From: Karin Stein
To: City Council

Subject: concerns about parking changes **Date:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 7:54:19 PM

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

Hello--

I am very concerned about proposed changes to the parking ordinance.

I live in the Cuesta Park neighborhood. I have a one car driveway, a basketball playing child and two cars. My husband works from home several days a week and I take the train to work daily.

We do drive both cars multiple times a week, but it is not uncommon for my car to sit for a few days at a time.

I could park the car in the driveway, but then my child has to play basketball in the street.

This measure is going to be punitive for families in older houses.

I dont understand what it is going to solve, except making people like me drive my car MORE often!

-Karin

From: <u>Mia Whitfield</u>
To: <u>City Council</u>

Subject: Concern about 24-hour no return / 1000 ft relocate on Tuesday"s Council agenda

Date: Friday, February 10, 2023 10:55:15 AM

CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL - Ensure you trust this email before clicking on any links or attachments.

Dear City Council,

I'm a downtown resident who bikes and walks as much as possible; our family doesn't drive frequently. We have a single car width driveway and park one of our two cars there. We usually park the second car in front of our house. When we use that car, it's usually for errands that are less than 24hr duration, and we really value being able to park close (for grocery unloading, etc).

I'm concerned about the amendment you are considering to the parking ordinance for several reasons:

- our errands would no longer count as moving the car
- The 1000 ft requirement means we would have to park much further away, which is not only inconvenient for us, but takes another neighbor's spot a few blocks away
- it would force us to drive for the sole purpose of rotating our cars to avoid parking tickets, which is bad for the environment as well as a hassle

Separate from this, I would support parking restrictions targeted at making street sweeping more effective. Today, we listen for the street sweeper and move our car right before they arrive. (When we've moved our car earlier, train commuters often park in the space, blocking the sweeper.) I would support no parking during street sweeping hours, possibly on alternate sides of the street, to ensure the street sweeper could work effectively.

Thank you for listening. Mia Whitfield

From: Lenny Siegel <

Date: Thu, Feb 9, 2023 at 9:45 PM

Subject: [OMVNAtalk] 72-hour parking rule on Tuesday's Council agenda

To: OMVNA Talk <

Next Tuesday, February 14, 2023, the Mountain View City Council will consider revisions to the 72-hour parking ordinance. In my view, the most important element of the proposal is the City Attorney's recommendation to add "a 24-hour no-return requirement that would prohibit any vehicle that has been parked in the same parking spot for a consecutive 72-hours from returning to that parking spot for 24 hours."

Many people believe that this rule applies only to vehicle residences, such as RVs, because they bear the preponderance of enforcement. However, it would apply to "any device by

which any person or property may be propelled, moved, or drawn upon a street or highway, except a device moved exclusively by human power." That is, it would make it even more difficult for residents to park their cars in front of their homes.

I will specify my concerns in a subsequent e-mail.

Lenny Siegel

This is item 6.2 on the Council Agenda. See <a href="https://mountainview.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=E320F93E-C934-4DBC-944C-164A12186141&Options=&Search="https://mountainview.legislationDetail.aspx?ID=6022525&GUID=602

The full agenda may be found at https://mountainview.legistar.com/MeetingDetail.aspx?
<a href="https://mountail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx.com/meetingDetail.aspx

While this meeting will be held again at City Hall, one can participate virtually by following the instructions in the agenda.

==_=_=_=_=
Groups.io Links: You receive all messages sent to this group.
View/Reply Online (#1349):
Mute This Topic:
Group Owner:
Unsubscribe: