

**McGill, Pam**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 26, 2024 4:30 PM  
**To:** City Council  
**Cc:** BPAC Communication  
**Subject:** 2/27/24 meeting agenda item 4.4 (Shoreline/101N/85N realignment)

**CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.**

To: Mountain View City Council  
From: Joel Dean, [REDACTED]  
Subject: 2/27/24 meeting agenda item 4.4 (Shoreline/101/85 interchange realignment)

The discovery of toxic soil contamination in the project area calls to mind that this issue came up in the permitting process for 1255 Pear. How was that resolved? The staff report does not say exactly where the two hot spots requiring investigation now are located. Is it possible that they may extend across the street to 1255 Pear? If they do, are workers on that site being exposed to PCBs? Will future occupants of the offices and housing be exposed?

The current design of the interchange realignment includes an exclusive bus lane on the far left of the 101/85 off-ramp, which would feed into a reversible bus lane on Shoreline. That was a dubious idea to begin with, and has gotten more dubious since bus ridership to North Bayshore has shrunk considerably, services are being cut back, and the reversible bus lane itself may -- and will, if common sense prevails -- be consigned to limbo. Shouldn't the design of the realignment take that into account?

Thank you for your attention.