



City of Mountain View

Agenda

Parks and Recreation Commission and Urban Forestry Board

Wednesday, May 13, 2026

6:00 PM

Maple Room and Video Conference, 201 South Rengstorff Avenue, Mountain View, CA 94040

Spanish or Chinese interpretation via Zoom and translation of meeting materials are available at no cost upon request. Please contact the City by 5:00 p.m. at least two business days prior to the day of the scheduled meeting by phone at (650) 903-6608 or by email at mep@mountainview.gov.

Interpretación por medio de Zoom y traducción de los materiales de la reunión estarán disponibles sin costo alguno con solicitud previa. Favor de comunicarse con la Ciudad antes de las 5:00 p. m. al menos dos días hábiles antes de la reunión agendada al (650) 903-6608 o por correo electrónico a mep@mountainview.gov.

如有需要，可通过 Zoom 获取中文翻译版，会议材料翻译版免费提供。请至少在会议预定日期前两个工作日下午 5:00 前 903-6608 或发送电子邮件至 mep@mountainview.gov 联系市政府。

This meeting is being conducted with a virtual component. Anyone wishing to address the Commission virtually may join the meeting online at: <https://mountainview.zoom.us/j/85027481875> or by dialing (669) 900-9128 and entering Webinar ID: 850 2748 1875. When the Chair announces the item on which you wish to speak, click the “raise hand” feature in Zoom or dial *9 on your phone. When the Chair calls your name to provide public comment, if you are participating via phone, please press *6 to unmute yourself.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

3. MINUTES APPROVAL

3.1 Minutes Approval

Recommendation: Approve the April 8, 2026 minutes.

Attachments: [Minutes](#)

4. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC

This portion of the meeting is reserved for persons wishing to address the Commission on any matter not on the agenda. Speakers are limited to three minutes. State law prohibits the Commission from acting on non-agenda items.

5. NEW BUSINESS

5.1 Public Safety Building, Project 20-49

Recommendation: Review the proposed Heritage tree mitigation for Public Safety Building, Project 20-49, and forward a recommendation to the City Council to approve the mitigation of forty (40) Heritage trees at a 2:1 replacement ratio, with the planting of eighty (80) 24" box trees within the project site.

Attachments: [Memo](#)
[ATT 1 - Existing Conditions](#)
[ATT 2 - Tree Assessment List](#)
[ATT 3 - Tree Removal Plan](#)
[ATT 4 - Tree Planting Plan](#)
[ATT 5 - Tree Canopy Studies](#)

5.2 Park Land Fund Update and Fiscal Year 2026-27 Capital Improvement Program Commitments

Recommendation: Senior Management Analyst Lindsay Wong will provide a presentation regarding updates on current projects utilizing Park Land Funds and the recommended commitments from the Park Land Fund to future Capital Improvement Program projects for the Fiscal Year 2026-27.

5.3 Recent Park Design Evaluation

Recommendation: Assistant Community Services Director Brenda Sylvia will lead a discussion with PRC members on the development of an evaluation process for new parks.

6. COMMISSION/STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS, UPDATES, REQUESTS, AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

No action will be taken on any questions raised by the Commission at this time.

7. ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC:

The agenda and staff reports are available online at mountainview.legistar.com.

Questions and comments regarding the agenda may be directed to the Community Services Department at (650) 903-6400 or prc@mountainview.gov.

The Commission may consider and act on items listed on the agenda in any order. Consideration of the matters noticed herein is not limited by the recommendations indicated herein.

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), if you need special assistance in this meeting, please contact the Community Services Department at (650) 903-6400. Notification of 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting. (28 CFR 35.160 (b) (1))

ADDRESSING THE COMMISSION:

Interested persons are entitled to speak on any item on the agenda and should make their interest known to the Chair.

Anyone wishing to address the Commission on an item not on the agenda may do so during the "Oral Communications" part of the agenda. Speakers are allowed to speak once on any number of topics for up to three minutes. For any agenda item or for Oral Communications on nonagenda items, if there appears to be a large number of speakers, the Chair may reduce speaking time to no less than 1.5 minutes per speaker unless there is an objection from the Commission by majority vote.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.3(b)(1), at least twice the allotted speaking time will be provided to a member of the public who utilizes a translator.



City of Mountain View

CITY HALL
500 CASTRO STREET

Legislation Text

File #: 206151, **Version:** 1

Minutes Approval

Approve the April 8, 2026 minutes.



City of Mountain View

Minutes - Draft

Parks and Recreation Commission and Urban Forestry Board

Wednesday, April 8, 2026

6:00 PM

Maple Room and Video Conference, 201 South Rengstorff Avenue, Mountain View, CA 94040

This meeting was conducted with a virtual component.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Mitchner called the meeting to order at 6:04 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Present 5 - Commissioner Ronit Bryant, Commissioner Jonathan Davis, Commissioner IdaRose Sylvester, Vice Chair Sandy Sommer, and Chair Joe Mitchner

3. MINUTES APPROVAL

3.1 Minutes Approval

M/S Davis/Sommer - To approve the March 17, 2026 minutes.

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Bryant, Commissioner Davis, Commissioner Sylvester, Vice Chair Sommer, and Chair Mitchner

4. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC - None

5. NEW BUSINESS

5.1 Heritage Tree Appeal - 1145 Carver Place

Urban Forest Manager Russell Hansen presented a report and answered the Commissioner's questions.

Speaking from the floor:

-Ted Rees
-Ken Ohm

M/S Davis/Bryant - To adopt a Resolution of the Urban Forestry Board of the City of Mountain View to Deny the Appeal, Uphold Staff's Decision, and deny the removal of one (1) Heritage Tree at 1145 Carver Place, to be read in title only, further reading waived (Attachment 1 to the memorandum).

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Bryant, Commissioner Davis, Commissioner Sylvester, Vice Chair Sommer, and Chair Mitchner

5.2 Heritage Tree Appeal - 139 Easy Street

Urban Forest Manager Russell Hansen presented a report and answered the Commission's questions.

Speaking from the floor:

- Blake Freeman
- Jason Liew
- Ken Ohm
- Bill Lambert

M/S Davis/Bryant - To adopt a Resolution of the Urban Forestry Board of the City of Mountain View to Deny the Appeal, Uphold Staff's Decision, and deny the removal of two (2) Heritage Trees at 139 Easy Street, to be read in title only, further reading waived (Attachment 1 to the memorandum).

Yes: 5 - Commissioner Bryant, Commissioner Davis, Commissioner Sylvester, Vice Chair Sommer, and Chair Mitchner

5.3 Shoreline Projects Update

Shoreline Manager Brady Ruebusch provided an update and answered the Commission's questions.

6. COMMISSION/STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS, UPDATES, REQUESTS, AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

Community Services Director John Marchant provided an update on the following:

- Upcoming Recreation programming and Special Events
- Downtown activation and community engagement for World Cup celebrations

Assistant Community Services Director Brenda Sylvia provided an update on the following:

- Internal software system for work orders

7. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Mitchner adjourned the meeting at 9:10 p.m.



Legislation Text

File #: 206152, **Version:** 1

Public Safety Building, Project 20-49

Review the proposed Heritage tree mitigation for Public Safety Building, Project 20-49, and forward a recommendation to the City Council to approve the mitigation of forty (40) Heritage trees at a 2:1 replacement ratio, with the planting of eighty (80) 24" box trees within the project site.

DATE: May 13, 2026

TO: Urban Forestry Board

FROM: Naveen Govind, Senior Project Manager
David O. Printy, Principal Project Manager
Edward Arango, Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer

VIA: Jennifer Ng, Public Works Director

SUBJECT: **Public Safety Building, Project 20-49**

RECOMMENDATION

Review the proposed Heritage tree mitigation for Public Safety Building, Project 20-49, and forward a recommendation to the City Council to approve the mitigation of forty (40) Heritage trees at a 2:1 replacement ratio, with the planting of eighty (80) 24" box trees within the project site.

BACKGROUND

On [March 17, 2026](#), a Heritage tree mitigation plan for Public Safety Building, Project 20-49, was presented to the Urban Forestry Board (UFB) to obtain a recommendation to Council to approve the mitigation of forty-two (42) Heritage trees at a 2:1 replacement ratio, with the planting of eighty-four (84) 24" box trees within the project site. The UFB reviewed and discussed the proposed mitigation at the meeting and adopted the following motion: directed staff to return to the UFB with a revised mitigation plan focusing on canopy replacement, with a preponderance of native trees, at a 2:1 replacement for Heritage Trees and a 1:1 replacement for non-Heritage trees. Additionally, explore the placement of mitigation trees within the neighborhood and immediate area if on-site space is not available for all mitigation trees. The updated site layout plan with the proposed new and existing trees is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Site Layout

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 75,000 square foot building 2. Corner public entry plaza 3. Public event plaza 4. Public parking 5. K9 Training Area | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Secure staff surface parking 7. Secure staff parking structure 8. 15-lane shooting range (top level) 9. Second public safety vehicle access 10. Future City use (0.6 acre) |
|---|---|

Tree Removal

As part of the project, existing trees are required to be removed to accommodate the new Public Safety Building project. Staff requests that the Urban Forestry Board (UFB) provide a recommendation to the City Council for the number, size, and location of replacement trees, which is the focus of the UFB's purview, as defined in the following Municipal Code Section 32.33, City Capital Improvement Projects:

“City capital improvement projects which propose the removal of any heritage tree shall be submitted by the city project staff to the city's arborist for review and recommendation of appropriate mitigation measures. The arborist's recommendations shall be forwarded by city project staff to the urban forestry board for their recommendation on the number, size and location of replacement trees. The recommendation of the urban forestry board shall be forwarded by city project staff to the city council for their consideration with the approval of the project.”

ANALYSIS

The City engaged a professional arborist, Woodreeve Consulting, to assess the existing trees on-site. A total of 105 trees, representing 17 species, were evaluated. The existing tree locations are shown in Attachment 1.

Corrections to the March 17, 2026, staff report:

After the tree survey was submitted to the City, one of the heritage trees along Franklin Street, near Villa Street, (No. H-250, Alder tree species), was found to have died. In the fall of 2022, this tree was removed by the City Arborist staff for safety to prevent limb fall and or damage to adjacent cars or passers-by. The [March 17, 2026, UFB report](#) misidentified this heritage tree (No. H-250) as being impacted by the project when it had died of natural causes. While this results in one less Heritage tree for removal, staff is showing mitigating for this removed tree at a 2:1 ratio as part of the project. After staff's further review, another tree was mischaracterized as a heritage tree (No. 211 – Sweetgum) and has been reclassified as a non-heritage tree. Due to its location, it will still require removal and is mitigated at a 1:1 ratio. Lastly, staff has included one additional new tree beyond the above noted trees, as there was an opportunity to add a new tree within the site plan and increase the tree count.

With the above corrections, the Public Safety Building project (as shown on Figure 1 – Site Layout) will require the removal of 40 Heritage trees and 35 non-Heritage trees within the project site. At least 20 Heritage trees and 10 non-Heritage trees will be retained and preserved within and immediately adjacent to the project boundaries. Attachment 2 lists the trees on site, their species, size, Heritage tree status, and recommendations for removal. The site plan in Attachment 3 illustrates the locations of the Heritage and non-Heritage trees to be removed. The

majority of the trees to be removed are in direct conflict with planned facilities, and a few trees require removal to facilitate construction activities.





As noted in the March 17, 2026 UFB report, the removal of heritage trees is necessitated by the constraints of constructing a new Public Safety Building on the same site as the existing facility, while maintaining ongoing public safety operations. The design balances tree preservation with essential site and building requirements. Design flexibility was further limited by the need to accommodate construction phasing, contractor access, elevation and grading changes, and the City's utility easement along the Evelyn Drive frontage, which restricts the planting of new trees.




The previous March 2026 UFB report information regarding the evaluation of trees feasible for transplant, factors used, and rationale noted is still valid, and the recommendation is the same: planting new trees as a mitigation measure is recommended over transplanting trees.

Following the March UFB meeting, and in response to UFB comments, the design team, working in consultation with the City Arborist, have increased the species for the proposed trees from 9 native species to 51 native species, including 34 oak trees. This is an increase of 42 additional native trees. Please refer to Table 1 for the updated tree species list, which were selected for their site-specific qualities, low water use and suitability to be included in the draft Biodiversity and Urban Forest Plan. Attachment 4 outlines the revised Tree Planting Plan.

As mitigation for the removal of up to 40 Heritage trees, staff recommends replacement at a 2:1 ratio with 24" box trees, replacing the previously removed dead Alder tree at a 3:1 ratio with 24" box trees, and replacing the 35 non-Heritage trees at a 1:1 ratio with 24" box trees. This results in 118 new trees, 51 of which are native, as shown in Attachment 4, and the plant schedule in Table 1. After careful review of the revised planting plan and increasing the number of smaller trees in the police dog training area, the design team confirmed that all new trees will fit on the site and be installed by the completion of the last phase of the project.

Table 1: Tree Planting Schedule

SYM.	BOTONICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	COUNT	WATER USE	NATIVE
	Quercia Lobata	Valley Oak	24" box	5	Low	Yes
	Arbutus 'Marina'	Marina Arbutus	24" box	7	Low	No
	Cercis Occidentalis	Western Redbud	24" box	17	Low	Yes
	Laurus Nobilis	Sweet Bay	24" box	19	Low	No

	Laurus 'Saratoga'	Saratoga Laurel	24" box	12	Low	No
	Hetermeles Arbutufolia	Toyon	24" box	29	Low	Yes
	Quercus Regal Prince	Regal Prince Oak	24" box	29	Low	No

To provide additional information, the design team and City Arborist have collaborated to include the following tree selection justification table for the rationale of each species choice and inclusion in the planting plan.

Table 3: Tree Selection Rationale

BOTONICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	CRITERIA FOR SELECTION
Quercia Lobata	Valley Oak	A large native tree was selected to anchor the corners of the Public Safety Building and the Parking Garage. It provides ample shade to help reduce heat absorption by the buildings.
Arbutus 'Marina'	Marina Arbutus	Due to the limited planting space in the courtyard between the Public Safety Building and the Parking Garage, this tree was selected for its compact size and form. Its pink flowers were also chosen to emphasize the significance of this space as an employee break area.
Cercis Occidentalis	Western Redbud	Due to the limited planting space in various locations, this native tree was selected for its smaller size and compact form. This species was used more frequently than the arbutus because it is a native plant. It also features attractive pink flowers, which were incorporated into more public areas of the site.
Laurus Nobilis	Sweet Bay	Chosen for its size and shape to maximize the number of street trees along Villa Street, providing shade for pedestrians and the building.
Laurus 'Saratoga'	Saratoga Laurel	A larger tree was selected for its dense, full canopy to help mitigate the urban heat island effect from surrounding surface parking lots.
Hetermeles Arbutufolia	Toyon	Chosen for its being a California Native with dense foliage to help screen the parking garage and employee courtyard.
Quercus Regal Prince	Regal Prince Oak	Features a compact, columnar growth pattern that allows for more trees to be planted within the designated areas. This species was selected in response to the City's preference for oaks.

A tree canopy study was also conducted to analyze the existing and proposed trees and ensure that the mitigation plan will achieve parity with the existing conditions. As noted in Attachment 5, the proposed canopy achieves 27.5% site coverage at maturity after 15 years, exceeding the existing 26% coverage.

Staff has evaluated the potential use of reclaimed wood from some of the existing trees on this site and has determined that the project can salvage select redwood trees and repurpose the reclaimed wood for constructing new benches in the public plaza and along Villa Street, and potentially for use in select interior and exterior furnishings on this project.

FISCAL IMPACT

Public Safety Building. Project 20-49 is funded as shown in Table 4.

Council approved a comprehensive funding plan on [April 14, 2026](#), and subsequently authorized the issuance of the revenue bonds on [April 28, 2026](#). Pending the sale of the recently authorized bonds, the project will be funded, and the mitigation for the on-site tree removals will be funded from the project budget.

Table 4: Project Funding

Total Project Funding Sources	
Bond Financing	\$135,000,000
Public Safety Building Reserve	\$26,500,000
Public Safety Building, CIP Project 20-49	\$16,300,000
Shoreline Regional Park Community	\$25,500,000
GOF Fiscal Year 2025-26 Recommended Budget Action	\$2,000,000
TOTAL OF INITIAL FUNDING SOURCES	\$205,300,000
Additional Funding Sources	
Other Sources, including interest income and CIP funds	Up to \$10,000,000
TOTAL Contingency Funds	Up to \$10,000,000

CONCLUSION

The project team has made every effort to preserve existing Heritage trees where feasible. However, due to the constraints of constructing a new Public Safety Building on the same site as the existing facility, while maintaining ongoing operations, the design balances tree preservation with essential site and building requirements. Design flexibility was further limited by the need to accommodate construction phasing, contractor access, elevation and grading changes, and the City's utility easement along the Evelyn Drive frontage, which restricts the planting of new trees. In response to the Urban Forestry Board's feedback, staff has increased the number of native trees from 9 to 51 and aligned the species selection with trees suitable for inclusion in the draft Biodiversity and Urban Forest Plan. The Urban Forestry Board's recommendation for tree mitigation will be forwarded to the City Council in June 2026, along with the approval of the project plans, specifications, and estimates for the first phase of construction of this project.

The project is estimated to begin the public bid process for the first phase of construction in the summer of 2026, following authorization by Council. Construction is expected to begin in the fall of 2026, and the last phase is anticipated to be completed in 2031.

PUBLIC NOTICING

In addition to the standard agenda posting, staff posted notices on the trees identifying them for removal and provided information for attending this Urban Forestry Board meeting. Notices were mailed to occupants and property owners within 1,000 feet of the project site.

Attachments: 1. Existing Conditions
 2. Tree Assessment List
 3. Tree Removal Plan
 4. Tree Planting Plan
 5. Tree Canopy Studies

cc: PWD, APWD—Arango, PPM—Printy, SPM—Govind, F/c (20-49)

Tree Assessment List

TREE NO.	SPECIES	TRUNK	HERITAGE	REMOVE
166	Raywood ash	14	No	No
167	Raywood ash	16	Yes	No
168	Raywood ash	16	Yes	No
169	Chinese pistache	12	No	No
170	Callery pear	6	No	Yes
171	Callery pear	6	No	Yes
172	Callery pear	7	No	Yes
173	Coast redwood	21	Yes	Yes
174	Coast redwood	24	Yes	Yes
175	Coast redwood	25	Yes	Yes
176	Raywood ash	32	Yes	No
177	Honey locust	12	No	Yes
178	Raywood ash	25	Yes	No
179	Raywood ash	32	Yes	No
180	Honey locust	9	No	No
181	Olive	10	No	No
182	Olive	13	No	No
183	Raywood ash	28	Yes	Yes
184	Olive	8	No	No
185	Coast redwood	11	Yes	No
186	Olive	7	No	Yes
187	Olive	6	No	Yes
188	Xylosma	9	No	Yes
189	Coast redwood	13	Yes	No
190	Coast redwood	15	Yes	Yes
191	Coast redwood	20	Yes	Yes
192	Coast redwood	22	Yes	Yes
193	Olive	15	Yes	Yes
194	Evergreen pear	8	No	Yes
195	Evergreen pear	9	No	Yes
196	Evergreen pear	15	Yes	Yes
197	Chinese pistache	17	Yes	No
198	Chinese pistache	14	No	Yes
199	Coast redwood	14	Yes	Yes
200	Purle-leaf plum	8	No	Yes
201	Purle-leaf plum	9	No	Yes
202	Flowering cherry	11	No	Yes

Tree Assessment List

TREE NO.	SPECIES	TRUNK	HERITAGE	REMOVE
203	Coast redwood	22	Yes	Yes
204	Coast redwood	15	Yes	Yes
205	Coast redwood	25	Yes	Yes
206	Coast redwood	21	Yes	Yes
207	Coast redwood	29	Yes	Yes
208	Sweetgum	10	No	Yes
209	Sweetgum	15	Yes	Yes
210	Sweetgum	8	No	Yes
211	Sweetgum	13	No	Yes
212	Flowering cherry	10	No	Yes
213	Flowering cherry	12	No	Yes
214	Coast redwood	34	Yes	Yes
215	Coast redwood	29	Yes	Yes
216	Coast redwood	27	Yes	Yes
217	Coast redwood	38	Yes	Yes
218	Coast redwood	46	Yes	Yes
219	Coast redwood	25	Yes	No
220	Coast redwood	35	Yes	Yes
221	Coast redwood	37	Yes	No
222	London plane	19	Yes	No
223	Purple-leaf plum	6	No	Yes
224	Purple-leaf plum	7	No	Yes
225	Flowering cherry	12	No	Yes
226	Coast redwood	39	Yes	Yes
227	Sweetgum	19	Yes	No
228	Sweetgum	18	Yes	No
229	Sweetgum	18	Yes	Yes
230	Sweetgum	14	No	Yes
231	Coast live oak	22	Yes	Yes
232	Sweetgum	14	No	Yes
233	Sweetgum	15	Yes	Yes
234	Sweetgum	16	Yes	Yes
235	Flowering cherry	17	Yes	Yes
236	Flowering cherry	11	No	Yes
237	Flowering cherry	10	No	Yes
238	Flowering cherry	11	No	Yes
239	Olive	11	No	Yes

Tree Assessment List

TREE NO.	SPECIES	TRUNK	HERITAGE	REMOVE
240	Olive	12	No	Yes
241	Olive	11	No	Yes
242	Evergreen pear	12,10,8	Yes	Yes
243	Coast live oak	22	Yes	Yes
244	Coast live oak	14	Yes	Yes
245	Blackwood acacia	11	No	Yes
246	Olive	10	No	Yes
247	Olive	7	No	Yes
248	Olive	18	Yes	Yes
249	Coast live oak	22	Yes	No
250 *	Italian alder	31	N/A	N/A
251	Valley oak	18	Yes	Yes
252	Flowering cherry	8	No	Yes
253	Raywood ash	23	Yes	Yes
254	Evergreen pear	12	No	Yes
255	Evergreen ash	25	Yes	Yes
256	Sweetgum	14	No	Yes
257	Coast live oak	23	Yes	Yes
258	Coast live oak	12	Yes	Yes
259	Coast redwood	17	Yes	Yes
260	Coast redwood	22	Yes	Yes
261	Coast redwood	19	Yes	Yes
262	Coast redwood	23	Yes	No
263	Coast redwood	19	Yes	No
264	Coast redwood	23	Yes	No
265	Coast redwood	16	Yes	No
266	Coast redwood	18	Yes	No
267	Olive	13	No	No
268	Olive	12	No	No
269	Olive	11	No	No
270	Olive	11	No	No

** Note: This tree was removed from the site for safety in fall 2022 after it was found to be dead.*

Tree Canopy Study

Existing Tree Canopy

Tree No.	Species	Canopy Radius	Heritage	Canopy Size
166	Raywood ash	10	No	314.16
167	Raywood ash	10	Yes	314.16
168	Raywood ash	7.5	Yes	176.71
169	Chinese pistache	12.5	No	490.87
170	Callery pear	5	No	78.54
171	Callery pear	5	No	78.54
172	Callery pear	5	No	78.54
173	Coast Redwood	12.5	Yes	490.87
174	Coast Redwood	12.5	Yes	490.87
175	Coast Redwood	17.5	Yes	962.11
176	Raywood ash	15	Yes	706.86
177	Honey locust	17.5	No	962.11
178	Raywood ash	15	Yes	706.86
179	Raywood ash	5	Yes	78.54
180	Honey locust	12.5	No	490.87
181	Olive	5	No	78.54
182	Olive	12.5	No	490.87
183	Raywood ash	17.5	Yes	962.11
184	Olive	7.5	No	176.71
185	Coast Redwood	7.5	Yes	176.71
186	Olive	7.5	No	176.71
187	Olive	10	No	314.16
188	Xylosma	12.5	No	490.87
189	Coast Redwood	7.5	Yes	176.71
190	Coast Redwood	7.5	Yes	176.71
191	Coast Redwood	7.5	Yes	176.71
192	Coast Redwood	7.5	Yes	176.71

Existing Tree Canopy

Tree No.	Species	Canopy Radius	Heritage	Canopy Size
193	Olive	12.5	Yes	490.87
194	Evergreen pear	7.5	No	176.71
195	Evergreen pear	7.5	No	176.71
196	Evergreen pear	10	Yes	314.16
197	Chinese pistache	12.5	Yes	490.87
198	Chinese pistache	12.5	No	490.87
199	Coast Redwood	7.5	Yes	176.71
200	Purple-leaf plum	7.5	No	176.71
201	Flowering cherry	7.5	No	176.71
202	Coast Redwood	15	No	706.86
203	Coast Redwood	12.5	Yes	490.87
204	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
205	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
206	Coast Redwood	15	Yes	706.86
207	Coast Redwood	15	Yes	706.86
208	Sweetgum	12.5	No	490.87
209	Sweetgum	12.5	Yes	490.87
210	Sweetgum	12.5	No	490.87
211	Sweetgum	12.5	No	490.87
212	Flowering cherry	5	No	78.54
213	Flowering cherry	5	No	78.54
214	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
215	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
216	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
217	Coast Redwood	12.5	Yes	490.87
218	Coast Redwood	15	Yes	706.86
219	Coast Redwood	15	Yes	706.86

Existing Tree Canopy

Tree No.	Species	Canopy Radius	Heritage	Canopy Size
220	Coast Redwood	12.5	Yes	490.87
221	Coast Redwood	15	Yes	706.86
222	London plane	15	Yes	706.86
223	Purple-leaf plum	15	No	706.86
224	Purple-leaf plum	15	No	706.86
225	Flowering cherry	15	No	706.86
226	Coast Redwood	12.5	Yes	490.87
227	Sweetgum	15	Yes	706.86
228	Sweetgum	17.5	Yes	962.11
229	Sweetgum	15	Yes	706.86
230	Sweetgum	15	No	706.86
231	Coast live oak	17.5	Yes	962.11
232	Sweetgum	10	No	314.16
233	Sweetgum	10	Yes	314.16
234	Sweetgum	15	Yes	706.86
235	Flowering cherry	15	Yes	706.86
236	Flowering cherry	15	No	706.86
237	Flowering cherry	5	No	78.54
238	Flowering cherry	7.5	No	176.71
239	Olive	10	No	314.16
240	Olive	12.5	No	490.87
241	Olive	12.5	No	490.87
242	Evergreen pear	12.5	Yes	490.87
243	Coast live oak	10	Yes	314.16
244	Coast live oak	10	Yes	314.16
245	Blackwood acacia	12.5	No	490.87
246	Olive	15	No	706.86

Existing Tree Canopy

Tree No.	Species	Canopy Radius	Heritage	Canopy Size
247	Olive	7.5	No	176.71
248	Olive	12.5	Yes	490.87
249	Coast live oak	17.5	Yes	962.11
250	Italian alder		Yes	
251	Valley oak	20	Yes	1256.64
252	Flowering cherry	12.5	No	490.87
253	Raywood ash	15	Yes	706.86
254	Evergreen pear	7.5	No	176.71
255	Evergreen ash	17.5	Yes	962.11
256	Sweetgum	15	No	706.86
257	Coast live oak	15	Yes	706.86
258	Coast live oak	12.5	Yes	490.87
259	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
260	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
261	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
262	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
263	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
264	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
265	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
266	Coast Redwood	10	Yes	314.16
267	Olive	12.5	No	490.87
268	Olive	12.5	No	490.87
269	Olive	10	No	314.16
270	Olive	7.5	No	176.71
Total				47163.16
Site Area				179090
Subtotal				26.33%

Proposed Tree Canopy

Phase 1

Species	Canopy Radius	Canopy Size
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Valley oak	17.5	962.11
Regal Prince	10	314.16
Regal Prince	10	314.16
Regal Prince	10	314.16
Regal Prince	10	314.16
Subtotal		7893.25

Phase 3

Species	Canopy Radius	Canopy Size
Strawberry Tree	7.5	176.71
Strawberry Tree	7.5	176.71
Strawberry Tree	7.5	176.71
Strawberry Tree	7.5	176.71
Strawberry Tree	7.5	176.71
Strawberry Tree	7.5	176.71
Strawberry Tree	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Western Redbud	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71

Phase 3

Species	Canopy Radius	Canopy Size
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Toyon	7.5	176.71
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Sweet Bay	10	314.16
Saratoga Laurel	12.5	490.87
Saratoga Laurel	12.5	490.87
Saratoga Laurel	12.5	490.87
Saratoga Laurel	12.5	490.87
Saratoga Laurel	12.5	490.87

Heritage Trees		
Species	Canopy Radius	Canopy Size
Raywood ash	10	314.16
Raywood ash	10	314.16
Raywood ash	7.5	176.71
Chinese pistache	12.5	490.87
Raywood ash	15	706.86
Raywood ash	15	706.86
Raywood ash	5	78.54
Honey locust	12.5	490.87
Olive	5	78.54
Olive	12.5	490.87
Olive	7.5	176.71
Coast redwood	7.5	176.71
Coast redwood	7.5	176.71
Chinese pistache	12.5	490.87
Chinese pistache	12.5	490.87
Coast redwood	15	706.86
Coast redwood	15	706.86
London plane	15	706.86
Sweetgum	15	706.86
Sweetgum	17.5	962.11
Coast live oak	17.5	962.11
Coast redwood	10	314.16
Coast redwood	10	314.16
Coast redwood	10	314.16
Coast redwood	10	314.16
Coast redwood	10	314.16
Olive	12.5	490.87
Olive	12.5	490.87
Olive	10	314.16
Olive	7.5	176.71
Subtotal		13155.42
Site Area		179090.00
Total		27.51%



Legislation Text

File #: 206153, Version: 1

Park Land Fund Update and Fiscal Year 2026-27 Capital Improvement Program Commitments

Senior Management Analyst Lindsay Wong will provide a presentation regarding updates on current projects utilizing Park Land Funds and the recommended commitments from the Park Land Fund to future Capital Improvement Program projects for the Fiscal Year 2026-27.



Legislation Text

File #: 206154, **Version:** 1

Recent Park Design Evaluation

Assistant Community Services Director Brenda Sylvia will lead a discussion with PRC members on the development of an evaluation process for new parks.