Single Audit Reports

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016



For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

# Table of Contents

## Page

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial	
Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	. 1
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance For Each Major Program; on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on the Schedule of	
Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance	. 3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	. 5
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	. 6
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	, 7
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs	. 8



Century City Los Angeles Newport Beach Oakland Sacramento San Diego San Francisco Walnut Creek Woodland Hills

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Mountain View, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Mountain View, California (City), as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2016.

### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Macias Gini É O'Connell LAP

Walnut Creek, California November 21, 2016

## Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance For Each Major Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Mountain View, California

### **Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited the City of Mountain View's, California (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City's major federal program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016. The City's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the City's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

### **Opinion on the Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of

requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that were not identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Macias Gini É O'Connell LP Walnut Creek, California

November 21, 2016

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program	Federal Catalog Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
<ul> <li>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</li> <li>Direct Programs:</li> <li>Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants</li> <li>Home Investment Partnerships Program</li> <li>Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</li> </ul>	14.218 14.239	Not Applicable Not Applicable	\$ 770,615 641,742 1,412,357	\$ 292,989 621,393 914,382
<ul> <li>U.S. Department of Justice</li> <li>Pass-Through County of Santa Clara:</li> <li>Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program</li> <li>Total U.S. Department of Justice</li> </ul>	16.738	2015-DJ-BX-0851	11,457 11,457	
<ul> <li>U.S. Department of Transportation</li> <li>Pass-Through State of California Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction</li> <li>Total U.S. Department of Transportation</li> </ul>	20.205	CML-5124(030)	151,142 151,142	
<b>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</b>			\$ 1,574,956	\$ 914,382

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

## (1) **REPORTING ENTITY**

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes expenditures of federal awards received directly from federal agencies and federal awards passed-through other governmental agencies for the City of Mountain View, California (City), and its component unit as disclosed in the notes to the basic financial statements.

## (2) **BASIS OF ACCOUNTING**

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. All governmental funds and agency funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. All proprietary funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures of federal awards reported in the Schedule are recognized when incurred and all eligibility requirements have been met. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in 2 CFR 200, Subpart E (Cost Principles), wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. The City did not elect to use the 10% de minimis cost rate as covered in 2 CFR 200.414 (F&A) costs.

## (3) DIRECT AND INDIRECT (PASS-THROUGH) FEDERAL AWARDS

Federal awards may be granted directly to the City by a federal granting agency or may be granted to other governmental agencies which pass-through federal awards to the City. The schedule includes both of these type of Federal award programs when they occur.

## (4) RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

Expenditures of federal awards are reported in the City's basic financial statements as expenditures in the governmental funds or as expenses/capital assets in the proprietary funds. Federal award expenditures agree or can be reconciled with the amounts reported in the City's basic financial statements.

# Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

## Section I Summary of Auditor's Results

### Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued on the basic financial statements of the City:	Unmodified			
Internal control over financial reporting:				
<ul> <li>Material weakness(es) identified?</li> <li>Significant deficiency(ies) identified?</li> </ul>	No None reported			
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	No			
Federal Awards				
Internal control over major programs:				
<ul> <li>Material weakness(es) identified?</li> <li>Significant deficiency(ies) identified?</li> </ul>	No No			
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified			
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133?	No			
Identification of major programs:				
Federal Domestic       Name of Federal Program or Cluster         14 218       Community Development Block Create/Entitlement Creater				
14.218Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants				
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$750,000			

Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee?

## Section II Financial Statement Finding

None reported.

## Section III Federal Award Finding and Questioned Costs

No federal award findings were reported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

Yes

Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

# **Financial Statement and Federal Award Findings**

No financial statement nor federal award findings were reported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.