the assumptions and methodology and establishes nexus findings:

- Identify the purpose of the fee
- Identify the use to which the fee is to be put
- Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development on which the fee is imposed
- Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed
- Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the amount of the fee and the cost
 of the public facility or portion of the public facility attributable to the development on which
 the fee is imposed

The final fee schedule will be a set of standard land use categories, such as retail, residential (single family, etc.), office, etc. in which the fee is based either on dwelling units or square feet. To develop the fee to arrive at the final schedule, the most important intermediate product is cost per trip. The fee schedule will be developed based on square footage based on the cost per trip developed. TJKM Team will conduct an analysis to ensure that the new fee is legally compatible with the North Bayshore Impact Fee. The draft report will be subjected to public review; TJKM will respond to questions and comments and prepare the Final Nexus Report.

Task A5: Incorporate Recommended Fee into MIP

The revenue derived from the recommended fee will be incorporated into the MIP; the action plan will be revised to reflect inclusion of the fee and its revenue.

Deliverables:

✓ Draft and Final Nexus Study that documents the key assumptions, methodology, nexus findings and administrative procedures to implement the feel.

City of Mountain View
TJKM Team Level of Effort and Cost Estimate for Development of A Multimodal Improvement Plan and Nexus Study for a City-wide Development Impact Fee

	1664 1664 1664 1664 1666				TJKM					Nels	on Nygaard		Mark Thon	mas & Co.		L	
Particular	o constant in the second	Chris Kinzel	Nayan Amin	Kuta Jariwala	Shruti Shrivastava	Amit Kothari	Colin Burgett		Jeff Tumlin	Kia Lo	atrick Siegman	Magnus Barber	Admas Zwedie	Steffen Meye	5		
1	Task Name	PIC/Task Leader	Project Manager	Task Leader	Task Leader			Project Engineers		Prinicpal	Principal	Associate	Senior Project Engineer	Pro Engi			
1		\$ 230.00	\$ 210.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 120.00	S	S	\vdash		180.00 \$	195.00	\$ 130.00	\$ 215.00	\$ 175.00		_	t by Task
1	Project Management	2	48							50			20		96	s	18,440.00
1	Kick-Off Meeting		2							2			2		9		1,210.00
Continue	Review Documentation Associated with Transportation Needs	0	16	0	12	0	0	28	0	6	0	4	10	0	79	s	12,450.00
Particulary Continuents	Data Collection		4		12										16		2,280.00
Prototoment of the prototoment o	Review of the Data Collected		4					16		4			4		28		4,340.00
1	Draft Technical Memorandum		4					80		2		4	4		22		3,540.00
Mathematical Continue Math	Final Technical Memorandum		4					4		3			2		13		2,290.00
The continuent of the continue	Community Outreach and Meetings	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	14		2,820.00
1	Project Website		4	2						4			4		14		2,820.00
From the continuent of the con	Analysis of Intersection and Roadways	0	10	28	20	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	s	12,500.00
From the continuity of the con	Analysis		4	16	20			20							99	s	8,840.00
Particularity Particularit	Draft Technical Memorandum		4	80											12		2,440.00
Particulary Action List Particulary Acti	Final Technical Memorandum		2	4											9	s	1,220.00
From the condition of t	Multimodal Imrpovement Plan (MIP) Action List	0	30	10	0	10	39	24	4	28	0	32	24	25	253	\$	44,740.00
From the continuity of the con	Develop MIP		16	10		10	15	24	4	16		20	16	40	171	s	29,940.00
Continuity Con	Draft Technica I Memorandum		10				16			80		00	4	00	¥	s	9,720.00
(49) (40) <th< td=""><td>Final Technical Memorandum</td><td></td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>80</td><td></td><td></td><td>4</td><td></td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>28</td><td></td><td>5,080.00</td></th<>	Final Technical Memorandum		4				80			4		4	4	4	28		5,080.00
From the continuity of the con	reliminary Action Plan (PAP)	0	20	0	0	12	0	0	2	14	0	0	8	0	95	s	11,380.00
conduiting 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 4 5	Develop PAP		80			8			2	8			4		30		6,120.00
conduiting 4 6 6 9 136 24 6 24 6 24 6 24 6 7 4 9 156 6 156 6 156 150	Draft Technical Memorandum		00			4				4			4		20		4,060.00
The color	Final Technical Memorandum		4							2					9		1,200.00
Subyly Sample (15 a) (1	Multimodal Improvement Plan	0	24	0	0	0	30	18	0	24	0	0	24	0	120	s	22,080.00
A Subsyriant Carry State	Draft MIP		16				20	12		16			16		80	\$	14,720.00
1-840-49	Final MIP		80				10	9		89			8		40		7,360.00
149 169 169 169 179 179 179 179 179 179 179 179 179 17	ub-Total without Nexus Study	2	154	40	32	22	69	06	9	101	0	36	92	25	969	s	125,620.00
15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Optional Task - Nexus Study																
Additional Line Control Line Co	Develop Baseline Data	16				16					8				40		8,440.00
16 4 6 7 8 8 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Preliminary Fee Calculation	12									80		16	40	76		14,760.00
156 4	Prepare Nexus Report																
10	Draft	16	4			80					4				32	s	6,900.00
54 8 0 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 40 174 8 1 </td <td>Final</td> <td>10</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td>5,520.00</td>	Final	10	4			00					4				26		5,520.00
55 40 32 54 69 90 6 101 24 36 108 92 870 6	Sub-Total Nexus Study	254	8	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	24	0	16	40	174	v,	35,620.00
5 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 6 8 8 9 8 <t< td=""><td>Direct Cost</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Direct Cost																
SS 40 32 54 101 24 101 24 36 101 24 36 101 24 36 37 87 <th< td=""><td>Vileage</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>s</td><td>500.00</td></th<>	Vileage															s	500.00
S5 40 32 54 69 90 6 101 24 36 108 27 87 5	Production Cost															s	500.00
56 400 32 54 69 90 6 101 24 36 108 22 108 97 6	Sub-Total Direct Cost															s	1,000.00
o 9	Total	95	162	40	32	54	69	90	9	101	24	36	108	92	870		162,240.00
	Contigency (15%)																24,500.00
	Total with Contigency															\$ 1	186,740.00

1. It is assumed but the House Study will be authorised by the CDV Council and as a result some of the work between Multimodal implementation Plans and Meus/Study such as CORT Estimating for Improvements will be coveraged.

2. Asternation to meeting for to secred for the secretary of the secretary of the Study Study and Study St