

**From:** Lada Adamic <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 1, 2025 2:41 AM

**To:** , Public Works <[public.works@mountainview.gov](mailto:public.works@mountainview.gov)>

**Subject:** public comment for 4/1/2025 CTC meeting item 6.1 ATP scoring and network

Dear CTC,

When the BPAC discussed this same item, I asked to get a sense of how the scoring criteria would work and asked staff during clarifying questions how filling a sidewalk gap on a cul de sac vs. e.g. the Dana or Middlefield overpasses over 85 would score.

In this recording of the meeting both Ria Lo and Allison Boyer gave reasons for why the cul de sac would score more highly than the highway overpasses. Whether that is actually true or not is beside the point. What it illustrates is that both the CTC and BPAC are being asked to approve scoring criteria for which even staff don't know whether a cul de sac will be prioritized over a route to an elementary school that also is a critical across-barrier connection between neighborhoods.

I think both committees should have seen sample scores to be able to evaluate that the point allocations produce reasonable results rather than having to commit to them without knowing so. The other committee members did not seem to share this view, but I wanted to raise the issue nonetheless.

This is the recording of the BPAC meeting <https://youtu.be/N1B-gDBNPv0?feature=shared> and the question I posed starts around 46:10 into the video (apologies for my undisciplined reaction in the video).

Thanks for reading.

Lada Adamic

Resident, Mountain View