

From: [Martin Pulvers](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Agenda item 2.2, Monta Loma Neighborhood Park
Date: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 11:46:53 AM

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

Dear City Council;

In consideration of tonight's discussion (in closed session, apparently) I would like all members, and relevant city staff, to understand that the preservation of park space for the residents of the Monta Loma neighborhood (a rather close knit group, as suburban neighborhoods go) is of prime importance to us.

Abrogating responsibility for providing our neighborhood with the requisite park area, and blaming the school district, will not absolve the council members from facing this community's condemnation and negative vote, pretty much as a solid entity. If council members would like to see how seriously this issue is taken by the MNLA, please take a leisurely drive through the neighborhood and note the huge percentage of homes that have gone out of their way to put a pro-park poster on their lawns.

In discussions with neighbors and local residents, the consistency of calling the council members, as individuals and as a unit, to account is unfailing. Whether the city council has, or does not have the authority to keep our park privileges alive is of no moment. You may have your reasons, but no excuse is likely to be accepted during elections is the message I am hearing.

Please see that the neighborhood entitlement to open park space is preserved.

Sincerely,
Marty Pulvers

[REDACTED]
Mt. View

From: [Mohan Gurunathan](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: 2018-2019 GHG inventories
Date: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 1:08:01 PM

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

Dear City Council,

I understand that you will be discussing 2018-2019 GHG inventories in this evening's Council meeting. The GHG inventories for Mountain View were recently published by the MV Sustainability team.

Please note that Mountain View continues to use a "Production Based" GHG inventory process, which only counts the emissions that occur within the bubble of the city. This method undercounts the actual emissions of our residents by 50% or more, because many of our emissions are driven by *consumptive* behavior (like what we buy, what we eat, how we travel, etc.) that cause emissions outside the boundary of Mountain View. To get an honest picture of Mountain View's GHG emissions, we should really calculate a "Consumption Based" GHG inventory, which takes into account all of the external emissions caused by our residents' lifestyle choices. **I urge you to ask the Sustainability team to publish a Consumption Based inventory for the city on an annual basis.** You can learn more about Consumption Based inventories at the links below.

Links:

- <https://theclimatecenter.org/climatesafeca/consumption-based-emissions/>
- <https://sustainableconsumption.usdn.org/initiatives-list/estimating-consumption-related-emissions>
- <https://www.c40.org/researches/consumption-based-emissions>

Sincerely,

Mohan Gurunathan
Resident, Mountain View