

From: Anne Wilson
To: [van Deursen, Anky](mailto:van.Deursen.Anky)
Cc: [Black, Patricia](mailto:Black.Patricia); EHislop@housing.org
Subject: Re: Tentative Appeal Decision 855/857 Park Drive: Cases 18190025, 18190026, 18190033
Date: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 6:20:09 PM

Dear Ms. van Deursen,

I am responding to the Tentative Appeal Decision for Petition 18190025, 18190026 and 18190033.

I am in agreement with all decisions made with one exception, which I would like to point out below.

Page 7 of the Tentative Appeal Decision in regard to Unit 8 Valuation of Automobile Parking (Section VI.4.e):

The reason why I was unable to use the assigned parking space, which is included in my rent, from August 2017 up to now is stated on page 3 in the Tentative Appeal Decision. The tree on the property near the parking space assigned to Unit 8 is overgrowing the area and needles and sap falling on the car will cause damage to the paint. The tree was not pruned by the Landlord. Instead of offering solution to the problem, the Landlord choose to not take any action, instead is turning the situation into his favor to occupy the spot with one of their vehicles.

I would like to affirm that there is evidence in the record, in Petitioners Exhibit 5, pages 11, 12 and 13 including photographs which illustrate that the parking space conditions did change over the years. There is also evidence in the record in Petitioners Exhibit 5 page 9 that the Landlord was taking care of the tree next to Ms. Curtis' parking spot but neglected the tree on the other side of the property near my car. As result to both evidences the condition of the parking space is causing reduction of housing service and I would like to ask that a solution has to be offered from the Appellants to either prune the tree or offer an alternative parking spot. 5 of the total 8 parking spots on the property are currently used by the Landlord. 4 spaces are occupied by their own vehicles and one spot is used for trash cans and potted trees.

I would be thankful if the evidences are reviewed and to reconsider the decision to modify section VI.4e.

Best regards,

Anne Wilson

On Fri, Feb 22, 2019 at 4:42 PM van Deursen, Anky
<Anky.vanDeursen@mountainview.gov> wrote:


Dear Affected Parties,

In accordance with CSFRA Regulations Chapter 5 (H)3.b, please find attached the Tentative Appeal Decision for Petition 18190025, 18190026 and 18190033 concerning 855/857 Park Drive for the Appeal Hearing scheduled during the next Rental Housing Committee meeting

on March 4, 2019. Responses to the Tentative Appeal Decision are due five days prior to the scheduled hearing date (February 27, 2019).

Kind regards,

Anky van Deursen

 (650) 903-6131

CSFRA Program Manager



<http://www.mountainview.gov/rentstabilization>