

**CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW**

Report to the City Council

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017



Certified  
Public  
Accountants

**CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW**  
**Report to the City Council**  
**For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

*Table of Contents*

	<i>Page(s)</i>
Transmittal Letter.....	i
Required Communications.....	1



Honorable Mayor and Members  
of the City Council  
City of Mountain View, California

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Mountain View, California (City) as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. Given those limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our letter to you dated February 27, 2017. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you other information related to our audit as discussed in the accompanying Required Communications section.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of the Mayor, City Council, management and others within the organization, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Walnut Creek, California  
November 3, 2017

**CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW**  
**Report to the City Council**  
**For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

**REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Professional standards require that we communicate to you the following information:

**Significant Audit Results**

***Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices***

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the City are described in Note 1 to the City's basic financial statements. As described in Note 1(J) to the City's basic financial statements, the City implemented four new Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements, consisting of:

- Statement No. 74, *Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*;
- Statement No. 77, *Tax Abatement Disclosures*;
- Statement No. 78, *Pensions Provided through Certain Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plans*; and  
Statement No. 80, *Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14*.

Implementation of these statements did not have a significant impact on the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

We noted no transactions entered into by the City during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected.

The most sensitive estimates affecting the financial statements were:

- *Fair value of investments.* The City's investments are generally carried at fair value, which is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. All of the City's investments are measured using level 2 inputs, except for investments in California Local Agency Investment Fund and money market mutual funds which are not subject to fair value hierarchy.
- *Estimated allowance for losses on notes and loans receivable.* The allowance for losses on notes and loans receivable was based on management's estimate regarding the likelihood of collectability.
- *Useful life estimates for capital assets.* The estimated useful lives of capital assets were based on management's estimate of the economic lives of capital assets.

**CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW**  
**Report to the City Council**  
**For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

**REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS (Continued)**

- *Actuarial data of the pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) plans.* The actuarial data for the pension and other postemployment benefits plans are based on actuarial calculations performed by the California Public Employees' Retirement System and the City's independent actuaries, respectively, which incorporate actuarial methods and assumptions.
- *Valuation of the net OPEB asset.* The net OPEB asset is the cumulative amount of City contributions that exceeded the actuarially determined annual required contributions, which incorporate actuarial methods and assumptions.
- *Accrued landfill containment costs.* The City has estimated the landfill containment and postclosure costs of the landfill sites based on what it would cost to perform all currently mandated containment and postclosure care. Actual costs may be different due to inflation, changes in State or Federal regulations; or changes in technology.
- *Claims liabilities.* The City is exposed to a variety of risks of loss due to general liability, workers' compensation and other claims, and records an estimate of these losses based on actuarial studies performed by third-party actuaries. These studies are prepared based on the City's prior claims history, which is used as a basis for extrapolating losses for known and incurred but not reported claims. Actual loss experience may vary from these estimates.

We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the accounting estimates described above in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the City's basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Certain financial statements disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosures affecting the financial statements were the disclosures of the Pension Plans in Note 8, the Other Post-Employment Benefits in Note 9, and the Commitments and Contingencies in Note 12.

The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

***Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit***

We encountered no difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

***Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements***

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are clearly trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. No misstatements were detected as a result of audit procedures.

***Disagreements with Management***

For purposes of this letter, a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

***Management Representations***

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated November 3, 2017.

**CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW**  
**Report to the City Council**  
**For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

**REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS (Continued)**

***Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants***

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a “second opinion” on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the City’s financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor’s opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

***Other Audit Findings or Issues***

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the City’s auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

**Other Matters**

We applied certain limited procedures to the management’s discussion and analysis, the schedules of changes in net pension liability and related ratios, the schedule of employer pension contributions, and the schedule of funding progress - other post-employment benefits, which are required supplementary information (RSI) that supplements the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were engaged to report on the combining and individual fund financial statements and schedules, which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. With respect to this other supplementary information, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled this other supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

We were not engaged to report on the introductory and statistical sections, which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. We did not audit or perform other procedures on this other information and we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.