## Community Workshop- Companion Unit Regulations Update

Below are responses to the online public survey, November 2015, and January 2016 community workshop. Total of 112 responses received.

1. Do you support reducing The minimum lot size requirement for a companion unit to make more City R1 (Single Family Residential) parcels eligible to develop a companion unit?

	Response Percent Response	Response Percent Response
	Count	Count
Yes	74.2%	83
No	24.2%	27

Note: 2 gave no response

2. IF YES, what should be the new lot size requirement? (R1 = Single Family Residential zone designation)

	Response Percent	Response Percent Response
	Response Count	Count
No Minimum	34.8%	39
Lot Size		
5% (R1)	14.2%	16
15% (R1)	13.3%	15
25% (R1)	10.7%	12

Note: 30 gave no response

3. Do you support reducing the Park Land Dedication Fee requirement for a Companion Unit?

	Response Percent Response	<u> </u>
	Count	Count
Yes	72.3%	81
No	23.5%	29

Note: 2 gave other response

## 4. If YES, by what percent should the City reduce the Park Land Dedication Fee?

Percentage	Response Percent	Response Percent Response
Reduction	Response Count	Count
No	2.6%	3
1-25%	5.3%	6
26-50%	20.5%	23
50- 75%	16.9%	19
76-100%	17.8%	20

Note: 34 gave no response and 7 gave other response

- 5. Do have any other comments, questions or concerns about this topic?
  - Consider reducing parking requirements if units are close to transit hub.
  - Consider separate Regulations for a new companion unit within existing building footprint of the main home.
  - Consider pre-approved plan options.
  - Need good design guidelines.
  - Need to encourage companion units, but for real permanent housing, not itinerant housing, not AirBnB, not hotel type housing.
  - Park Land Dedication In-Lieu fee should be reduced only if the unit is rent restricted.
  - Consider different forms of housing besides high density apartments.
  - To have a secondary dwelling next door, and have your privacy compromised because of it, is very unfair. This will overbuild and destroy neighborhood privacy.
  - Consider regulations restricting at least occupancy one of the unit on site by the property owner.