ATTACHMENT 3

Safety Corridor and High Pedestrian and Bicyclist Generator Definitions

The charts below are directly from the California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CAMUTCD), Revision 7 released March 2023. The tables summarize the considerations of safety corridors and potential generators of high concentrations of bicyclists or pedestrians, especially those from vulnerable groups such as children, seniors, persons with disabilities, and the unhoused. These tables mostly summarize the considerations of roadway characteristics, however CAMUTCD and CVC include additional quantitative requirements.

Table 2B-105(CA). Safety Corridor Definition Requirements

| Category | Factors |
|---|--|
| Crash Weighting Factors to Develop One Serious/Fatal Injury Safety Corridor | Crash weighting can be developed using fatal and serious injury crash data and other factors to prioritize safety corridors. Suggested weighting factors are as follows: Crash severity: Fatal Crashes, Serious Injury Crashes Mode: Pedestrian-bicycle related crashes, vehicle/other Disadvantaged Community Status: MPO/RTPA or locally defined disadvantaged community status based on most current version of CalEnviroScreen Vulnerable Populations: Seniors (age 65 and older) and Youth (under age 15) based on the American Community Survey School proximity (within 0.25 miles) based on the California School Campus Database |
| Crash Density | Each roadway segment block can be converted into ~ 0.25 mile overlapping "corridor" segments to create a consistent unit of measurement and asses the concentration of linear patterns of injuries within a define distance. The highest scoring (i.e. most fatal and serious injury crashes per mile) "corridor" segments within a street needs to be identified and an appropriate threshold set to determine safety corridor eligibility. |
| Maintenance | The jurisdiction can establish a review and re-evaluation frequency for safety corridors. However, such frequency need not exceed seven years. |

Table 2B-106(CA). Requirements to determine Land or Facility that Generates High Concentrations of Bicyclists or Pedestrians

| Category | Generator |
|---|---|
| Land Use | Employment centers |
| | Presence of retail |
| | Parks, multi-use trails, and recreational destinations |
| | Schools/universities |
| | Senior Centers |
| | Cultural areas, entertainment space areas, or areas of community significance |
| | Religious facilities |
| | Health/medical facilities |
| Transit Factors | Transit stops |
| | Transit Oriented Developments/Transit Priority Areas |
| Presence of Pedestrian/Bicyclist Infrastructure | Sidewalk presence |
| | Crosswalk presence |
| | Bikeway presence |
| | Nearby signalized intersections on four-way intersections |
| | Presence of micromobility devices such as bicycles or scooters |
| Demographic Factors | Presence of vulnerable groups including children, seniors, persons with |
| | disabilities, users of personal assistive mobility devices, and the unhoused |
| | MPO/RTPA or locally defined disadvantaged community status |
| | Presence of students (all levels) |
| Local Data | Need identified in a safety analysis such as a road safety audit or formalized planning document such as a local road safety plan |