FITNESS COURT PROPOSED FOR CUESTA PARK

KEY POINTS FOR CONSIDERATION

NOTIFICATION

 HAS THE NOTIFICATION PROCESS BEEN CONSISTENT WITH THE HIGH STANDARDS TO WHICH MOUNTAIN VIEW HOLDS ITSELF?

FALSE PREMISE

- HAS THE FITNESS COURT IN RENGSTORFF PARK REALLY "BEEN EXTREMELY POPULAR"?
- HAS THE SITE IN CUESTA PARK TRULY BEEN "IDENTIFIED AS HAVING AN APPROPRIATE LOCATION THAT WOULD NOT NEGATIVELY IMPACT OTHER FEATURES OF THE PARK"?

ATTRACTIVE NUISANCE

BUDGET RESPONSIBILITY

• EVEN WITH A SUBSIDY FROM ECH, IS THIS A GOOD USE OF \$\$\$ FROM THE CITY BUDGET, AND GIVE TRUE VALUE TO THE CITIZENS OF MOUNTAIN VIEW?

NOTIFICATION

- INADEQUATE OR INEFFECTIVE NOTIFICATION OF RESIDENTS AND OTHER PARK USERS IN MOUNTAIN VIEW
- NO EASILY ACCESSIBLE INFORMATION ONLINE PER CITY WEBSITE OR FACEBOOK PAGE
 - DO WE REALLY HAVE TO RESEARCH ARCHIVED COUNCIL REPORTS TO FIND OUT WHAT IS HAPPENING?
- NO CONSULTATION WITH VALUED STAKEHOLDERS SUCH AS YMCA
- POSTCARD COMMUNICATION PROVIDES NO INFORMATION



FALSE PREMISE #1





FALSE PREMISE #2


July 23





August 11

ATTRACTIVE NUISANCE



Rengstorff Park, August 6th 2021



SUMMARY

- WHY WOULD COUNCIL AND COMMISSION CHAMPION AND APPROVE SUCH A PROJECT?
 - THERE HAS BEEN NO DEMAND BY PUBLIC
 - AFTER THE NOVELTY WEARS OFF, ONLY A SMALL NUMBER OF PEOPLE ARE LIKELY TO BENEFIT
 - IT DOES NOT ENHANCE THE APPEARANCE OR USE OF THE EXISTING PARK MORE COVETED GREEN SPACE WILL BE LOST
 - CONTRIBUTES TO THE BUDGET DEFICIT AND CREATES A POTENTIAL INSURANCE LIABILITY
- IS THIS A WISE USE OF LIMITED FUNDS OR AN ATTRACTIVE NUISANCE?