From: Zeina Daoud

To: Ramirez, Lucas; City Council FORWARD

Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT on: Agenda Item 3.1 Study Session on the Capital Improvement Program

Date: Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:21:00 PM

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

Dear Mayor Ramirez and City Council Members,

I am Zeina Daoud, Mtn View resident since 1998. Both my kids, now college-aged, were born at El Camino Hospital.

This is the first time I write to the City Council to strongly urge you to please **add pickleball courts in Mtn View**.

At the upcoming meeting this Tuesday, when discussing the **Capital Improvement Program (CIP)**, please include **pickleball courts** in the planned projects.

I play pickleball 4 to 5 times a week, and often the wait is very long at the only two outdoor courts in Mountain View at Rengstorff Park. Our neighboring cities of Sunnyvale and Palo Alto have nice facilities, why can't we?

Pickleball has been, for a while and continues to be, the fastest growing sport, enjoyed by youngsters to seniors, of a range of abilities. It's a social fun game that brings communities together.

Thank you in advance for your earnest consideration.

Zeina Daoud	
	Mtn View

From: Kevin Ma

To: <u>City Council FORWARD</u>
Subject: Comments on 3.1 (CIP)

Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:02:10 AM

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

Dear City Council,

In the interests of greater transparency, I would request the council to eventually direct staff to create a site for updated statuses and information about items on the CIP. There are items in the active list that are over a decade old (e.g. what is 10-33's "AB 2466 Renewable Energy Facility Evaluation") and items that have no budget left that deserve some explanation for why they are still on the CIP.

On bike/pedestrian infrastructure, I would like to see some explicit flexibility to do some quick-build projects. While it is nice to see listed projects give timelines within 5 years, that is still 5+ years of unprotected and unsafe conditions, and timelines can overrun due to back-and-forth design revisions and understaffing.

I oppose the Lot 5 parking structure, as even with the developments on other parking lots the Downtown Parking Strategy documented there's still unused parking spots. Building a new structure should only be done after we have exhausted other options, as a new structure will be a relatively permanent and expensive choice for the near-future and one that likely increases the city's vehicle-miles-traveled metrics despite the need to reduce VMT for our emission targets.

Sincerely, Kevin Ma