

From: [REDACTED]
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: SERS MOU benefits Council & City
Date: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:12:54 AM

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

Sunset Ests park owner and residents haven't complained or brought problems to you. We're ONLY asking for the RIGHT TO VOTE ON OUR OWN SERS! IF 80% of our park's residents vote for it, it would relieve the City Council and staff from the burden of fixing what isn't broken.

The city enacted rent control because of poor management at mainly 2 mobile homes. Even with rent control those parks will probably continue to present problems for you. Please don't deny us the RIGHT TO VOTE FOR OUR OWN HOMES AND PARK MANAGEMENT! Allowing us to VOTE creates happy constituents, and our MOU would relieve you of time, energy and cost trying to manage something that doesn't need fixing. You can better focus on the issues that do need your attention. You can also point to the success of your brilliant wisdom which can serve as a model for other communities.

thanks for considering,

Pat Pekary

Sunset Ests. Senior Mobile Home Park [REDACTED]

From: [Serge Bonte](#)
To: [Hicks, Alison](#); [Ramirez, Lucas](#); [Matichak, Lisa](#); [Kamei, Ellen](#); [Abe-Koga, Margaret](#); [Lieber, Sally](#); [Showalter, Pat](#)
Cc: [City Clerk](#); [Chen, Wayne](#)
Subject: re: Agenda Item 7.1 Mobile Home Rent Stabilization Ordinance-Amendment Related to Accord or Memorandum of Understanding Exemption
Date: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:20:31 AM

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

Honorable Mayor and City Council Members:

I am writing in support of the staff recommendation to not move forward with the lone MOU for a single park AND to remove the MOU exemption from the MHRSO.

If my memory serves me correctly, the decision to consider MOU exemption was made last September (10 months ago). City staff should be commended for all the time spent since then on stakeholders meetings, complex legal reviews..... At the end of these 10 months, there is no common MOU draft for all parks, the lone MOU for a single park doesn't meet the criteria set by the City Council to be at least as favorable to residents as the ordinance, it seems doubtful that MOU could muster 80% of the votes and unless an agreement can be found on fees it might saddle the City with ongoing recurring costs to monitor the MOU.

As further explained by Staff in the report, keeping the MOU exemption (especially if allowing single park MOU) in the ordinance would expose the City to never ending 10+ month cycles to convene stakeholders, draft complex legal documents...with possibly the same outcome as in this last cycle. All. at considerable costs to the City (both financial and staff time) that all taxpayers would have to bear.

Sincerely

Serge Bonte