

**BYLAWS OF THE
CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW HUMAN RELATIONS COMMITTEE**

ARTICLE I – PURPOSE

The Human Relations Committee was established by the Mountain View City Council to:

1. Act as an advisory body to the City Council on issues concerning tolerance, inclusivity, and involvement, as defined in the Council resolution establishing the Committee.
2. Serve as a forum for public discussion regarding issues relating to tolerance, inclusivity, and involvement.
3. Serve as a liaison/facilitator between City government and the public relating to tolerance, inclusivity, and involvement.
4. Coordinate Commission activities, reports, or recommendations with other City boards or commissions where identified as appropriate by City staff.

The Committee does not provide direction to City staff, nor does it have decision- or policy-making authority except as specifically delegated to it by the majority vote of the City Council. The Committee works with a staff liaison designated by the City Manager to organize and manage the work of the Committee.

ARTICLE II – MEMBERSHIP, APPOINTMENT, TERMS, AND RESIGNATIONS

The members of the Committee serve at the pleasure of the City Council. The City of Mountain View Charter and City Council Resolution 18198 (Exhibit A) shall govern the membership and terms of the Committee. Council Policy K-2: Boards, Commissions, and Committees as amended shall govern the appointment and selection process and resignation for Committee members.

ARTICLE III – COMPENSATION

The members of the Committee shall serve without compensation for their services. Committee members may receive reimbursement for necessary traveling and other expenses incurred on official duty when such expenditures have received prior authorization and when the provisions of Council Policy K-2: Boards, Commissions, and Committees have been met.

ARTICLE IV – OFFICERS

1. The officers of this Committee shall be the Chair and Vice Chair.

2. These officers shall perform the duties defined in these bylaws and by the parliamentary authority of the Committee.
3. The Chair and Vice Chair shall be elected by members of the Committee to serve for one year or until their successors are appointed, beginning immediately upon their election.
4. Election of officers shall take place at the first regular meeting of the Committee in a new calendar year.
5. In the event of a vacancy in the office of the Chair, the Vice Chair shall succeed immediately to the office for the remainder of the Chair's unexpired term.
6. In the event of a vacancy in the office of the Vice Chair, the office shall be filled by election of the Committee.
7. In the absence of the Chair and Vice Chair, the staff liaison shall identify a Committee member to serve as Chair pro-tem. Their office shall terminate upon adjournment of the meeting.
8. The responsibilities of the Chair include:
 - a. Calling meetings to order and facilitating the conduct of Committee business according to the posted agenda, relevant state and local laws and policies, and parliamentary procedure.
 - b. Meeting regularly with the Vice Chair and staff liaison to review future meeting agendas and Committee business.
 - c. Assisting with upholding the Code of Conduct (Exhibit B) for advisory body members.
 - d. Representing the Committee at events and ceremonial gatherings at the invitation of the City Council or its designee.
 - e. Establishing *ad hoc* subcommittees and appointing their membership.
9. The responsibilities of the Vice Chair include:
 - a. Acting to fulfill the duties of the Chair in the Chair's absence.
 - b. Meeting regularly with the Chair and staff liaison to review future meeting agendas and Committee business.
10. The staff liaison to the Committee shall be responsible for recording action minutes and keeping a record of the Committee's proceedings and transactions.

ARTICLE V – MEETINGS

1. All proceedings of the Committee shall be open to the public as required by state and local laws and policies.
2. The Committee shall adopt a schedule of regular meetings at their final meeting before the City Council's summer recess.
3. Regular meetings shall be held on the first Thursday of the month at 6:30 p.m. in City Hall.
4. The Committee shall hold special meetings as necessary to complete the business of the Committee as determined by the Chair under advisement of the staff liaison. Notice of the special meeting stating the time, place, and purpose shall be provided in writing to each member of the Committee not less than five (5) days before such meeting and/or by telephone within 48 hours of the meeting time.
5. A quorum of four members must be present in order to conduct a meeting of the Committee.
6. All actions the Committee shall be taken by a majority vote of the entire membership.
7. Notice stating the place, day, hour, and agenda of any meeting of the Committee shall be provided to the public at least 72 hours before the meeting and in accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act (Government Code 54950 *et seq.*).

ARTICLE VI – ATTENDANCE

Committee members are expected to attend all regular Committee meetings. Members of *ad hoc* subcommittees are expected to attend subcommittee meetings. Attendance requirements, definition of excused and unexcused absences, and procedures for removal are governed by sections 3 and 4 of Council Policy K-2: Boards, Commissions, and Committees as amended.

If a Committee member is unable to attend a regular or special meeting, the member is expected to give prior notice to the Chair and staff liaison regardless of the reason for the absence.

ARTICLE VII – AD HOC COMMITTEES

The Committee may from time to time establish *ad hoc* committees composed solely of less than a quorum of the Committee that serve a limited or single purpose, are not perpetual, and will be dissolved once their specific task is completed.

1. The Chair shall appoint *ad hoc committees* as they deem necessary to carry on the Committee's work.

2. *Ad hoc* committee members will select a Chair for the *ad hoc* committee to report to the full Committee on the activities of the *ad hoc* committee.
3. *Ad hoc* committees are advisory to the Committee. They may act on behalf of the Committee only in the case of a specific delegation of authority as designated in the establishment of the *ad hoc* committee or adopted at a regular Committee meeting.
4. Business applicable to a certain *ad hoc* committee shall automatically be referred to it.
5. *Ad hoc* committees may be held as needed at a time and place, including virtually, designated by the *ad hoc* committee.

ARTICLE VIII – PARLIAMENTARY AUTHORITY

The rules contained in Rosenberg’s Rules of Order (Exhibit C) shall govern this Committee in cases to which they are applicable, unless they are inconsistent with these standing rules or any special rules of order which the Committee may adopt, or any rules as set for in the City of Mountain View Municipal Code as amended, or in any City ordinance as amended. The Chair shall make all necessary rulings under advisement of the staff liaison.

ARTICLE IX – AMENDMENT OF BYLAWS

These bylaws may be amended at any regular meeting of the Committee by a two-thirds vote of those present, provided that the amendment has been submitted in writing at the previous regular meeting or is recommended by the staff liaison. The removal of general or specific reference to state and/or local laws and/or policies does not exempt the Committee from being subject to or required to comply with such laws and/or policies.

Exhibits: Council Resolution 18198, Council Code of Conduct, Rosenberg’s Rules of Order

Adopted on: _____

CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW
RESOLUTION NO. 18989
SERIES 2025

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW: (1) REPEALING RESOLUTION NOS. 15732, 16148, AND 18198, AND ALL AMENDMENTS THERETO, WHICH ESTABLISHED AND/OR PRESCRIBED THE DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, POWERS, AND MEMBERSHIP OF THE HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION; AND (2) ESTABLISHING THE HUMAN RELATIONS COMMITTEE AND PRESCRIBING ANEW THE DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES, POWERS, AND MEMBERSHIP THEREOF

WHEREAS, on July 12, 1994, the City Council established a Social Concerns Commission to address economic, political, educational and social issues facing the community (Resolution No. 15732); and

WHEREAS, on July 29, 1997, the City Council renamed the Social Concerns Commission to the Human Relations Commission and clarified the role of the Human Relations Commission (Resolution No. 16148); and

WHEREAS, on February 27, 2018, the City Council re-established the Human Relations Commission to effectuate a pilot program that has since expired (Resolution No. 18198); and

WHEREAS, the City of Mountain View is dedicated to preserving the rights of all persons to enjoy an equal opportunity to live, work, and prosper in the community free from unlawful discrimination and harassment as prohibited under federal, state, and local law; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the City of Mountain View to promote the fullest participation of all members of the community in the economic, political, educational, and social aspects of the community and to provide equality of opportunity to the greatest extent possible; and

WHEREAS, on December 17, 2024, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 18956, amending City Council Policy K-2 to add a definition for advisory or decision-making committees and directed staff to bring back a resolution to rename the Human Relations Commission to the Human Relations Committee; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: that the City Council of the City of Mountain View hereby establishes the Human Relations Committee; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: that the duties, responsibilities, powers, membership of and qualifications for appointment to the Human Relations Committee shall be as follows:

I. Duties and Responsibilities.

- a. Act as an advisory body to the City Council on issues concerning tolerance, inclusivity, and involvement.
- b. Serve as a liaison/facilitator between City government and the public on issues relating to tolerance, inclusivity, and involvement.
- c. Coordinate Committee activities, reports, or recommendations with other City boards, commissions, or committees, where identified, as appropriate by City staff and/or the City Council.
- d. Serve as a forum for public discussion on issues relating to tolerance, inclusivity, and involvement; and for the purposes of this section, tolerance, inclusivity, and involvement shall be defined as follows:
 - i. Tolerance. Issues concerning nondiscrimination, diversity, and cultural awareness in the community.
 - ii. Involvement. Issues relating to barriers that may preclude residents from participating in their local government and/ or community and assessing the relevance of programs to the needs of the community.
 - iii. Inclusivity. Issues concerning outreach to diverse segments of the population and soliciting input from the community.
- e. Perform such other duties as the City Council may direct.

II. Membership and Qualifications.

- a. The Human Relations Committee shall consist of seven members and residents of Mountain View.

III. Appointment.

Notwithstanding any provision found herein, all members of the Human Relations Committee shall be appointed in accordance with California Government Code Section 54970, *et seq.* (Maddy Act), as may be amended or renumbered from time to time, and all applicable provisions of the City Charter, Ordinances, Resolutions, and Council policies.

IV. Terms of Office.

- a. Terms shall be for a period of four years, staggered so that three or four seats would be open for reappointment every two years, except that an appointment to fill a vacancy shall only be for the unexpired portion of the term of the seat being filled. Terms shall commence on January 1 and end on December 31.
- b. Members shall serve no more than two consecutive four-year terms and shall not be reappointed to the Human Relations Committee for at least two years after the date their second term expired unless the City Council expressly finds and determines that it is in the best interest of the community to allow for an exception to these limits.
- c. Any member who is appointed to fill a vacancy of an unexpired term of less than two years shall be eligible to serve two consecutive four-year terms upon expiration of the term for which the member was appointed to fill.

V. Bylaws, Meetings, Voting.

- a. The Human Relations Committee shall meet regularly on an established day of the week, and at an established time and location suitable for its purpose. Such meetings shall be considered "regular meetings" of the Human Relations Committee. All other meetings scheduled for a day, time or place other than the regular day, time, and location shall be considered "special meetings" of the Human Relations Committee.
- b. All meetings of the Human Relations Committee shall be noticed and conducted in accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act.
- c. The Human Relations Committee shall establish bylaws, rules and procedures for the conduct of its business, including but not limited to annual meeting schedules, chair rotation, and parliamentary procedure, which shall be adopted at a regular meeting.
- d. Four members shall constitute a quorum of the body. A quorum is required to conduct a meeting of the Human Relations Committee.
- e. All actions by the Human Relations Committee shall be taken by a majority vote of the entire membership.

VI. Annual Report and Work Plan

Prior to August 1 each year, the Human Relations Committee shall approve a work plan for the following fiscal year to be submitted to the City Council for review and approval. The work plan shall list the projects and priorities, key milestones, and ongoing items that will be worked on during the following fiscal year.

HG/4/RESO
428-05-27-25r


The foregoing resolution was regularly introduced and adopted at a Regular Meeting of the City Council of the City of Mountain View, duly held on the 27TH day of May 2025, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Clark, Hicks, McAlister, Ramirez, Showalter, Vice Mayor Ramos, and Mayor Kamei

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ATTEST:




HEATHER GLASER
CITY CLERK

APPROVED:



ELLEN KAMEI
MAYOR

Pursuant to Mountain View Charter § 709(b), I do hereby certify that the foregoing is an original or a correct copy of the Resolution passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Mountain View at a Regular Meeting held on the 27TH of May 2025, by the foregoing vote.



City Clerk
City of Mountain View

**CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW
COUNCIL ADVISORY BODY
PERSONAL CODE OF CONDUCT**

PREAMBLE

The residents and businesses of Mountain View are entitled to have fair, ethical, and accountable local government. Such a government requires that public officials, including Council advisory body members:

- Comply with both the letter and the spirit of the laws and policies affecting operations of the government.
- Be independent, impartial, and fair in their judgment and actions.
- Use their public office for the public good, not for personal gain.
- Conduct public deliberations and processes openly, unless legally confidential, in an atmosphere of respect and civility.

To this end, Council advisory body members are expected to adhere to the Code of Conduct adopted by the Mountain View City Council to encourage public confidence in the integrity of local government and its fair and effective operation.

Council advisory body members shall sign this Personal Code of Conduct at the first meeting of the board, commission, or committee upon assuming office and, if applicable, upon reappointment to the board, commission, or committee as a symbol of each Council advisory body member's commitment to abide by the principles of this code during the Council advisory body member's term.

1. Act in the Public Interest

Recognizing that stewardship of the public interest must be their primary concern, Council advisory body members shall work for the common good of the people of Mountain View and not for any private or personal interest, and they will endeavor to treat all persons, claims, and transactions in a fair and equitable manner.

2. Comply with the Law

Council advisory body members shall comply with the laws of the nation, the State of California, and the City in the performance of their public duties. These laws include, but

are not limited to: the United States and California Constitutions; the Mountain View City Charter; laws pertaining to conflicts of interest, election campaigns, financial disclosures, employer responsibilities, and open processes of government; and City ordinances and policies.

3. Conduct of Council Advisory Body Members

Council advisory body members shall refrain from abusive conduct, personal charges, or verbal attacks upon the character or motives of Councilmembers; other members of the Council advisory body; other boards, commissions, or committees; staff; or the public.

4. Respect for Process

Council advisory body member duties shall be performed in accordance with the processes and rules of order established by the City Council.

5. Conduct of Public Meetings

Council advisory body members shall inform themselves of public issues, listen attentively to public discussions before the body, and focus on the business at hand.

6. Decisions Based on Merit

Council advisory body members shall base their decisions upon the merits and substance of the matter at hand.

7. Communication

It is the responsibility of Council advisory body members to publicly share substantive information that is relevant to a matter under consideration that they have received from sources outside of the public decision-making process with all other Council advisory body members.

8. Coordination with City Staff

Appropriate City staff should be involved when Council advisory body members meet with officials from other agencies and jurisdictions to ensure proper staff support, as needed, and to keep staff informed.

9. Disclosure of Corruption

All City officials shall take an oath upon assuming office, pledging to uphold the Constitution and laws of the City, the State, and the Federal government. As part of this oath, officials commit to disclosing to the appropriate authorities and/or to the City Council any behavior

or activity that may qualify as corruption, abuse, fraud, bribery, or other violation of the law.

10. Conflict of Interest

In order to assure their independence and impartiality on behalf of the public good, Council advisory body members shall not use their official positions to influence government decisions in which they have a financial interest or where they have an organizational responsibility or a personal relationship that would present a conflict of interest under applicable State law.

In accordance with the law, members shall file written disclosures of their economic interest and, if they have a conflict of interest regarding a particular decision, refrain from participating in that decision unless otherwise permitted by law.

11. Gifts and Favors

Council advisory body members shall not take advantage of services or opportunities for personal gain by virtue of their public office that are not available to the public in general. They shall refrain from accepting gifts, favors, or promises of future benefits that might compromise their independence of judgment or action or give the appearance of being compromised.

12. Confidential Information

Council advisory body members shall respect and preserve the confidentiality of information provided to them concerning the confidential matters of the City. They shall neither disclose confidential information without proper legal authorization nor use such information to advance their personal, financial, or private interests.

13. Use of Public Resources

Public resources not available to the general public (e.g., City staff time, equipment, supplies, or facilities) shall not be used by Council advisory body members for private gain or personal purposes.

14. Representation of Private Interests

In keeping with their role as stewards of the public trust, Council advisory body members shall not appear on behalf of the private interests of a third party before the City Council or any board, commission, or committee or proceeding of the City.

15. Advocacy

To the best of their ability, Council advisory body members shall represent the official policies and positions of the City of Mountain View. When presenting their personal opinions or positions, members shall explicitly state that they represent neither the Council advisory body nor the City.

16. Improper Influence

Council advisory body members shall refrain from using their position to improperly influence the deliberations or decisions of City staff, boards, commissions, or committees.

17. Policy Role of Members

Council advisory body members shall respect and adhere to the Council-Manager structure of Mountain View City government as provided in State law and the City Charter.

18. Positive Work Environment

Council advisory body members shall support the maintenance of a positive and constructive environment for residents, businesses, and City employees.

19. Implementation

Ethics standards shall be included in the regular orientations for Council advisory body members. Upon entering office and upon reappointment, Council advisory body members shall sign a statement affirming they read and understood the City of Mountain View's City Council Code of Conduct.

20. Compliance and Enforcement

Council advisory body members themselves have the responsibility to assure that ethical standards are understood and met and that the public can continue to have full confidence in the integrity of City government.

This Personal Code of Conduct shall be considered to be a summary of the longer City Council Code of Conduct document. The City Council Code of Conduct document shall be considered to be the definitive document relating to ethical conduct by Mountain View City Council advisory body members.

I affirm that I have read and understand the City of Mountain View City Council Code of Conduct.

Signature

Date



Rosenberg's Rules of Order

REVISED 2011

Simple Rules of Parliamentary Procedure for the 21st Century

By Judge Dave Rosenberg



MISSION AND CORE BELIEFS

To expand and protect local control for cities through education and advocacy to enhance the quality of life for all Californians.

VISION

To be recognized and respected as the leading advocate for the common interests of California's cities.

About the League of California Cities

Established in 1898, the League of California Cities is a member organization that represents California's incorporated cities. The League strives to protect the local authority and autonomy of city government and help California's cities effectively serve their residents. In addition to advocating on cities' behalf at the state capitol, the League provides its members with professional development programs and information resources, conducts education conferences and research, and publishes Western City magazine.

© 2011 League of California Cities. All rights reserved.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Dave Rosenberg is a Superior Court Judge in Yolo County. He has served as presiding judge of his court, and as presiding judge of the Superior Court Appellate Division. He also has served as chair of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee (the committee composed of all 58 California presiding judges) and as an advisory member of the California Judicial Council. Prior to his appointment to the bench, Rosenberg was member of the Yolo County Board of Supervisors, where he served two terms as chair. Rosenberg also served on the Davis City Council, including two terms as mayor. He has served on the senior staff of two governors, and worked for 19 years in private law practice. Rosenberg has served as a member and chair of numerous state, regional and local boards. Rosenberg chaired the California State Lottery Commission, the California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board, the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, the Yolo County Economic Development Commission, and the Yolo County Criminal Justice Cabinet. For many years, he has taught classes on parliamentary procedure and has served as parliamentarian for large and small bodies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

About the Author	ii
Introduction	2
Establishing a Quorum	2
The Role of the Chair	2
The Basic Format for an Agenda Item Discussion	2
Motions in General	3
The Three Basic Motions	3
Multiple Motions Before the Body	4
To Debate or Not to Debate	4
Majority and Super-Majority Votes	5
Counting Votes	5
The Motion to Reconsider	6
Courtesy and Decorum	7
Special Notes About Public Input	7

INTRODUCTION

The rules of procedure at meetings should be simple enough for most people to understand. Unfortunately, that has not always been the case. Virtually all clubs, associations, boards, councils and bodies follow a set of rules — *Robert's Rules of Order* — which are embodied in a small, but complex, book. Virtually no one I know has actually read this book cover to cover. Worse yet, the book was written for another time and for another purpose. If one is chairing or running a parliament, then *Robert's Rules of Order* is a dandy and quite useful handbook for procedure in that complex setting. On the other hand, if one is running a meeting of say, a five-member body with a few members of the public in attendance, a simplified version of the rules of parliamentary procedure is in order.

Hence, the birth of *Rosenberg's Rules of Order*.

What follows is my version of the rules of parliamentary procedure, based on my decades of experience chairing meetings in state and local government. These rules have been simplified for the smaller bodies we chair or in which we participate, slimmed down for the 21st Century, yet retaining the basic tenets of order to which we have grown accustomed. Interestingly enough, *Rosenberg's Rules* has found a welcoming audience. Hundreds of cities, counties, special districts, committees, boards, commissions, neighborhood associations and private corporations and companies have adopted *Rosenberg's Rules* in lieu of *Robert's Rules* because they have found them practical, logical, simple, easy to learn and user friendly.

This treatise on modern parliamentary procedure is built on a foundation supported by the following four pillars:

1. **Rules should establish order.** The first purpose of rules of parliamentary procedure is to establish a framework for the orderly conduct of meetings.
2. **Rules should be clear.** Simple rules lead to wider understanding and participation. Complex rules create two classes: those who understand and participate; and those who do not fully understand and do not fully participate.
3. **Rules should be user friendly.** That is, the rules must be simple enough that the public is invited into the body and feels that it has participated in the process.
4. **Rules should enforce the will of the majority while protecting the rights of the minority.** The ultimate purpose of rules of procedure is to encourage discussion and to facilitate decision making by the body. In a democracy, majority rules. The rules must enable the majority to express itself and fashion a result, while permitting the minority to also express itself, but not dominate, while fully participating in the process.

Establishing a Quorum

The starting point for a meeting is the establishment of a quorum. A quorum is defined as the minimum number of members of the body who must be present at a meeting for business to be legally transacted. The default rule is that a quorum is one more than half the body. For example, in a five-member body a quorum is three. When the body has three members present, it can legally transact business. If the body has less than a quorum of members present, it cannot legally transact business. And even if the body has a quorum to begin the meeting, the body can lose the quorum during the meeting when a member departs (or even when a member leaves the dais). When that occurs the body loses its ability to transact business until and unless a quorum is reestablished.

The default rule, identified above, however, gives way to a specific rule of the body that establishes a quorum. For example, the rules of a particular five-member body may indicate that a quorum is four members for that particular body. The body must follow the rules it has established for its quorum. In the absence of such a specific rule, the quorum is one more than half the members of the body.

The Role of the Chair

While all members of the body should know and understand the rules of parliamentary procedure, it is the chair of the body who is charged with applying the rules of conduct of the meeting. The chair should be well versed in those rules. For all intents and purposes, the chair makes the final ruling on the rules every time the chair states an action. In fact, all decisions by the chair are final unless overruled by the body itself.

Since the chair runs the conduct of the meeting, it is usual courtesy for the chair to play a less active role in the debate and discussion than other members of the body. This does not mean that the chair should not participate in the debate or discussion. To the contrary, as a member of the body, the chair has the full right to participate in the debate, discussion and decision-making of the body. What the chair should do, however, is strive to be the last to speak at the discussion and debate stage. The chair should not make or second a motion unless the chair is convinced that no other member of the body will do so at that point in time.

The Basic Format for an Agenda Item Discussion

Formal meetings normally have a written, often published agenda. Informal meetings may have only an oral or understood agenda. In either case, the meeting is governed by the agenda and the agenda constitutes the body's agreed-upon roadmap for the meeting. Each agenda item can be handled by the chair in the following basic format:

First, the chair should clearly announce the agenda item number and should clearly state what the agenda item subject is. The chair should then announce the format (which follows) that will be followed in considering the agenda item.

Second, following that agenda format, the chair should invite the appropriate person or persons to report on the item, including any recommendation that they might have. The appropriate person or persons may be the chair, a member of the body, a staff person, or a committee chair charged with providing input on the agenda item.

Third, the chair should ask members of the body if they have any technical questions of clarification. At this point, members of the body may ask clarifying questions to the person or persons who reported on the item, and that person or persons should be given time to respond.

Fourth, the chair should invite public comments, or if appropriate at a formal meeting, should open the public meeting for public input. If numerous members of the public indicate a desire to speak to the subject, the chair may limit the time of public speakers. At the conclusion of the public comments, the chair should announce that public input has concluded (or the public hearing, as the case may be, is closed).

Fifth, the chair should invite a motion. The chair should announce the name of the member of the body who makes the motion.

Sixth, the chair should determine if any member of the body wishes to second the motion. The chair should announce the name of the member of the body who seconds the motion. It is normally good practice for a motion to require a second before proceeding to ensure that it is not just one member of the body who is interested in a particular approach. However, a second is not an absolute requirement, and the chair can proceed with consideration and vote on a motion even when there is no second. This is a matter left to the discretion of the chair.

Seventh, if the motion is made and seconded, the chair should make sure everyone understands the motion.

This is done in one of three ways:

1. The chair can ask the maker of the motion to repeat it;
2. The chair can repeat the motion; or
3. The chair can ask the secretary or the clerk of the body to repeat the motion.

Eighth, the chair should now invite discussion of the motion by the body. If there is no desired discussion, or after the discussion has ended, the chair should announce that the body will vote on the motion. If there has been no discussion or very brief discussion, then the vote on the motion should proceed immediately and there is no need to repeat the motion. If there has been substantial discussion, then it is normally best to make sure everyone understands the motion by repeating it.

Ninth, the chair takes a vote. Simply asking for the “ayes” and then asking for the “nays” normally does this. If members of the body do not vote, then they “abstain.” Unless the rules of the body provide otherwise (or unless a super majority is required as delineated later in these rules), then a simple majority (as defined in law or the rules of the body as delineated later in these rules) determines whether the motion passes or is defeated.

Tenth, the chair should announce the result of the vote and what action (if any) the body has taken. In announcing the result, the chair should indicate the names of the members of the body, if any, who voted in the minority on the motion. This announcement might take the following form: “The motion passes by a vote of 3-2, with Smith and Jones dissenting. We have passed the motion requiring a 10-day notice for all future meetings of this body.”

Motions in General

Motions are the vehicles for decision making by a body. It is usually best to have a motion before the body prior to commencing discussion of an agenda item. This helps the body focus.

Motions are made in a simple two-step process. First, the chair should recognize the member of the body. Second, the member of the body makes a motion by preceding the member’s desired approach with the words “I move ...”

A typical motion might be: “I move that we give a 10-day notice in the future for all our meetings.”

The chair usually initiates the motion in one of three ways:

1. **Inviting the members of the body to make a motion**, for example, “A motion at this time would be in order.”
2. **Suggesting a motion to the members of the body**, “A motion would be in order that we give a 10-day notice in the future for all our meetings.”
3. **Making the motion**. As noted, the chair has every right as a member of the body to make a motion, but should normally do so only if the chair wishes to make a motion on an item but is convinced that no other member of the body is willing to step forward to do so at a particular time.

The Three Basic Motions

There are three motions that are the most common and recur often at meetings:

The basic motion. The basic motion is the one that puts forward a decision for the body’s consideration. A basic motion might be: “I move that we create a five-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser.”

The motion to amend. If a member wants to change a basic motion that is before the body, they would move to amend it. A motion to amend might be: “I move that we amend the motion to have a 10-member committee.” A motion to amend takes the basic motion that is before the body and seeks to change it in some way.

The substitute motion. If a member wants to completely do away with the basic motion that is before the body, and put a new motion before the body, they would move a substitute motion. A substitute motion might be: “I move a substitute motion that we cancel the annual fundraiser this year.”

“Motions to amend” and “substitute motions” are often confused, but they are quite different, and their effect (if passed) is quite different. A motion to amend seeks to retain the basic motion on the floor, but modify it in some way. A substitute motion seeks to throw out the basic motion on the floor, and substitute a new and different motion for it. The decision as to whether a motion is really a “motion to amend” or a “substitute motion” is left to the chair. So if a member makes what that member calls a “motion to amend,” but the chair determines that it is really a “substitute motion,” then the chair’s designation governs.

A “friendly amendment” is a practical parliamentary tool that is simple, informal, saves time and avoids bogging a meeting down with numerous formal motions. It works in the following way: In the discussion on a pending motion, it may appear that a change to the motion is desirable or may win support for the motion from some members. When that happens, a member who has the floor may simply say, “I want to suggest a friendly amendment to the motion.” The member suggests the friendly amendment, and if the maker and the person who seconded the motion pending on the floor accepts the friendly amendment, that now becomes the pending motion on the floor. If either the maker or the person who seconded rejects the proposed friendly amendment, then the proposer can formally move to amend.

Multiple Motions Before the Body

There can be up to three motions on the floor at the same time. The chair can reject a fourth motion until the chair has dealt with the three that are on the floor and has resolved them. This rule has practical value. More than three motions on the floor at any given time is confusing and unwieldy for almost everyone, including the chair.

When there are two or three motions on the floor (after motions and seconds) at the same time, the vote should proceed *first* on the *last* motion that is made. For example, assume the first motion is a basic “motion to have a five-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser.” During the discussion of this motion, a member might make a second motion to “amend the main motion to have a 10-member committee, not a five-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser.” And perhaps, during that discussion, a member makes yet a third motion as a “substitute motion that we not have an annual fundraiser this year.” The proper procedure would be as follows:

First, the chair would deal with the *third* (the last) motion on the floor, the substitute motion. After discussion and debate, a vote would be taken first on the third motion. If the substitute motion *passed*, it would be a substitute for the basic motion and would eliminate it. The first motion would be moot, as would the second motion (which sought to amend the first motion), and the action on the agenda item would be completed on the passage by the body of the third motion (the substitute motion). No vote would be taken on the first or second motions.

Second, if the substitute motion *failed*, the chair would then deal with the second (now the last) motion on the floor, the motion to amend. The discussion and debate would focus strictly on the amendment (should the committee be five or 10 members). If the motion to amend *passed*, the chair would then move to consider the main motion (the first motion) as *amended*. If the motion to amend *failed*, the chair would then move to consider the main motion (the first motion) in its original format, not amended.

Third, the chair would now deal with the first motion that was placed on the floor. The original motion would either be in its original format (five-member committee), or if *amended*, would be in its amended format (10-member committee). The question on the floor for discussion and decision would be whether a committee should plan and put on the annual fundraiser.

To Debate or Not to Debate

The basic rule of motions is that they are subject to discussion and debate. Accordingly, basic motions, motions to amend, and substitute motions are all eligible, each in their turn, for full discussion before and by the body. The debate can continue as long as members of the body wish to discuss an item, subject to the decision of the chair that it is time to move on and take action.

There are exceptions to the general rule of free and open debate on motions. The exceptions all apply when there is a desire of the body to move on. The following motions are not debatable (that is, when the following motions are made and seconded, the chair must immediately call for a vote of the body without debate on the motion):

Motion to adjourn. This motion, if passed, requires the body to immediately adjourn to its next regularly scheduled meeting. It requires a simple majority vote.

Motion to recess. This motion, if passed, requires the body to immediately take a recess. Normally, the chair determines the length of the recess which may be a few minutes or an hour. It requires a simple majority vote.

Motion to fix the time to adjourn. This motion, if passed, requires the body to adjourn the meeting at the specific time set in the motion. For example, the motion might be: “I move we adjourn this meeting at midnight.” It requires a simple majority vote.

Motion to table. This motion, if passed, requires discussion of the agenda item to be halted and the agenda item to be placed on “hold.” The motion can contain a specific time in which the item can come back to the body. “I move we table this item until our regular meeting in October.” Or the motion can contain no specific time for the return of the item, in which case a motion to take the item off the table and bring it back to the body will have to be taken at a future meeting. A motion to table an item (or to bring it back to the body) requires a simple majority vote.

Motion to limit debate. The most common form of this motion is to say, “I move the previous question” or “I move the question” or “I call the question” or sometimes someone simply shouts out “question.” As a practical matter, when a member calls out one of these phrases, the chair can expedite matters by treating it as a “request” rather than as a formal motion. The chair can simply inquire of the body, “any further discussion?” If no one wishes to have further discussion, then the chair can go right to the pending motion that is on the floor. However, if even one person wishes to discuss the pending motion further, then at that point, the chair should treat the call for the “question” as a formal motion, and proceed to it.

When a member of the body makes such a motion (“I move the previous question”), the member is really saying: “I’ve had enough debate. Let’s get on with the vote.” When such a motion is made, the chair should ask for a second, stop debate, and vote on the motion to limit debate. The motion to limit debate requires a two-thirds vote of the body.

NOTE: A motion to limit debate could include a time limit. For example: “I move we limit debate on this agenda item to 15 minutes.” Even in this format, the motion to limit debate requires a two-thirds vote of the body. A similar motion is a *motion to object to consideration of an item*. This motion is not debatable, and if passed, precludes the body from even considering an item on the agenda. It also requires a two-thirds vote.

Majority and Super Majority Votes

In a democracy, a simple majority vote determines a question. A tie vote means the motion fails. So in a seven-member body, a vote of 4-3 passes the motion. A vote of 3-3 with one abstention means the motion fails. If one member is absent and the vote is 3-3, the motion still fails.

All motions require a simple majority, but there are a few exceptions. The exceptions come up when the body is taking an action which effectively cuts off the ability of a minority of the body to take an action or discuss an item. These extraordinary motions require a two-thirds majority (a super majority) to pass:

Motion to limit debate. Whether a member says, “I move the previous question,” or “I move the question,” or “I call the question,” or “I move to limit debate,” it all amounts to an attempt to cut off the ability of the minority to discuss an item, and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

Motion to close nominations. When choosing officers of the body (such as the chair), nominations are in order either from a nominating committee or from the floor of the body. A motion to close nominations effectively cuts off the right of the minority to nominate officers and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

Motion to object to the consideration of a question. Normally, such a motion is unnecessary since the objectionable item can be tabled or defeated straight up. However, when members of a body do not even want an item on the agenda to be considered, then such a motion is in order. It is not debatable, and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

Motion to suspend the rules. This motion is debatable, but requires a two-thirds vote to pass. If the body has its own rules of order, conduct or procedure, this motion allows the body to suspend the rules for a particular purpose. For example, the body (a private club) might have a rule prohibiting the attendance at meetings by non-club members. A motion to suspend the rules would be in order to allow a non-club member to attend a meeting of the club on a particular date or on a particular agenda item.

Counting Votes

The matter of counting votes starts simple, but can become complicated.

Usually, it’s pretty easy to determine whether a particular motion passed or whether it was defeated. If a simple majority vote is needed to pass a motion, then one vote more than 50 percent of the body is required. For example, in a five-member body, if the vote is three in favor and two opposed, the motion passes. If it is two in favor and three opposed, the motion is defeated.

If a two-thirds majority vote is needed to pass a motion, then how many affirmative votes are required? The simple rule of thumb is to count the “no” votes and double that count to determine how many “yes” votes are needed to pass a particular motion. For example, in a seven-member body, if two members vote “no” then the “yes” vote of at least four members is required to achieve a two-thirds majority vote to pass the motion.

What about tie votes? In the event of a tie, the motion always fails since an affirmative vote is required to pass any motion. For example, in a five-member body, if the vote is two in favor and two opposed, with one member absent, the motion is defeated.

Vote counting starts to become complicated when members vote “abstain” or in the case of a written ballot, cast a blank (or unreadable) ballot. Do these votes count, and if so, how does one count them? The starting point is always to check the statutes.

In California, for example, for an action of a board of supervisors to be valid and binding, the action must be approved by a majority of the board. (California Government Code Section 25005.) Typically, this means three of the five members of the board must vote affirmatively in favor of the action. A vote of 2-1 would not be sufficient. A vote of 3-0 with two abstentions would be sufficient. In general law cities in

California, as another example, resolutions or orders for the payment of money and all ordinances require a recorded vote of the total members of the city council. (California Government Code Section 36936.) Cities with charters may prescribe their own vote requirements. Local elected officials are always well-advised to consult with their local agency counsel on how state law may affect the vote count.

After consulting state statutes, step number two is to check the rules of the body. If the rules of the body say that you count votes of “those present” then you treat abstentions one way. However, if the rules of the body say that you count the votes of those “present and voting,” then you treat abstentions a different way. And if the rules of the body are silent on the subject, then the general rule of thumb (and default rule) is that you count all votes that are “present and voting.”

Accordingly, under the “present and voting” system, you would **NOT** count abstention votes on the motion. Members who abstain are counted for purposes of determining quorum (they are “present”), but you treat the abstention votes on the motion as if they did not exist (they are not “voting”). On the other hand, if the rules of the body specifically say that you count votes of those “present” then you **DO** count abstention votes both in establishing the quorum and on the motion. In this event, the abstention votes act just like “no” votes.

How does this work in practice?

Here are a few examples.

Assume that a five-member city council is voting on a motion that requires a simple majority vote to pass, and assume further that the body has no specific rule on counting votes. Accordingly, the default rule kicks in and we count all votes of members that are “present and voting.” If the vote on the motion is 3-2, the motion passes. If the motion is 2-2 with one abstention, the motion fails.

Assume a five-member city council voting on a motion that requires a two-thirds majority vote to pass, and further assume that the body has no specific rule on counting votes. Again, the default rule applies. If the vote is 3-2, the motion fails for lack of a two-thirds majority. If the vote is 4-1, the motion passes with a clear two-thirds majority. A vote of three “yes,” one “no” and one “abstain” also results in passage of the motion. Once again, the abstention is counted only for the purpose of determining quorum, but on the actual vote on the motion, it is as if the abstention vote never existed — so an effective 3-1 vote is clearly a two-thirds majority vote.

Now, change the scenario slightly. Assume the same five-member city council voting on a motion that requires a two-thirds majority vote to pass, but now assume that the body **DOES** have a specific rule requiring a two-thirds vote of members “present.” Under this specific rule, we must count the members present not only for quorum but also for the motion. In this scenario, any abstention has the same force and effect as if it were a “no” vote. Accordingly, if the votes were three “yes,” one “no” and one “abstain,” then the motion fails. The abstention in this case is treated like a “no” vote and effective vote of 3-2 is not enough to pass two-thirds majority muster.

Now, exactly how does a member cast an “abstention” vote?

Any time a member votes “abstain” or says, “I abstain,” that is an abstention. However, if a member votes “present” that is also treated as an abstention (the member is essentially saying, “Count me for purposes of a quorum, but my vote on the issue is abstain.”) In fact, any manifestation of intention not to vote either “yes” or “no” on the pending motion may be treated by the chair as an abstention. If written ballots are cast, a blank or unreadable ballot is counted as an abstention as well.

Can a member vote “absent” or “count me as absent?” Interesting question. The ruling on this is up to the chair. The better approach is for the chair to count this as if the member had left his/her chair and is actually “absent.” That, of course, affects the quorum. However, the chair may also treat this as a vote to abstain, particularly if the person does not actually leave the dais.

The Motion to Reconsider

There is a special and unique motion that requires a bit of explanation all by itself; the motion to reconsider. A tenet of parliamentary procedure is finality. After vigorous discussion, debate and a vote, there must be some closure to the issue. And so, after a vote is taken, the matter is deemed closed, subject only to reopening if a proper motion to consider is made and passed.

A motion to reconsider requires a majority vote to pass like other garden-variety motions, but there are two special rules that apply only to the motion to reconsider.

First, is the matter of timing. A motion to reconsider must be made at the meeting where the item was first voted upon. A motion to reconsider made at a later time is untimely. (The body, however, can always vote to suspend the rules and, by a two-thirds majority, allow a motion to reconsider to be made at another time.)

Second, a motion to reconsider may be made only by certain members of the body. Accordingly, a motion to reconsider may be made only by a member who voted in the majority on the original motion. If such a member has a change of heart, he or she may make the motion to reconsider (any other member of the body — including a member who voted in the minority on the original motion — may second the motion). If a member who voted in the minority seeks to make the motion to reconsider, it must be ruled out of order. The purpose of this rule is finality. If a member of minority could make a motion to reconsider, then the item could be brought back to the body again and again, which would defeat the purpose of finality.

If the motion to reconsider passes, then the original matter is back before the body, and a new original motion is in order. The matter may be discussed and debated as if it were on the floor for the first time.

Courtesy and Decorum

The rules of order are meant to create an atmosphere where the members of the body and the members of the public can attend to business efficiently, fairly and with full participation. At the same time, it is up to the chair and the members of the body to maintain common courtesy and decorum. Unless the setting is very informal, it is always best for only one person at a time to have the floor, and it is always best for every speaker to be first recognized by the chair before proceeding to speak.

The chair should always ensure that debate and discussion of an agenda item focuses on the item and the policy in question, not the personalities of the members of the body. Debate on policy is healthy, debate on personalities is not. The chair has the right to cut off discussion that is too personal, is too loud, or is too crude.

Debate and discussion should be focused, but free and open. In the interest of time, the chair may, however, limit the time allotted to speakers, including members of the body.

Can a member of the body interrupt the speaker? The general rule is “no.” There are, however, exceptions. A speaker may be interrupted for the following reasons:

Privilege. The proper interruption would be, “point of privilege.” The chair would then ask the interrupter to “state your point.” Appropriate points of privilege relate to anything that would interfere with the normal comfort of the meeting. For example, the room may be too hot or too cold, or a blowing fan might interfere with a person’s ability to hear.

Order. The proper interruption would be, “point of order.” Again, the chair would ask the interrupter to “state your point.” Appropriate points of order relate to anything that would not be considered appropriate conduct of the meeting. For example, if the chair moved on to a vote on a motion that permits debate without allowing that discussion or debate.

Appeal. If the chair makes a ruling that a member of the body disagrees with, that member may appeal the ruling of the chair. If the motion is seconded, and after debate, if it passes by a simple majority vote, then the ruling of the chair is deemed reversed.

Call for orders of the day. This is simply another way of saying, “return to the agenda.” If a member believes that the body has drifted from the agreed-upon agenda, such a call may be made. It does not require a vote, and when the chair discovers that the agenda has not been followed, the chair simply reminds the body to return to the agenda item properly before them. If the chair fails to do so, the chair’s determination may be appealed.

Withdraw a motion. During debate and discussion of a motion, the maker of the motion on the floor, at any time, may interrupt a speaker to withdraw his or her motion from the floor. The motion is immediately deemed withdrawn, although the chair may ask the person who seconded the motion if he or she wishes to make the motion, and any other member may make the motion if properly recognized.

Special Notes About Public Input

The rules outlined above will help make meetings very public-friendly. But in addition, and particularly for the chair, it is wise to remember three special rules that apply to each agenda item:

Rule One: Tell the public what the body will be doing.

Rule Two: Keep the public informed while the body is doing it.

Rule Three: When the body has acted, tell the public what the body did.



1400 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 658-8200 | Fax (916) 658-8240
www.cacities.org

To order additional copies of this publication, call (916) 658-8200.

\$10

© 2011 League of California Cities. All rights reserved.

♻️ Printed on recycled paper.