

TITLE:	Draft Fiscal Year 2021-22 Annual Action Plan and Funding Recommendations		
DEPT.:	Community Development		
CATEGORY:	Public Hearing		
DATE:	April 27, 2021		

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. Allocate an estimated \$340,000 total of Community Development Block Grant funds for Fiscal Year 2021-22 and Fiscal Year 2022-23 for public service applicants recommended for funding as shown in Table 1. If the actual Community Development Block Grant allocations to the City are different from the estimated \$340,000, then the increase or decrease will be proportionally allocated to the public service applicants based on their awarded funding levels up to the amount requested.
- 2. Allocate an estimated \$171,000 total from the General Fund (\$163,500 from the City's General Operating Fund and \$7,500 from the City's General Housing Fund) for Fiscal Year 2021-22 for public service applicants recommended for funding as shown in Table 3.
- 3. Include other Community Development Block Grant funds in the amount of \$101,200 and \$116,632 from the City's American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 Federal stimulus funds to provide two-year funding to LifeMoves (Graduate House Case Management), the Day Worker Center (education, skills, and job placement program), YWCA, Community School of Music and Arts, and Junior Achievement of Northern California (financial literacy and workforce readiness program).
- 4. Allocate approximately \$3.7 million of available Community Development Block Grant and Home Investment Partnership Program funds for the conversion/rehabilitation of the Crestview Hotel for affordable housing.
- 5. Provide input on the Draft Fiscal Year 2021-22 Annual Action Plan (Attachment 1 to the Council report).

BACKGROUND

Each year, the City receives Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) funds from the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the purpose of funding housing, economic development, community development, and public service activities. These activities are intended to meet the City's priority needs and primarily benefit persons with low and moderate incomes (80 percent or less than the area median income).

As recipients of these Federal funds, the City is required to develop five-year strategic plans called the Consolidated Plan as well as Annual Action Plans (AAP) that implement the five-year plans. The Consolidated Plan identifies the City's housing and community development needs and establishes strategies and priority goals for addressing the identified longer-term needs. The AAP identifies public services activities and capital/affordable housing projects that will be funded each year with CDBG and HOME to achieve the City's five-year goals. If a jurisdiction uses other funding sources to fund activities and projects to meet these goals, those are also included in the AAP.

The purpose of this public hearing is for the City Council to consider the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP, which includes funding recommendations for public service activities using CDBG and the City's General Fund as well as a capital project using CDBG and HOME funds to facilitate affordable housing. The Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP is the second program year of the 2020-25 Consolidated Plan, which includes the following six priority goals:

- 1. Increase affordable housing;
- 2. Respond to homelessness;
- 3. Support social services;
- 4. Strengthen neighborhoods;
- 5. Promote fair housing; and
- 6. Promote economic resiliency.

The funding recommendations in the draft Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP (see Attachment 1) and in this report are based on those made by the Human Relations Commission (HRC) at its March 4, 2021 meeting. The HRC funding recommendations summarized in this

report are consistent with the priority needs and goals as identified the City's 2020-25 Consolidated Plan.

Council recommendations at this April 27, 2021 meeting will be incorporated and used to finalize the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP, which will be scheduled for Council adoption on May 11, 2021. The adopted Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP will then be submitted to HUD by May 15, 2021 as Federally required.

Notice of Funding Availability Process

The City typically uses a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) process to allocate funding to nonprofit agencies for the delivery of public service activities and capital projects, including affordable housing projects. The City funds public service activities on a two-year cycle and capital projects on a one-year cycle. The following summarizes the NOFA cycle for the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP:

- **Public Services** The Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP is the first year of a two-year public service cycle. The NOFA application period opened on December 22, 2020 and closed on February 5, 2021 at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time. Interested applicants were required to attend a NOFA presubmittal webinar held on January 11, 2021. City staff was available to answer applicant questions throughout the entire application period.
- **Capital Projects**—Unlike in the past, this NOFA cycle did not include capital projects. For various reasons, it has been difficult to find capital projects to fund. Additionally, HUD provides jurisdictions the flexibility to directly use Federal funds to meet objectives identified in the City's Consolidated Plan, and the City is working on various affordable housing projects, including the potential conversion/rehabilitation of the Crestview Hotel. Finally, staff reached out to various nonprofit developers, and none indicated that they would be applying for capital project funding. Therefore, only public service activities were considered for this NOFA cycle.

Funding Sources and Amounts

As noted above, public service activities are funded by CDBG and the City's General Fund while capital projects are funded by CDBG and HOME. For its Fiscal Year 2021-22 allocation, the City will receive \$600,000 from CDBG and \$271,000 from HOME. Note that in Fiscal Year 2020-21 (the current program year), the City received unusually large amounts of program income (i.e., repayment of CDBG/HOME funding made to affordable housing developers of capital projects funded in previous years). Up to

15 percent of the Fiscal Year 2021-22 CDBG allocation and this year's program income can be used for public services. HOME can be used for affordable housing projects but cannot be used for public services.

Additionally, there were some unallocated CDBG/HOME funds from prior years. These prior year funds can only be used for capital projects and affordable housing.

As such, the Fiscal Year 2021-22 CDBG/HOME allocations, the large amounts of program income received this year, and the unallocated CDBG/HOME funds from previous years will be used for the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP public service and capital project funding recommendations. The following is a summary of the amounts of each funding source for the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP and the NOFA process, as applicable:

Public Services (CDBG and General Fund)

This NOFA cycle included \$340,000 in CDBG funding for public service activities to be used for the two-year public service cycle. The CDBG funding for this cycle is significantly more than in previous cycles due to the large amounts of program income received this year combined with the Fiscal Year 2021-22 CDBG allocation. The public service funding amounts are based on using the maximum allowable of 15 percent of next year's CDBG allocation (\$90,000 for public services out of the \$600,000 allocation) and 15 percent of the current year CDBG program income (\$250,000 for public services out of the approximately \$1.6 million received in CDBG program income) for public services. Note that the \$340,000 in CDBG is a two-year amount to be spent over the two-year public service cycle.

Additionally, the City has an annual budget of \$171,000 from the General Fund to fund public services, and this amount was included in the NOFA process along with the \$340,000 in CDBG public service funding. General Fund-supported agencies must provide public services that meet Consolidated Plan/Annual Action Plan goals or that provide educational, cultural, and arts programming or other programs not eligible for Federal funds. Note that because the City adopts its budget annually, the \$171,000 in the General Fund is only for the first year of the two-year public service cycle. Applicants who are awarded the General Fund for this first year (Fiscal Year 2021-22) will receive the same amount in the second year (Fiscal Year 2022-23) assuming they have performed well in the first year, have met HUD/City requirements, and the General Fund amount remains the same for Fiscal Year 2022-23.

Capital Projects (CDBG and HOME)

In addition to the \$340,000 for public services, \$2.1 million in CDBG is available for capital projects for the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP. A total of \$1.6 million in HOME funds is available for capital projects. This includes the Fiscal Year 2021-22 HOME allocation of \$271,000 (minus 10 percent allowed for administration costs) as well as the current-year HOME program income and prior year funds. Again, HOME funds cannot be used for public service activities but can be used for capital projects, including affordable housing projects.

In total, \$3.7 million in CDBG/HOME is available for capital projects. For reasons discussed earlier, this amount was not included in the NOFA process, and it is recommended that these funds be allocated for the conversion/rehabilitation of the Crestview Hotel for affordable housing.

ANALYSIS

This Analysis section focuses on the public service funding requests as part of this NOFA cycle. Capital projects were not included in this NOFA cycle for the reasons mentioned above and will be directly allocated to the Crestview Hotel.

Eighteen (18) nonprofit agencies applied to this NOFA cycle requesting funding for 22 public service activities. The NOFA was significantly oversubscribed, especially for CDBG funds. The City originally received a total funding request of approximately \$586,900¹ for the \$340,000 of CDBG public service funding available, representing 12 public service activities. Similarly, the City received a total funding request of approximately \$218,500 for the \$171,000 of General Fund available.

Application Components

The NOFA application required that: (1) the applicants clearly demonstrate that the applicants' proposed activity furthers Consolidated Plan goals; (2) the activity benefits low- and moderate-income persons and/or other target populations; (3) clear program outcomes and monitoring be incorporated; (4) the nonprofit has the experience and capabilities to effectively deliver the proposed public service; (5) clear project scope, timelines, and benefit to Mountain View residents be incorporated; and (6) a clear and justifiable budget request and two-year expenditure plan be included.

¹ This amount includes a \$40,000 request by Child Advocates of Silicon Valley for two-year CDBG funding. However, this request is being recommended for funding out of the General Fund instead of CDBG.

As previously stated, applicants were required to attend a presubmittal webinar which explained the NOFA process and application. Staff was also available to help answer questions throughout the application period. However, it was the responsibility of each applicant to ensure their application submittal was detailed, clear, responsive, and complete.

Evaluation Framework

Staff reviewed and scored each application based on the quality, completeness, and clarity of responses to the application questions. Because the NOFA was significantly oversubscribed, it was not possible to fund all of the activities and/or at their full requested amounts. Staff recommended that only the top-ranked applications be funded for all or nearly all of the entire amount requested.

CDBG Public Service Funding Recommendations

Table 1A below summarizes the eight public service activities that are recommended for funding. Column 3 includes the original amount requested by the applicant, Column 4 includes staff's funding recommendation to the HRC at its March 4, 2021 meeting, and Column 5 includes the HRC's recommendations to Council. The HRC largely agreed with staff's funding recommendations, with the modification to shift some of the recommended funding from Catholic Charities to provide additional funding to the Bill Wilson Center for its family advocacy services program and the Community Services Agency for its homelessness prevention services program.

1. Applicants	2. Proposed Public Service Activity	3.4.OriginalStaffFunding RequestRecommendation(Two-Year Total)(Two-Year Total)		5. HRC Recommendation (Two-Year Total)
Senior Adults Legal Assistance (SALA)	Legal assistance to elders.	\$30,500 \$30,000		\$30,000
Community Services Agency (CSA)	Senior services – Senior case management (SCM) helps prevent the rehospitalization or premature institutionalization of elderly residents.	\$49,942	\$49,942 \$48,000	
Next Door Solutions to Domestic Violence	Support services for survivors of domestic violence – Advocacy and self-sufficiency program.	\$15,000	\$14,000	\$14,000
Vista Center for the Blind and Visually Impaired	Vision loss rehabilitation program – skills necessary to remain safe and independent.	\$63,486	\$62,000	\$62,000
Bill Wilson Center	Family advocacy services (FAS) program – A school- based, homeless family and youth prevention and intervention program.	\$70,000	\$69,000	\$70,000
Catholic Charities of Santa Clara County	Long-term care ombudsman program – Mission is to seek resolution of problems and advocate for rights of residents of long-term care facilities.	\$20,000	\$19,000	\$15,600
Silicon Valley Independent Living Center	Housing programs for persons with disabilities.	\$23,828 \$22,000		\$22,000
Community Services Agency (CSA)	Homeless prevention services (HPS).	\$84,700	\$76,000	\$78,400
Total (Two-Year)		\$357,456	\$340,000	\$340,000

 Table 1A: CDBG Public Service Funding Recommendations

Table 1B: Other CDBG Activities that HRC Recommends Council				
Find a Way to Fund				

Applicant	Proposed Public Service Activity	Original Funding Request	Staff Recommendation	HRC Recommendation
Day Worker Center	Education, skills, and job placement.	\$60,000	Did not initially recommend funding.	Request for Council to find a way to fund.
LifeMoves	Homeless prevention services.	\$69,200	Did not initially recommend funding.	Request for Council to find a way to fund.
Total (Two-Y	'ear)	\$129,200	N/A	See Table 3

Other CDBG Activities that HRC Recommends Council Find a Way to Fund

Table 1B includes two CDBG activities that staff did not initially recommend for funding but that the HRC recommended that Council consider finding a way to fund.

Staff did not initially recommend these activities because the CDBG program was significantly oversubscribed and the applications lacked clarity/detail in several areas when compared to the other applications, such as the number of beneficiaries, organizational capacity, monitoring for program outcomes, detailed expenditure plan, and rationale for the requested amount. Despite these reasons, both of these programs would provide important services and would be run by valued community partners. Descriptions and staff's recommendations regarding this "gap funding" follow later in this report.

Activity Not Recommended for Funding by Staff or the HRC

Ravenswood Family Health Network (also known as South County Community Health Center) requested \$60,270 in CDBG funding for a COVID-19 vaccination program. This request is not recommended by staff for funding. The application for this proposed activity provided less clarity/information than recommended applicants regarding the number of intended beneficiaries. Additionally, the proposed timing for the activity is for a duration of six months, while this CDBG funding is for a two-year program. Ideally, most, if not all, persons will have received vaccinations before the end of the year. Additionally, the City is already collaborating with the County to ramp up COVID-19 vaccinations to 1,000 per day at the Mountain View Community Center, which may mitigate the need for this proposed activity.

General Fund Public Service Recommendations

Table 2 below summarizes the nine public service activities that are recommended for General Fund support. Column 3 includes the original amount requested by the applicant, Column 4 includes staff's funding recommendation to the HRC at its March 4, 2021 meeting, and Column 5 includes the HRC's recommendations to Council. The HRC generally agreed with staff's recommendations about which activities to fund, but there were three main differences:

- HRC accepted staff's funding recommendation for the smaller grants to United Way, Parents Helping Parents, and Child Advocates of Silicon Valley but recommended a 10 percent funding reduction for the other staff-recommended items in order to free up approximately \$14,300 of General Fund grant funding for applications that had not been recommended by staff.
- Staff did not recommend funding YWCA or CSMA because the General Fund was oversubscribed and the applications lacked clarity/detail in several areas when compared to the other applications, such as the number of beneficiaries, organizational capacity, monitoring for program outcomes, detailed expenditure plan, and rationale for the requested amount. Despite these reasons, YWCA and CSMA are valued community partners that have provided these public services with support from the City's NOFA process for some time. As such, the HRC recommended evenly splitting the \$14,300 for the two agencies (\$7,150 per agency), if the two agencies agreed to accept this amount. Both agencies have confirmed they would accept this amount.
- The HRC also recommended that Council consider finding a way to provide additional funding for YWCA and CSMA if possible.

Requests for General Fund support were one-year amounts because the City's budget is adopted annually, with the second year of General Fund support anticipated for the same amount pending compliance with HUD and City requirements and progress towards the stated public service activity goals during the first year of funding.

1. Applicants	2. Proposed Activity	3. Original Funding Request (1-Year Total)	4. Staff Recommendation (1-Year Total)	5. HRC Recommendation (1-Year Total)
United Way of the Bay Area	211 – Bay Area referral helpline connecting residents to food, shelter, health care, and other vital community services.	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
Parents Helping Parents	Support for families raising children with special needs.	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500
Child Advocates of Silicon Valley (CASA)*	Advocacy program for foster youth.	\$20,000	\$15,525	\$15,525
Community Services Agency (CSA)	Senior nutrition program services.	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$31,500
The Health Trust	Meals on Wheels	\$30,480	\$30,480	\$27,430
Ravenswood Family Health Network	Primary health care for uninsured Mountain View residents.	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$22,500
Healthier Kids Foundation	Vision-first screenings.	\$12,495	\$12,495	\$11,245
Day Worker Center	Healthy Meals Program.	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$18,000
Community Legal Services in East Palo Alto	Promoting economic advancement – Workers' rights outreach program.	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$18,000
Young Women's Christian Association of Silicon Valley (YWCA)	Domestic violence services.	\$38,000	Did not initially recommend funding	\$7,150 + Request for Council to consider additional funding
Community School of Music and Arts (CSMA)	Music and arts programming for low- income children in Mountain View.	\$25,000	Did not initially recommend funding	\$7,150 + Request for Council to consider additional funding
Total (One-Year)		\$238,475	\$171,000	\$171,000 + additional potential funding (See Table 3)

Table 2-Recommendations for Public Services Supported by the General Fund

* As noted in Footnote 1 above, Child Advocates' original request was for CDBG funding. However, the request is being recommended for funding out of the General Fund instead of CDBG.

Gap Funding for Four Activities Initially Not Recommended for Funding

As mentioned above, the HRC recommends that the City Council find ways to fund four activities that were submitted as part of this NOFA process, two of which originally requested CDBG funds (Day Worker Center and LifeMoves) and two of which originally requested General Fund support (YWCA and CSMA). This section of the report summarizes the gap funding needed for the four activities and recommends that the Council consider using some of the City's allocation of one-time Federal American Rescue Plan (ARP) funds fill the gap.

Amount of Gap Funding Needed

As summarized in Table 3 below, the gap funding needed to support the four activities initially not recommended for funding is \$208,832 over the two-year public service cycle. Table 3 shows the four activities, their original requested amounts (Column 3), and the gap funding needed (Column 4). Staff recommends funding these activities at amounts with modified reductions from the funding requested by the applicants. This is consistent with most of the activities in Tables 1 and 2 above that are recommended for funding below their full funding request. On average, the CDBG applicants are being recommended for 96 percent of their full funding request (i.e., 4 percent less than their original request), while the General Fund applicants are being recommended for 90 percent of their full funding request (i.e., 10 percent less than their original request).

Applicant	Proposed Public Service Activity	Original Funding Request	Gap Funding Needed	Notes
Day Worker Center	Education, skills, and job placement.	\$60,000 (Two-year CDBG request)	\$57,600 (Two-year total)	Gap funding based on 4 percent reduction of original request (similar to recommended applicants in Table 1).
LifeMoves	Homeless prevention services.	\$69,200 (Two- year CDBG request)	\$66,432 (Two-year total)	Same as above.
Young Women's Christian Association of Silicon Valley (YWCA)	Domestic violence services.	\$38,000 (One- year General Fund request)	\$54,100 * (Two-year total)	Gap funding based on 10 percent reduction of original request (similar to recommended applicants in Table 2), minus \$7,150 in annual General Fund support, and multiplied for two years.
Community School of Music and Arts (CSMA)	Music and arts programming for low-income children in Mountain View.	\$25,000 (One- year General Fund request)	\$30,700* (Two-year total)	Same as above.
			\$208,832 (Two-Year Total)	

Table 3: Funding Gap for Four General Fund Recommendations forFiscal Year 2021-22 Public Service Applicants

* Requests for General Fund support were one-year amounts because the City's budget is adopted annually, with the second year of General Fund support anticipated for the same amount pending compliance with HUD and City requirements and progress towards the stated public service activity goals during the first year of funding. Staff recommends that the gap (and total) funding for YWCA and CMSA be shown in this table based on a two-year total because two years of funding will be needed to support these activities.

Gap Funding Sources – Other CDBG Funds and One-Time American Rescue Plan Funds

If Council supports the HRC's recommendation to fund the four activities in Table 3, staff recommends the following to fill the \$208,832 two-year funding gap:

• Other CDBG Funds – As mentioned above, the City has received unusually large amounts of program income this year, which has allowed much more public services funding to be included in this NOFA cycle than in past NOFA cycles. As a result, this NOFA cycle included only Fiscal Year 2021-22 CDBG funding along with the program income and prior year funds. Unlike past NOFA cycles, the process this year did not assume any Fiscal Year 2022-23 CDBG public services funding for the second year of the two-year cycle. Staff estimates that approximately \$90,000 in CDBG funding for public services would be in available in Fiscal Year 2022-23 based on the Fiscal Year 2021-22 funding levels.

Additionally, since the close of this NOFA application period, the City has received more program income, which would allow an additional \$11,200 in public services funding to be included this cycle.

Staff recommends incorporating the estimated \$90,000 in Fiscal Year 2022-23 public services funding and the \$11,200 funds from the additional program income into the overall two-year funding strategy, for a net increase of \$101,200 in CDBG public services funding to partially fill the \$208,832 funding gap, leaving a remaining gap of \$107,632 for ARP funding to fill. These other CDBG sources will go toward funding most of the Day Worker Center and LifeMoves requests, but there will still be a remaining gap that would need to be funded from ARP (See Attachment 2 for details).

• One-Time American Rescue Plan Funds – The Federal American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 was signed into law in March 2021 and includes direct funding to cities to address the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on communities and local government revenues and services. The estimated allocation for the City of Mountain View is \$14.78 million. These one-time funds can be used to support eligible local needs, including public service activities. The City Manager will be presenting funding recommendations for the use of these funds as part of the budget update also scheduled for this April 27, 2021 Council meeting. The recommendations in that item include using \$107,632 in ARP funds to fill the remaining gap for the Day Worker Center and LifeMoves and to fill all of the gap for YWCA and CMSA (See Attachment 2 for details).

Funding for Junior Achievement of Northern California

Junior Achievement of Northern California (Junior Achievement), a long-time public service fund recipient, missed the application deadline for the current NOFA process and has submitted a separate request for funding outside of the NOFA process in the amount of \$10,000 over two years. Junior Achievement provides financial literacy and workforce readiness programs to K-12 students who reside in Mountain View. Junior Achievement has had a successful track record of providing quality services to Mountain View students in need over many years as part of past NOFA cycles.

Staff recommends that the City Council consider a one-time exception, in light of the extraordinary needs and challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic, to fund Junior Achievement with ARP in the amount of \$9,000 over the two-year public service cycle. In the past, Junior Achievement received General Fund support for their activities. Because most of the General Fund-supported activities in Table 2 include a 10 percent reduction from their original full request, it is recommended that Junior Achievement also receive a 10 percent reduction, resulting in staff's recommendation to provide \$9,000 instead of the \$10,000 request.

The total recommended amount for ARP funds to support public services is \$116,632, including \$107,632 for the four activities in Table 3 and \$9,000 for Junior Achievement. This amount will be included with other recommended uses for one-time ARP in the budget update.

SUMMARY OF ALL FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

Attachment 2 provides a summary of all of the public service funding recommendations discussed above, including those with CDBG funding (eight agencies for a total two-year amount of \$340,000), General Fund support (11 agencies for a total one-year amount of \$171,000), gap funding for activities not initially recommended (four activities for a total two-year gap funding amount of \$208,832 using other CDBG funds and one-time ARP funds), and Junior Achievement (one-time ARP funds in a two-year amount of \$9,000).

NEXT STEPS

Council input and funding decisions will be incorporated into the final Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP, which will be brought back to Council for adoption at its May 11, 2021 meeting. The adopted Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP will then be submitted to HUD prior to the required May 15, 2021 deadline.

FISCAL IMPACT

The funding recommendations in this report include \$340,000 in CDBG funding (twoyear total), \$171,000 in the City's General Fund (one-year total) for public services, and \$3.7 million in funding for capital projects (\$2.1 million in CDBG and \$1.6 million in HOME). Staff is also making a recommendation to use other CDBG funds not included in the NOFA in the amount of \$101,200 and one-time ARP funds in the amount of \$116,632 to fund four public service activities not initially recommended for funding. If Council approves staff's gap funding recommendations, modifications will be made to the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP to redistribute the CDBG funds across the nonprofit agencies as appropriate, and the final funding recommendations will be included in the May 11, 2020 Council report. If the actual amount of any of the funding sources differs from the estimated amount, the increase/decrease will be proportionately distributed across the nonprofit agencies.

The funding recommendations for CDBG and HOME funds are consistent with the Consolidated Plan goals. Additionally, the recommendations for the General Fund are consistent with the goal to fund public services activities. The General Fund for public services is part of the City's base budget, and there is no request for additional General Fund support for this two-year public service cycle.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of this hearing is for Council to consider the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP and funding recommendations for public services and capital projects. This NOFA cycle provides funding for public service activities only. The NOFA was significantly oversubscribed despite significantly higher public service funding levels due to unusually high program income received by the City. This report includes the HRC's funding recommendations, including a request that Council consider additional funding, if possible, for four activities that were originally not recommended for funding. Staff recommends using other CDBG funds and Federal ARP funds to support the four activities. Additionally, staff recommends making a one-time exception to fund Junior Achievement from ARP funds.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Approve staff's public service funding recommendations and gap funding recommendations for the activities not initially recommended for funding.
- 2. Provide other input for public service funding recommendations.

3. Direct use of other funding sources for the gap funding for the activities not initially recommended for funding or provide other gap funding recommendations.

PUBLIC NOTICING

The Council meeting agenda is posted online on the City calendar. Additionally, the required 30-day public review period began April 10, 2021 and ends May 11, 2021. A public notice was published in the *Mountain View Voice*. The public notice and its Spanish, Chinese, and Russian translations as well as the Fiscal Year 2021-22 AAP are posted on the City's website: <u>https://www.mountainview.gov/depts/comdev/housing/default.asp</u>. A link to this report and Council meeting was provided to the HRC and to the applicants.

Prepared by:

Approved by:

Wayne Chen Assistant Community Development Director

Aarti Shrivastava Assistant City Manager/ Community Development Director

Kimbra McCarthy City Manager

WC/1/CAM 821-04-27-21CR 200720

Attachments: 1. Draft Fiscal Year 2021-22 Annual Action Plan

2. Summary of All Funding Recommendations