

From: [Ray Froess](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: Ref: Firearm Safety Legislation dated Oct 11, 2022
Date: Monday, October 10, 2022 3:25:05 PM
Attachments: [10-11-22 Study Session Memo.pdf](#)

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

I've read your Study Session Memo. It's a series of ordinances to further restrict the right to carry a firearm and to make gun purchases more difficult.

IV 1. Option No. 1: Modify Existing Ordinance or Adopt New Ordinance to Expand the Locations Within City Where Firearms are Prohibited - If you continue adding areas where a gun can't be carried, you effectively defeat the recent Supreme Court 2nd Amendment ruling (Bruen). Laws, like you propose, are already being contested. <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/gun-rights-supreme-court-second-amendment-sensitive-places-concealed-carry/> Your proposed laws will reduce safety; not increase it. Gun-free zones are factually the place of choice for crime and mass shootings because they guarantee that no one can defend themselves.

IV 1. Option No. 2: Enact a New Ordinance to Create a Licensing Framework for Firearm Dealers – More hurdles to running a legitimate business. In section ii, Locational Restrictions on Firearm Dealers, it's unreasonable to force an existing gun business to close if a new daycare, school, or park is established within the prohibited distance. What evidence do you have to support that the gun business is a danger? Logically you should prohibit cars that account for much more injury?

VI. RECENT STATE LAWS - Just look at the expanding list of restrictions targeting the 2nd amendment. Meanwhile, we have cut funding to law enforcement, ignored local theft from stores less than \$900, told police to ignore smaller crimes, have sanctuary cities, have judges that refuse to prosecute criminals, and release convicts early.

Ray Froess

Land [REDACTED]