Cr	iteria	Max Points
1.]	Development Program and Design	50
a.	Responsiveness, and completeness of the response to the RFP Components A through D.	10
b.	Quality of proposed development conceptsand how well it addresses the Lot 12 development specifications presented in Section 1.B. of the RFP.	
	Overall quality, including the affordable housing program and (if any) nonresidential program.	20
	Quality and feasibility of the parking plan and design, including how all 160 public parking spaces will be replaced.	10
	Quality of design, including massing, transitions, and facilitation of excellent pedestrian realm.	10
	Subtotal	50
2.]	Financial	50
a.	Thoroughness of documents related to project financing and reasonableness of financial assumptions and financing strategy.	20
b.	Clarity of project financing documents in terms of: (1) readability and (2) clear delineation and appropriate assignment of project costs by uses (i.e., affordable, nonresidential, and replacement parking), such as delineating the costs of replacement parking from the affordable housing component.	10
c.	Subsidy Requests (See factors below)	20
	Demonstration in RFP response of how the proposed development will qualify and score highly for non-City funding that is competitively awarded for each external funding source identified, including documentation of reasonable due diligence conducted to determine likelihood of securing external funding for each funding source listed.	
	Clear request for City subsidy in total and by project uses (i.e., clearly identify the amount of subsidy request for affordable housing, nonresidential, replacement parking, respectively, if any). For the subsidy requests by uses, also include the average per-unit subsidy request per affordable housing unit, per square foot of nonresidential (if any), and per replacement parking space (if any).	
	Amount of external funding leveraged. Although a lower per-unit City subsidy and/or a higher amount of external leveraging would generally be more favorably considered, there are other factors that will be considered regarding evaluation of the subsidy amount. For example, deeper income targeting could result in a higher per-unit subsidy request but would not necessarily result in a lower score.	
	Subtotal	50
	Total	100

	Recommended for Consideration				
Rank	Top Ranked	Highly Ranked (tied)	Highly Ranked (tied)	Highly Ranked (tied)	
Development Team	EAH Housing (one scenario presented)	Eden Housing (two scenarios submitted)	MidPen Housing (one scenario presented)	Related/PAHC (three scenarios presented)	
	Residential				
Residential Units	120 (all affordable)	120 (all affordable)	120 (all affordable)	120 (all affordable)	
Weighted Average AMI	44.8%	47.0% - 58.3%	58.0%	45.0% to 59.0%	
	Nonresidential				
Nonresidential SF	6,984	9,133	9,133 6,900		
Туре	Neighborhood and other community-serving uses 6,787 SF Childcare & 2,346 SF DCARA Café run by non-profit for hearing-impaired and teaching kitchen SCCOE childcare for 60 children, alternative location for Farmer's Market on ground floor of parking at Bryant/California		Community serving retail, Ada's Café, school, services		
	Building Height				
Building Height	2 to 5 Stories	Stories 4 to 5 Stories 4 to 6 Stories		3 to 5 Stories	
	Parking				
Replacement Parking	placement Parking 160		160	160	
Residential Parking	73	84	90	85	
Non-Residential Parking 0		5	7	0	
Total Parking	233	249	257	245	

Parking Facility	Above and Below- Grade	Above and Below- Grade	Stand-Alone Above- Grade only	Above and Below- Grade		
	Development Costs					
Total Development Cost	\$101.2 million	\$73.4 million to \$78.6 million	\$88.1 million	\$108.9 million		
Total Residential Costs	\$89.3 million	\$62.1 million to \$66.7 million	\$76.1 million	\$97.1 million		
Residential Cost/Unit	\$744,287	\$517,746 - \$555,886	\$634,411	\$809,181		
Total Nonresidential Costs	\$2.4 million	\$2.8 million	\$4.0 million	1.7 million		
Total Public Parking Costs	\$9.5 mllion	\$9.0 million \$8.0 million		\$10.1 million		
	Subsidy Requests					
City Residential Subsidy	\$7.0 million	\$2.5 million to \$23.1 million	\$7.1 million	\$2.6 million to \$10.9 million		
Residential Subsidy/Unit	\$58,333	\$20,833 - \$192,099	\$58,875	\$21,610 - \$90,297		
City Non-Residential Subsidy	\$0	\$2.8 million	\$0	\$1.7 million		
City Parking Subsidy	\$0	\$0 to 6.2 million	\$8.0 million	\$0		
Total City Subsidy	\$7,000,000	\$2,500,000 - \$32,115,649	\$15.1 million	\$4.3 million to \$12.5 million		
Ratio of Outside Funding to City Funding	13.5	1.45 - 28.3	4.9	7.7 - 24.6		

Recommended for Consideration						
1	2 (Tied)	2 (Tied)	2 (Tied)	2 (Tied)	2 (Tied)	2 (Tied)
EAH Housing	Eden Housing (Base Scenario)	Eden Housing (4% & 9% Hybrid - Preferred Scenario)	MidPen Housing	Related/PAHC (Base Scenario - Preferred)	Related/PAHC (Alt Scenario 1)	Related/PAHC (Alt Scenario 3)
			Residential			
120	120	120	120	120	120	120
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
30% to 80%	30% to 80%	30% to 80%	30% to 80%	30% to 80%	30% to 80%	30% to 100%
44.8%	58.3%	47.0%	58.0%	49.1%	45.0%	59.0%
			Nonresidential			
6,984	9,133	Same as Base Case	6,900	4,250 (retail only)	Same as Base Case	Same as Base Case
Neighborhood and other community-serving uses, including cul	6,787 SF Childcare & 2,346 SF DCARA Café run by non-profit for hearing-impaired and teaching kitchen	Same as Base Case	SCCOE childcare for 60 children, alternative location for Farmer's Market on ground floor of parking at Bryant/California	Community serving retail, Ada's Café, school, services	Same as Base Case	Same as Base Case
Building Height						
2 contiguous buildings stepping from 2 to 5 stories in height	4 to 5 Stories	Same as Base Case	4 to 6 Stories	3 to 5 Stories	Same as Base Case	Same as Base Case
Parking						
160	160	160	160	160	160	160
73	84	84	90	85	85	85
0	5	5	7	0	0	0
233	249	249	257	245	245	245
160	160	160	0	150	150	150
73	89	89	257	95	95	95
Development Costs						
\$101,233,335	\$78,561,015	\$73,360,495	\$88,101,828	\$108,859,306	\$108,963,871	\$108,829,906
\$843,611	\$654,675	\$611,337	\$734,182	\$907,161	\$908,032	\$906,916
	120 100% 30% to 80% 44.8% 6,984 Neighborhood and other community-serving uses, including cul 2 contiguous buildings stepping from 2 to 5 stories in height 160 73 0 233 160 73	EAH Housing Eden Housing (Base Scenario)	1	1	Time	Time

Total Residential Costs	\$89,314,417	\$66,706,321	\$62,129,508	\$76,129,261	\$97,101,667	\$97,206,232	\$97,072,267
Residential Cost/Unit	\$744,287	\$555,886	\$517,746	\$634,411	\$809,181	\$810,052	\$808,936
Total Nonresidential Costs	\$2,375,074	\$2,834,993	\$2,440,384	\$3,990,856	\$1,664,387	\$1,664,387	\$1,664,387
Cost/GSF	\$340	\$310	\$267	\$578	\$392	\$392	\$392
Total Public Parking Costs	\$9,543,844	\$9,019,701	\$0	\$7,981,712	\$10,093,252	\$10,093,252	\$10,093,252
Cost/Space	\$59,649	\$56,373	\$0	\$31,057	\$41,197	\$41,197	\$41,197
	Subsidy Requests						
City Residential Subsidy	\$7,000,000	\$23,051,955	\$2,500,000	\$7,064,986	\$2,593,252	\$2,593,252	\$10,835,613
Residential Subsidy/Unit	\$58,333	\$192,100	\$20,833	\$58,875	\$21,610	\$21,610	\$90,297
City Non-Residential Subsidy	\$0	\$2,834,993	\$0	\$0	\$1,664,387	\$1,664,387	\$1,664,387
City Parking Subsidy	\$0	\$6,228,701	\$0	\$7,981,712	\$0	\$0	\$0
Parking Subsidy/Space	\$0	\$38,929	\$0	\$49,886	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total City Subsidy	\$7,000,000	\$32,115,649	\$2,500,000	\$15,046,698	\$4,257,639	\$4,257,639	\$12,500,000
Ratio of Outside Funding to City Funding	13.5	1.4	28.3	4.9	24.6	24.6	7.7
Predevelopment Subsidy	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outside Funding Sources Before Fee Deferral/Contrib.	\$85,489,934	\$40,324,452	\$68,777,423	\$65,700,251	\$87,208,415	\$87,312,980	\$78,896,654
	Upfrong Lease Payment						
Upfront Lease Payment (Parking/Housing)	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$10,093,252	\$10,093,252	\$10,093,252