		Precise Plan: Transportation				Precise Plan compliance: Objectives & Principles					Other	
Category:	Bonus FAR Eligibility	Key Location	Priority Transportation Improvements	Shoreline Gateway	TDM Plan	Character Area Consistency	Sustainability	Transportation, Connectivity & Transit	Habitat & Ecosystems	Community & Public Spaces	Potential Residential Location	Community Benefits
Key Question:	Does the proposal meet Bonus FAR requirements?	Is the project site critical to implementing key transportation improvements?	If priority transportation improvements are proposed, how significant are they?	How likely will the proposal reduce or avoid impacts to the Shoreline Blvd gateway?		Does the proposal meet the purpose and intent of the character area?	Does the proposal meet the Plan's sustainability vision, principles, and green building and site design standards and guidelines?	Does the proposal meet the Plan's mobility vision, principles, mobility standards and guidelines?	Does the proposal meet the Plan's habitat vision, principles, and habitat and biological resources standards and guidelines?	Does the proposal meet the Plan's community and public space vision and principles?	Is the area identified by the City Council as a potential residential study location?	What is the per square footage amount of community benefits?
GATEWAY												
1. LinkedIn/Sywest	Yes	Yes	Very Significant (1)	Likely, with conditions	Likely	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations	No	\$20.97 *
CORE												
2. 1625 Plymouth	Yes	No	Very Significant (2)	Questionable	Not likely	Meets expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Meets expectations	No	\$55.48 *
3. Google - Shoreline	Yes	Yes	Very Significant (3)	Likely	Likely	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Yes	\$39.39
4. Shashi Hotel	No (4a)	Yes	None required	Not likely (4b)	Not likely	Meets expectations	Meets expectations	Partially complies	Meets expectations	Meets expectations	Yes	\$0.00
GENERAL												
5. Google - Landings	Yes	Yes	Very Significant (5)	Likely	Likely	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	No	\$80.49
6. Google - Huff	Yes	No	Significant (6)	Likely	Likely	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	No	\$72.19
7. Google - Ch South	Yes	Yes	Not priority (7)	Likely	Likely	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Yes	\$36.30
8. Peery-Arrillaga	No (8a)	Yes (8b)	(no further analysis due to lack of submitted information)								No	\$0.00
9. Sobrato	Yes	Yes	Very Significant (9)	Not likely (4b)	Likely, with conditions	Partially complies	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations	Yes	\$78.34 **
EDGE												
10. Rees	Yes	No	None required	Likely	Likely	Exceeds expectations	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	No	\$116.82
			Color codes:	Green = Best alignn	nent with Precise Pla	ın objectives						
	Yellow = Alignment with Precise Plan objectives											
				White = Does not or	partiallly aligns wi	th Precise Plan object	ctives, or does not ap	pply				

## NOTES

- (1) Shoreline improvements; bike/ped bridge landing area
- (2) Proposing cash for use as City desires to fund priority improvements
- (3) Shoreline improvements, E-W greenway connection
- (4a) No community benefits identified (4
  - (4b) Additional information needed

- (5) Frontage Road; VTA collaboration on Inigo off-ramp
- (6) San Antonio Road bike/ped improvements
- (7) Community shuttle expansion proposed; not identified as a priority improvement
- (8a) Application missing required information(9) Includes new north-south connecting street
- (8b) Sites located along Transit Boulevard

<sup>\*</sup> Revised community benefit info was submitted but not analyzed by staff

<sup>\*\*</sup> Revised site plan was submitted which altered original number